BRIEFING PAPER

Marriage Law Reform in Northern Ireland

The Recognition, Regulation and Future of Independent Celebrants

Prepared by: Independent Celebrant
Qualifications: NOCN Level 3 Diplomas in Naming and Couples Celebrancy and Funeral Celebrancy
Professional Membership: Association of Independent Celebrants (AOIC)
Date: October 2026

---

1. Purpose of This Briefing

This briefing seeks to draw attention to the position of independent celebrants within marriage law reform in Northern Ireland.

It requests consideration of a regulated pathway through which suitably qualified independent celebrants could be authorised to solemnise legally recognised marriages, without requiring affiliation to a religious or philosophical belief organisation.

It also highlights the potential economic and professional consequences of excluding independent celebrants from future reforms.

The central principle is that legal authorisation should be capable of being based on professional competence, regulation and accountability, rather than solely on an officiant's religious or philosophical affiliation.

2. The Distinction Between Humanist and Independent Celebrants

There is an important distinction between humanist celebrants and independent celebrants which should be recognised in legislative discussions.

Humanist celebrants accredited by humanist organisations are expected to uphold the principles and ethos of humanism.

Independent celebrants are not required to subscribe to any particular religious, non-religious or philosophical belief system.

Their personal religious beliefs, or absence of religious beliefs, are immaterial to the professional service they provide.

An independent celebrant's responsibility is to reflect the wishes, values, traditions and beliefs of the couple, rather than impose their own.

Independent celebrants can therefore create and conduct:

- Religious ceremonies incorporating hymns, prayers or blessings.
- Non-religious ceremonies.
- Blended ceremonies incorporating different faiths, cultures and traditions.
- Personalised ceremonies incorporating readings, symbolic gestures and individual vows.

This flexibility is particularly valuable for mixed-faith couples and those who do not identify exclusively with a particular belief system.

Independent celebrancy is defined by the service provided, not by the personal beliefs held by the celebrant.

The distinction raises an important legislative question: should the ability to solemnise a marriage depend exclusively on religious or belief-based affiliation, or should a separate professional authorisation route also be available?

3. The Risk to the Independent Celebrant Sector

A significant concern is the potential impact of extending legal recognition to certain categories of celebrants while independent celebrants remain excluded.

Couples may understandably prefer an officiant who can conduct their legally binding marriage rather than engage an independent celebrant and arrange a separate legal ceremony.

This creates a competitive disadvantage that could have serious consequences for the profession, including:

- Reduced demand for independent celebrant-led wedding ceremonies.
- Financial pressures on established celebrant businesses.
- Greater difficulty for newly qualified celebrants entering the profession.
- Reduced opportunities for professional development and business growth.
- Potential long-term contraction of the independent celebrant sector.

These outcomes are risks rather than established consequences, but they warrant consideration and assessment.

Legislation intended to broaden choice should be examined carefully to ensure that it does not inadvertently disadvantage another established professional sector.

4. Barriers to Entry: Professional Experience

The practical consequences of the existing restrictions can be illustrated through my own experience.

I hold NOCN Level 3 Diplomas in both Naming and Couples Celebrancy and Funeral Celebrancy, having trained with Civil Ceremonies Ltd.

I am a member of, and insured through, the Association of Independent Celebrants (AOIC).

I have invested considerable time, effort and financial resources in obtaining professional qualifications, establishing my business, developing promotional materials, attending wedding fairs and building relationships within the wedding industry.

Despite these efforts, I have experienced significant difficulties establishing myself within the wedding celebrancy market.

One substantial obstacle is my inability to offer couples a legally binding marriage ceremony.

Although I can prepare and conduct a personalised wedding celebration, couples must separately arrange the legal solemnisation of their marriage.

This additional requirement may discourage prospective clients and places independent celebrants at a disadvantage compared with legally authorised officiants.

My experience illustrates a wider concern: individuals may invest in professional training and business development yet face legislative barriers that limit their ability to compete.

5. Marriage Law Reform in England and Wales

Marriage law reform is also under consideration in England and Wales.

The Ministry of Justice consultation published in July 2026 included proposals concerning independent officiants and their potential authorisation to conduct legally binding weddings, subject to appropriate regulation.

This provides an important example of how independent celebrants might be recognised as a distinct category of marriage officiant without requiring membership of a religious or non-religious belief organisation.

It is important to distinguish these proposals from enacted legislation. The consultation does not itself confer legal authorisation.

Nevertheless, the developments raise questions about the future position of independent celebrants in Northern Ireland.

Should England and Wales introduce a regulated authorisation route while Northern Ireland maintains its existing restrictions, the difference in legal frameworks could create further challenges for the profession locally.

Consideration should therefore be given to how Northern Ireland might learn from these proposals while developing arrangements appropriate to its own legal and administrative framework.

6. Professional Standards and Regulation

The legal solemnisation of marriage carries responsibilities that require appropriate oversight and safeguards.

A professional authorisation framework for independent celebrants could include:

1. Recognised training and assessment of professional competence.
2. Individual authorisation and registration through an appropriate statutory body.
3. Professional indemnity and public liability insurance.
4. Safeguarding, equality and data protection obligations.
5. Training in marriage law, legal capacity, consent and the prevention of forced marriage.
6. Clear procedures concerning marriage notices, schedules and registration.
7. A professional code of conduct, complaints procedures and disciplinary arrangements.
8. Continuing professional development and periodic review of authorisation.

Such a system would require careful legislative design, including consideration of the existing role of registrars.

It could nevertheless provide a basis for recognising suitably qualified independent celebrants while maintaining the integrity of legally recognised marriage.

7. Commercial Considerations

The commercial status of independent celebrants has been identified as a concern in discussions surrounding marriage law reform.

Independent celebrants generally operate as self-employed professionals or businesses, charging fees for their preparation, expertise and services.

A distinction should be considered between reasonable professional remuneration and commercial practices that could undermine the legal or ceremonial integrity of marriage.

Potential safeguards might include transparent fee structures, professional regulation, clear ethical obligations and appropriate oversight.

The commercial nature of independent celebrancy should therefore be examined as a regulatory question rather than assumed to be an insurmountable obstacle.

8. Choice, Inclusivity and Equality of Opportunity

Couples have differing beliefs, traditions and expectations of their wedding ceremonies.

Some wish to incorporate religious elements; others prefer non-religious ceremonies, while many seek a combination reflecting their individual backgrounds.

Independent celebrants offer flexibility in accommodating these preferences without requiring the ceremony to be defined by the celebrant's personal beliefs.

The objective of reform should be to consider how greater choice for couples can be achieved while maintaining consistent legal protections.

A professionally regulated authorisation route could potentially complement existing religious, belief-based and civil marriage arrangements.

9. Questions for Legislative and Departmental Consideration

The following matters are submitted for consideration by Assembly Members and relevant departmental officials:

1. **Legislative scope:** Could the current Marriage and Civil Partnership Bill accommodate independent celebrants, or would separate legislation be required?
2. **Professional authorisation:** Could a system of individual accreditation and legal authorisation be developed for suitably qualified independent celebrants?
3. **Belief-based requirements:** Is there a legal or practical justification for limiting certain authorisation routes to officiants affiliated with religious or philosophical belief organisations?
4. **Economic impact:** Has any assessment been undertaken of the potential consequences for independent celebrant businesses if they remain excluded from legal authorisation?
5. **Commercial considerations:** Could concerns regarding marriage for profit be addressed through regulation and professional safeguards?
6. **Comparative reform:** What lessons could Northern Ireland draw from the proposals under consideration in England and Wales?
7. **Consultation:** Could independent celebrants and professional organisations, including the AOIC, be included in future departmental consultations and legislative discussions?

10. Recommendations

It is respectfully requested that consideration be given to:

- Recognising independent celebrants as a distinct professional category within discussions on marriage law reform.
- Examining the feasibility of individual authorisation based on training, competence and accountability.
- Assessing the potential economic consequences of continued exclusion.
- Reviewing the approaches being considered elsewhere in the United Kingdom.
- Consulting directly with independent celebrants and relevant professional organisations.
- Identifying a possible legislative pathway, whether through the current Bill or subsequent reform.

11. Conclusion

Independent celebrants provide a professional service that is distinct from religious and humanist celebrancy.

Their defining characteristic is the ability to create ceremonies reflecting the beliefs and wishes of the couple, regardless of the celebrant's own personal religious or philosophical convictions.

There is a genuine concern that continued exclusion from legal authorisation could undermine the sustainability of the independent celebrant sector, discourage new entrants and restrict the choices available to couples.

This briefing does not seek to diminish the role of registrars, religious officiants or humanist celebrants.

Rather, it requests that independent celebrants be considered as an additional, appropriately regulated category of marriage officiant.

The principal request is for a clear and fair pathway towards legal authorisation for suitably qualified independent celebrants, based on professional competence, accountability and appropriate safeguards rather than personal religious or philosophical beliefs.

It is hoped that this briefing will assist Assembly Members and departmental officials in considering the future of independent celebrancy within marriage law reform in Northern Ireland.

Submitted by

Independent Celebrant
NOCN Level 3 Qualified
Member of the Association of Independent Celebrants (AOIC)
County Down, Northern Ireland

Prepared for circulation to Michele McIlveen MLA, Assembly colleagues and relevant departmental representatives.