

Response ID ANON-5N6N-3H6D-V

Submitted to Display of Flags and Emblems - Call for Evidence
Submitted on 2026-07-17 09:51:43

Clause 1: Removal of flags or emblems in the public interest

6 Do you agree with Clause 1 of the Bill?

Yes

7 Please provide information to support your answer here

Accessible:

Flags or emblems are contentious so should only be displayed in an area that 100 per cent want them but it should be done with respect for all and not on shared spaces as it isn't fair on others not from the same tradition. Rules must be upheld and carried out fairly no excuses that it might cause unrest. This is not how law should be enforced and in most of the world this wouldn't be allowed. It is an embarrassment and puts tourists off from going into areas they should be enjoying and spending money in. It is also an eyesore not very artistic or pretty.

Clause 2: Sensitive places

8 Do you agree with Clause 2 of the Bill?

Yes

9 Please provide information to support your answer here

Accessible:

Shared spaces are for everyone and everyone should feel comfortable and not intimidated by flags or emblems and that seems the only reason they are placed there. All who place them there are aware of this.

Clause 3: Procedure for / notification of, or giving views on, flags or emblems

10 Do you agree with Clause 3 of the Bill?

Unsure

11 Please provide information to support your answer here

Accessible:

Clause 4: Code of Practice

12 Do you agree with Clause 4 of the Bill?

Unsure

13 Please provide information to support your answer here

Accessible:

This type of question are really more for experts to work out The main thing is to get control of intimidatory flags and emblems and not keep going in the same old sectarian way but the logistics need others to work out who know more about these things.

Clause 5: Offence of obstructing the Department in removal of flag or emblem

14 Do you agree with Clause 5 of the Bill?

Unsure

15 Please provide information to support your answer here

Accessible:

Unsure as don't know the details of any fine. It is an aggressive act to obstruct anyone taking these things down and a substantial deterrent needs to be in place or it won't be enough deterrent.

Clause 6: Request for assistance from police

16 Do you agree with Clause 6 of the Bill?

Yes

17 Please provide information to support your answer here

Accessible:

We all know that anyone removing these things is very vulnerable and needs protection from the police or other strong security and consequences need to follow. Maybe then in the near future it will be safe to take them down without protection and would be obstructers will know they can't get away with whatever they want to do anymore.

Clause 7: Interpretation

18 Do you agree with Clause 7 of the Bill?

Not Answered

19 Please provide information to support your answer here

Accessible:

Clause 8: Commencement

20 Do you agree with Clause 8 of the Bill?

Unsure

21 Please provide information to support your answer here

Accessible:

Things should be quickened up all these things take too much time for people who have to endure them. Less red tape and more action to move onto a better way of life for all and make it an even more thriving safe good place for all to live.

Clause 9: Short title

22 Do you agree with Clause 9 of the Bill?

Not Answered

23 Please provide information to support your answer here

Accessible: