

Risk Item Ref 11 amended 29 Oct 09

Risk Items 11,21,42,56 & 62 amended 24 Nov 09

Risk Items 5, 11,21,32,42,56,61 & 62 amended 4 Dec 09

0 Total 65 **CORDEROY**

k Item Category Ref.	Risk Identification		Risk Prioritisation Risk Ownership, Mitigation, Etc. Risk Matrix Priority Scores Risk Matrix Risk Owner Mitigation					Risk Quantification							
	Risk		Risk Matrix Priority Scores			Risk Owner	Mitigation	E	stimate of Cos	st		E	stimate of D	elay	
				Priority Ranking			Min.	Min. Most Likely Max.			Most	Max.	To Start or	Crit	
	Description	Probability (1	Probability %	Impact	†			£k	£k	£k	weeks	Likely	weeks	Completion	(Y.
		to 6)		(1 to 4)								weeks			
	Unrealistic contract duration causes cost / quality pressures														
1 Construction	ombandad domaida danadon dadede doden quanty procedures	2	35	3	6	Arup PM	Expert planning input into programming								
1 Construction	Access problems with land owners and neighbours causes		33	3	0	Alup Fivi	Expert planning input into programming								
2 Construction	delay	2	40	2	4	Translink PM		-	50	100	0	0.5	1		
	Inability to obtain materials supplies (ballast, rail and sleepers)						Early engagement with suppliers, possible client supply to be								
3 Construction	in accordance with programme	3	55	3	9	Arup PM	considered by TA team. Linked to 41	-	100	150	0	4	6		
4 Comptunistics	Neighbours encroach on railway land and cause disruption to	2		2	0	Translink PM	Forth angagement with landowners via NITUCO	10	20	100					
4 Construction	construction programme Non-availability of reliable 1600mm gauge plant (motive power	3	55		О	Translink Pivi	Early engagement with landowners via NITHCO	10	30	100					
	and ballast wagons) to fit in with programme / cost increases														
5 Construction		3	55	3	9	Arup PM	NIR decision required on supply. Linked to 41	200	500	1,000					
	Work at Coleraine signal cabin and on-going services between														
6 Construction	Belfast and Coleraine	0	0	0	0										
	Inadequate client site supervision causes serious delay /														
	disruption to programme														
7 Construction		2	E0	2	0	Arus DM	Client supervisor as part of design team / external								
7 Construction	Risk of not securing (adequate) funding from DRD / DFP -	3	50	3	9	Arup PM	appointment				+				
8 Cost	show stopper	4	75	4	16	Translink PM	Translink / DFP / DRD engaged throughout Stage B				26	26	156	To Start	
	S&T design	-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5				† <u></u>		1		
9 Cost		0	0	0	0										
	Signalling scheme budget exceeds conceptual budget as														
10 Cost	conceptual budget based on 2002 scheme	0	0	0	0	Cost Manager	Revised cost estimate completed, see 11								
11 Coot	Signalling scheme definition changes from feasibility budget	5	96	3	15	Cost Managar	Early revised cost estimate to reflect current signalling	- 3,000		2 000		,			
11 Cost 12 Cost	Setting an accurate and workable project budget	θ	96 A	θ	0	Cost Manager	proposals (Also 56 & 59)	- 3,000	-	3,000	0		4		
12 0000	Inadequate budget and subsequent scope reduction	·			U										
13 Cost		θ	θ	0	0										
	Drainage and ducting routes not sufficiently designed or co-	-													
14 Design	ordinated causes disruption / delays in construction	3	45	2	6	Arup PM	Incorporated into civils design, site surveys. Linked to 18	-	150	400	0	2	4		
	Ballast retention not sufficiently considered leads to delays /								400						
15 Design 16 Design	additional cost during construction Design and installation of the cable route	2 0	40 Ω	2 0	0		Consider during design stage Duplicates 14	-	100	200	0	1	2		
17 Design	Signalling design and specification	Ω	Ω	θ	0		Dupliactes 40								
	Lack of co-ordination between design disciplines during design				- C		Dapinacios 10								
	stage causes delay / additional cost during construction														
18 Design		2	45	3	6	Arup PM	Appoint multi-disciplinary design team. Linked to 41	-	150	400	0	2	4		
19 Design	Scope creep	θ	θ	θ	0						1				
20 Design	Non compliance of signalling technology with existing NR standards caused delay to project	2	45	3	6	Translink Signalling Team	Costs required for following traditional methods. Linked to 18		250	500					
20 Design	Incorrect selection of design consultant compromises project		+0	3	U	Transilik Signalilig Team	Adequate brief, scope, site survey and selection strategy,	-	200	300	+		-		
	objectives						responsive during construction, advice from external PM.								
21 Design		3	55	4	12	Translink PM	Linked to 41	-	500	1,000	0	9	13		
22 Design	Signalling specification, design and installation	0	0	0	0										
23 Design	Ducted signal route vesus troughing in certain areas	θ	θ	θ	0						1		1		
24 Docime	Introduction of currently developing new technology and systems	θ	Δ	0	0										
(4) 11 10 0 0 0 0	Scope creep or uncontrolled scope changes due to	¥	₩	₩	U						1		 		
24 Design	interference by NIR stakeholders	0	0	0	0										
	Inadequate P Way design and specification leads to failure to										1	1			
25 Design	inducquate i way design and specimention reads to failure to	•	1				Selection of designer, site investigation. Linked to 21, 27, 28,								
25 Design	meet durability requirements and increased cost (if over				6	Arup PM	41, 42. Mitigated by introduction of design development stage.	_	250	500				1	
25 Design 26 Design	meet durability requirements and increased cost (if over specified)	2	50	3	Ö	, op :									
25 Design 26 Design	meet durability requirements and increased cost (if over specified) Drainage design not compatible with track alignment, bridges,	2	50	3	0	, asp ,									
25 Design 26 Design	meet durability requirements and increased cost (if over specified)					·	P Way designer to design P Way drainage. Linked to 19								
25 Design 26 Design	meet durability requirements and increased cost (if over specified) Drainage design not compatible with track alignment, bridges, and embankments causes delay to construction stage	3	50 50	3	9	Arup PM	P Way designer to design P Way drainage. Linked to 18 Full scope of works required as part of design, condition	-	75	150					
25 Design 26 Design 27 Design	meet durability requirements and increased cost (if over specified) Drainage design not compatible with track alignment, bridges, and embankments causes delay to construction stage Lack of consideration of existing cuttings, embankments and					·	P Way designer to design P Way drainage. Linked to 18 Full scope of works required as part of design, condition survey. Considered as part of P-Way design. Link to 21	- 100							
25 Design 26 Design 27 Design 28 Design	meet durability requirements and increased cost (if over specified) Drainage design not compatible with track alignment, bridges, and embankments causes delay to construction stage Lack of consideration of existing cuttings, embankments and sea defences leads to cost and programme overun Delays due to environmental issues (flora, fauna, habitats,		50 80	3 2		Arup PM Translink Structures Team	Full scope of works required as part of design, condition survey. Considered as part of P-Way design. Link to 21	100	75 350	150 500					
25 Design 26 Design 27 Design 28 Design	meet durability requirements and increased cost (if over specified) Drainage design not compatible with track alignment, bridges, and embankments causes delay to construction stage Lack of consideration of existing cuttings, embankments and sea defences leads to cost and programme overun Delays due to environmental issues (flora, fauna, habitats, badgers)		50	3		Arup PM	Full scope of works required as part of design, condition	- 100 -	75	150		2	4		
25 Design 26 Design 27 Design 28 Design	meet durability requirements and increased cost (if over specified) Drainage design not compatible with track alignment, bridges, and embankments causes delay to construction stage Lack of consideration of existing cuttings, embankments and sea defences leads to cost and programme overun Delays due to environmental issues (flora, fauna, habitats,		50 80	3 2		Arup PM Translink Structures Team	Full scope of works required as part of design, condition survey. Considered as part of P-Way design. Link to 21	100	75 350	150 500		2	4		



Risk Item Ref 11 amended 29 Oct 09 Risk Items 11,21,42,56 & 62 amended 24 Nov 09

Risk Items 5, 11,21,32,42,56,61 & 62 amended 4 Dec 09

R	9
Α	36
G	20
N/A	0
Total	65

CORDEROY

1	Risk Identification	Risk Prioritisation				Risk Ownership, Mitigation, Etc.					Risk Quar				
Item Category ef.	Risk		Risk Matrix Priority Scores Risk Matrix Priority			Risk Owner	Mitigation		Estimate of C	ost		E	stimate of D	elay	
51.					Ranking			Min.	Most Likel	y Max.	Min.	Most	Max.	To Start or	Crit
	Description	, ,	Probability %	Impact	1			£k	£k	£k	weeks	Likely weeks	weeks	Completion	1 (Y
		to 6)		(1 to 4)								weeks			
	Cost effectiveness of interfacing with existing signalling assets														
31 Interface	due to age / condition	3	50	3	9	Translink Signalling Team	Condition and life expectancy survey required								
	Poor interdisciplinary interfaces between stakeholders causes					<u> </u>									
	disruption to project. Manage interface at Construction phase														
	between designers to ensure integration / buildability /						Formaliae construction store relationships include any arrangement to								
32 Interface	programme. Lack of integration will lead to disruption.	3	55	4	12	Arup PM	Formalise construction stage relationships incl procurement to minimise number of interfaces. Linked to 41.	200	500	1,000	2	5	15	To start	
32 Interface	Differing views / drivers within operations contibutes to	3	33	7	12	Alup I W	millimise number of interfaces. Linked to 41.	200	300	1,000		3	10	10 Start	-
	aspirations for the project not being met						Single representative / decision making body from operations,								
							assist to clarify their objectives, formal sign-off brief, continue								
33 Strategic		3	50	3	9	Arup / Translink PMs	interaction with operations on all key decisions	40	65	150	4	6	13		
	Assumptions in timetable model prove incorrect and causes														
34 Operational	timetable design changes which leads to change of scope and aspirations not being met	3	50	3	9	Arup / Translink PMs	Feasibility study with options costed				0	6	13		
o i operational	Long term loss of passenger base due to construction taking		- 00			7 Hallomik Tivio	Minimise the duration of closures during constuction stage,				<u> </u>				1
	line out of service for significant durations						optimise programming of closures, communication with								
35 Operational		2	15	4	8	Arup / Translink PMs	general public								
	End product is not capable of delivering speed profiles required						Check assumptions in the timetable model with input from								
36 Operational	for new timetable improvements	2	5	1	8	Arup / Translink PMs	signalling and check P-Way geometry / alignment. Linked to								
30 Operational	Poor temporary service during closure leads to customers		3	4	0	Alup / Halisiilik Fivis	Good alternative services - consider bus / trains in parallel,								+-
37 Operational	making short term alternative transport arrangements	4	85	2	8	Translink PM / Operations / Marketing	good PR campaign								
'	Loss of signalling at Coleraine during closure leads to service					i -									†
38 Operational	disruption from Antrim to Portrush	3	50	3	9	Arup PM / Signalling Team									
	Funding constraints leads to Londonderry / Castlerock and		_			T " DM (0' 11' T				400					
39 Operational	Portrush signal cabin not closing Signalling tendering documentation doesn't adequately allow	1	5	2	2	Translink PM / Signalling Team			50	100					+
40 Procurement	the introduction of future proof new technology	3	45	3	q	Translink PM / Signalling Team	Linked to 41	_	250	500					
10 1 100di cilione	Inappropriate procurement strategy compromises project		10			Transmitt in 7 eighannig Team	Ongoing work on procurement strategy, how to procure		200						†
	objectives						signalling to ensure objectives met, nomination of signalling								
41 Procurement		3	70	3	9	Arup PM	subcontractor (also 5, 40, 43 & 18)				0	12	26		
10 5	Poor contract documents compromises project objectives and /				40	. 54	Delegation 44		500	4.500			40		
42 Procurement	or passes increased risk to Translink Incorrect approach to contractor quality selection pushes costs	3	50	4	12	Arup PM	Linked to 41 Market briefing, requirement to benefit local economy, links to		500	1,500	0	6	13		+
43 Procurement	too high	3	50	3	9	Arup PM	procurement strategy, linked to 41 and 42								
	Insufficient tender duration puts contractors off and / or pushes	-		-			j,								
44 Procurement	costs up	3	50	3	9	Arup PM	TA recommended 8 weeks minimum								
	Major incidents or accidents involving construction workers						Contractor selection, good design,and early contractor								
45 Cafab.	causes delay	2	40	4	8	A mura DNA	involvement, programming allows for front end planning /						4		
45 Safety	Disruption caused by the operation and usage of UWC's and		10	4	8	Arup PM	preparation				0	U	1		+
46 Safety	level crosssings during the construction phase	6	100	1	6	Arup PM	Build into programming	_	50	100	0	0.5	1		
- To beauty	Increased risk of major incident / accidents involving crossing	-		-			Review UWC risk assessments to establish preventative								
	users due to increased line speeds and frequency of trains						measures, funding restrictions may compromise. Assumption								
47 Safety	combined with the number of UWC's	2	10	4	8	Translink PM	that provisions for UWCs are funded								1
49 Cofoty	Over ambitious programme leading to excessive working hours	2	25	4	0	Arun DM	Linked to 1 and 45	50	7-	200	_	ارا	40		
48 Safety	/ increased risks Increased risk of incidents / accidents due to closures requiring		25	4	8	Arup PM	Lilingu (U. I. dilu 40	50	75	200	1 0	4	12		+
49 Safety	night time / winter season working	3	50	2	6	Arup PM	Build into programming								
50 Safety	Remote location in the event of an accident	0	0	0	0	7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Jana into programming								1
51 Safety	Crossing the CoDA flight path	0	0	0	0		See risk 54								
50 D IIII 1 1 5 1 1	Political support for the project deteriorates / changes					T . II . DM	Translink to engage with local representatives and positive PR								
52 Political / Social		3	50	4	12	Translink PM	(impact concurrent with 08)								4
	Increased air traffic at Derry Airport leads to increased frequency of delayed train services						Check assumptions in timetable model, written agreement between Translink / airport operator, model to reflect realistic								
53 Operational	mequency of delayed train services	4	55	3	12	Translink PM	delay; check impact of more trains in system								
	Derry Airport doesn't provide access in line with programme		- 35	1			Agreement between operators prior to tender stage, controlled								T
54 Interface	causes delay	4	75	2	8	Translink PM	access								
	New modular signalling equipment is not available within														
55 Procurement	project timescales leads non optimal design solution	2	95	2	4	Translink Signalling Team	RRI selected over modular system	-	100	200	0	2	4		+
	Differing views / drivers within Translink and other stakeholders contibutes to scope creep						Ongoing review of scope against cost, and design against brief, keep down to a core scope that achieves project								
	continuites to scope creep						objectives, prevent creep, ring fence brief, define								
56 Strategic		3	45	3	9	Arup PM	requirements. Linked to 11	500	1,000	2,000	4	6	8		
	Problems in acquisition of land at Bellarena cause delay /					·			,,,,,,	,	İ				
57 Design	design change	2	45	2	4	Translink PM	Early engagement with landowners via NITHCO. Linked to 4				0	0	26		1





Note :- Risk Item Ref 11 amended 29 Oct 09

Risk Items 11,21,42,56 & 62 amended 24 Nov 09

Risk Items 5, 11,21,32,42,56,61 & 62 amended 4 Dec 09

Risk Items 74,75 amended August 10 (quantitative to follow workshop Sept 10)

R 9
A 36
G 20
N/A 0
Total 65

CORDEROY

	Risk Identification		Risk Prio	ritisation			Risk Ownership, Mitigation, Etc.	Risk Quantification								
Risk Item Category	Risk	Risk Matrix Priority Scores			Risk Matrix Priority Ranking	Risk Owner	Mitigation	Estimate of Cost			Estimate of Delay					
Ref.								Min.	Most Likely	Max.	Min.	Most		To Start or	Critical	
	Description	Probability (1 to 6)	Probability %	Impact (1 to 4)				£k	£k	£k	weeks	Likely weeks	weeks	Completion	(Y/N)	
	Bann Bridge doesn't secure funding and causes significant															
58 Strategic	disruption and compromises project objectives	3	45	1	3			-	50	100	0	2	4			
	Requirement to make bridge improvements on Coleraine to Derry line (separate project) impacts renewals scope/						Early feedback from structures assessments, prioritise early information on Faughan and Roe Bridge, Stage B will have to									
59 Strategic	programme	3	50	2	6	Translink Structures Team	make an assumption. Linked to 11	400	500	600	4	5	6		, ,	
60 Strategic	Relocation of Derry station causes changed brief, with cost and programme impact	3	45	3	q	Translink Infrastrucure Exec	Translink to reach early agreement with ILEX / DCC. Linked to 11. Board approval required to remove risk required									
61 Construction	Non-availability of reliable 1600mm gauge plant (tamper) to fit in with programme / cost increases	3	55	3	9	Translink PM	Explore options for supply of tampers from NIR other sources. Linked to 41	250	500	2,000	4	6	8			
62 Cost	Estimate proves incorrect in terms of quantity and price due to stage in design development	4	75	3	12	Cost Manager	Include effect (quantity and price) in risk register	- 750	-	1,500						
63 Construction	Bridge Works impact on main programme	3	50	3	9	Arup PM		1								
75 Operational	Passenger numbers do not increase in line with predictions in the Booze Allen Hamilton report.	3	50	2	6	Translink Operations			TBC							
	"Do nothing" approach or delay to other approaches will have negative impacts in terms of maintenance downtime and safety															
76 Operational	with increased maintenance costs.	4	45	3	12	Translink Infrastrucure Exec			TBC							