

Mr P McCallion
Committee Clerk
Committee for Education
Room 241, Parliament Buildings
Stormont
Belfast
BT4 3XX

RW/CS

27 February 2015

Dear Mr McCallion

Inquiry into Shared and Integrated Education

I write in response to your letter dated 13 February 2015, regarding the Inquiry into Shared and Integrated Education.

In your letter you are seeking clarity with regard to the constitution of Boards of Governors in Controlled Schools and the facilitation of a Shared/Integrated ethos.

In its paper submitted to the Education Committee for its Inquiry into Shared/Integrated Education, the WELB, under the Terms of Reference – Key Barriers/Enablers for Shared/Integrated Education, indicates that where: 'The constitution of Boards of Governors reflects the religious balance in the school', then this promotes the promotion of Shared/Integrated Education in all schools not in controlled schools in particular, as indicated on Page 6 (enclosed).

I trust this response clarifies your query.

Yours sincerely

BARRY MULHOLLAND CHIEF EXECUTIVE

Boy Mull hund.

Enc

SHARED AND INTEGRATED EDUCATION INQUIRY

REQUEST FOR WRITTEN EVIDENCE

Terms of Reference No 1

Nature and definition of Shared Education and Integrated Education across all educational phases – including the need for a formal statutory definition in statute to facilitate and encourage Shared Education

The Western Education and Library Board (WELB) welcomes the opportunity to contribute to the Committee for Education's inquiry into Shared/Integrated Education. The WELB believes that 'Shared Education' is 'an umbrella term' (currently without a statutory basis) which is encompassed in the following diverse models that it has supported:

- Non-Denominational Controlled Primary Schools with significant multidenominational enrolment, e.g. Ballykelly PS; Culmore PS; Greenhaw PS; Londonderry Model PS; Sion Mills PS, Strabane Controlled PS;
- 2. Controlled Irish Medium Education;
- 3. Collaboration between schools from different sectors;
- 4. Area Learning Communities involving schools from different sectors e.g. Post Primary Area Learning Communities and the emerging Primary Area Learning Communities;
- 5. Integrated Education arrangements i.e. transformed and designated integrated schools' e.g. Groarty PS;
- Shared Sustainable Educational Campuses (e.g. Lisanelly Shared Education Campus (LSEC), Limavady High School and St Mary's Limavady and the proposed Brookeborough Shared Campus);
- 7. Proposed Shared Cross-Border Collaboration between Schools involving St Mary's HS, Brollagh; and
- 8. Collaboration with the Further Education Colleges.

The WELB is of the view that the way forward is in 'integrating education' and in terms of its understanding of Shared Education, would therefore suggest that formal Integrated Education is only one facet of Shared Education. It does not believe that Shared Education and Integrated Education are synonymous. The Integrated Sector is a legal entity, with a statutory underpinning, and through its admissions criteria and, more recently, it enrols approximately equal numbers of pupils from Catholic and Protestant backgrounds (40% of each), as well as some from other religious and cultural backgrounds (20%), and also caters for the religious observances of both the Catholic and Protestant sectors.

In light of Article 64 (1) of The Education Reform Order (NI) 1989, which states: 'It shall be the duty of the Department to encourage and facilitate the development of integrated education, that is to say the education together at school of Protestant

and Roman Catholic pupils', the WELB is of the view that the legal definition of integrated education is becoming increasingly difficult to define in its own right, with the introduction of the category 'Others' ie pupils from other religious and cultural backgrounds. Examination of the legal definition prompts the question as to what 'integrated education' means in the Order, as opposed to 'Integrated Education' and if it is implied that 'integrated education' is an 'umbrella term' and 'Integrated Education' is a Sector within it. Since there is no current legislative provision for the accommodation of 'Others', the WELB would query if existing legislation in this area requires to be suitably amended with a view to encompassing all sections of our society.

In light of the above, it is very important, therefore, to point out that whilst the criteria of controlled schools do not dwell on the issue of religious balance; nevertheless, the composition in terms of the religious intake of many of them is similar to that of Integrated Schools – See Pages 3 and 4 overleaf, with the intakes of such schools being made up of Protestant, Catholic and Others. Such schools cannot have a particular religious denominational ethos and the WELB considers that such schools, whilst not formally categorised as 'Integrated Schools', can increasingly be regarded in practice as 'integrated' and as such DE has also a duty to encourage and facilitate their development.

The WELB is also of the view that as the Controlled Sector is non-denominational in nature, all models of Shared Education, therefore, sit comfortably within this Sector's remit. The important difference here is that a community has chosen to send its children to its nearest controlled school because it is non-denominational in category. Some controlled schools prefer a governance model that includes four Transferor Representatives whilst others have opted for controlled/integrated status, with two Transferor and two Trustee Representatives respectively, and also prefer to be managed and supported by an Employing Authority (i.e. ELB or CCMS), as opposed to being grant-maintained.

In the promotion of Shared Education and Integrated Education, the WELB would be concerned that the existing work, in terms of **natural sharing**, within its controlled schools, as detailed below, would be disadvantaged in terms of receiving support from the Signature Project for Shared Education, as the planned funding available for Shared Education is directed towards two, or more, schools from different communities, working together. This would seem to ignore the **natural sharing** which has evolved, over a number of years, in some schools within the WELB, as shown overleaf.

There is a need for all schools to be treated fairly in the promotion of Shared Education, including the need for the DE to ensure its Open Enrolment and Home to School Transport Policies do not disadvantage or displace provision in some sectors, due to the growth of other sectors.

Census Data - October 2013

Breakd	Breakdown of all schools in WELB area (excluding Nursery)	Prote	Protestant	Cath	Catholic	Other C	Other Christian /	
by relig	by religious denomination					Non-Chri	Non-Christian / No	
2	20400					III	religion	Total
2	Sector	Z	%	Z	%	Z	%	prinile
99	Controlled Primary	5,936	%69	1.591	19%	1 072	12%	Slided
117	Maintained Primary	103	1%	70707	%26	7,0,7	20%	6,038
		707		TCT'ET	2	271	9	19.775
5	Controlled Secondary	2,608	%06	86	3%	193	2%	2 000
,	Maintained Secondary		10/		,,,,,,	000		660,7
TA		20	8	9,385	%66	85	7%	9 520
4	Controlled Grammar	1,934	72%	583	22%	187	7%	2707 0
•	Grant Maintained Integrated Driman,		7020		, 000	t o		701'7
4	Ciant maintained integrated i initially	332	71%	629	20%	291	23%	1.252
33	Grant Maintained Integrated Post-primary	209	31%	1,155	29%	202	10%	1 961
6	Voluntary Grammar	993	12%	7.184	85%	747	3%	2 424
1			,000			111		0,474
717		12,563	73%	39,776	72%	2.795	2%	55 13A
								つうようう

		Prote	Protestant	Cat	Catholic	Other Christian / Non-Christian / No religion	Other Christian / Non-Christian / No religion	
ontro	ontrolled Primary Schools with 10%+ Catholic Enrolment	z	%	Z	%	z	%	Total
0207	Ballougry Primary School	28	30%	61	%99	4	4%	93
0208	Ballykelly Primary School	115	42%	144	52%	18	%9	277
0209	Bellarena Primary School	30	%62	4	11%	4	11%	38
0210	Belleek(2) Primary School	32	92%	11	22%	9	12%	49
0217	Culmore Primary School	13	15%	63	74%	o	11%	85
0244	Greenhaw Primary School	2	2%	284	%96	9	2%	295
0245	Groarty Primary School (Controlled Integrated)	4	10%	32	%08	4	10%	40
0248	Jones Memorial Primary School	121	%29	27	15%	33	18%	181
0256	Lisnagelvin Primary School	379	%29	70	12%	117	21%	566
0257	Londonderry Model Primary School	24	%/	252	74%	63	19%	339
0269	Sion Mills Primary School	88	33%	171	%59	4	2%	263
								The second secon

Enrolment Maintained Primary Schools with 10%+ Protestant 1% 0513 Craigbrack Primary School **Maintained Primary** 4% 4 Z Protestant 17% 17% 16 6 Z Catholic 67% 67% Other Christian / Non-Christian / No religion 1% 4 **S**4 17% 17%

Total pupils 24

24

The state of the s	50.0%	2	1306	1303	Enrolment	Control					23.2%	13	0320	0271	
		Controlled Grammar	Strabane Academy	Limavady Grammar School	ent	Controlled Grammar Schools with 10%+ Catholic				Marie .		Controlled Primary	Gaelscoil Neachitain	Strabane Controlled Primary School	
	46%	894	366	528	z				Protestant		16%	925	0	86	
		58%	57%	59%	%				stant			36%	0%	33%	
	93%	543	239	304	z				Cath		84%	1329	64	146	
		35%	37%	34%	%				Catholic			52%	94%	55%	
	55%	101	34	67	z		reli	Non-Chri	Other C		28%	304	4	32	
		7%	5%	7%	%		religion	Non-Christian / No	Other Christian /			12%	6%	12%	
	57%	1538	639	899	pupils	Total					30%	2,558	68	264	

ω

The WELB would strongly recommend that a baselining exercise be undertaken, in the form of an analysis of the intakes to all schools in Northern Ireland (NI), to get a strategic overview as to the extent to which Shared Education is already taking place in practice, as in some cases there may not be recognition of this, and in order to get a better understanding of where funding for Shared Education needs to be targeted. This analysis needs to be comprehensive and take into account the following categories of schools so that the relevant models can be applied according to the nature and appropriateness of the sharing being undertaken:

- Nursery Schools Controlled, Nursery Units and Community Nursery Schools;
- Controlled Primary and Post Primary Schools (i.e. non-denominational schools);
- Controlled Integrated Primary Schools
- Maintained Primary and Post Primary Schools;
- Grant Maintained Integrated Primary and Post Primary Schools;
- Controlled Special Schools;
- Controlled Irish Medium Schools, Irish Medium Schools and Units;
- Voluntary and Voluntary Maintained Post Primary Schools; and
- Bi-lateral Schools.

It is important to understand the extent to which certain schools can already be recognised as 'shared' before creating an additional 'category' of school under the auspices of Shared Education, as this may only be duplicating an existing model.

The WELB is of the view that where Shared Education has been, and is being practised and embedded in certain controlled primary and post primary non-denominational schools, it should be recognised in the proposals contained in the Signature Project for Shared Education.

Terms of Reference Number 2

Key Barrier/Enablers for Shared and Integrated Education

The key enablers for Shared and Integrated Education are:

- Strategic Plan in place by the DE for cross-sectoral collaboration
- DSC Shared Education Signature Project and Shared Campuses Project are inextricably linked and should work in partnership (ie Estates and Curriculum);
- Policy Framework for Shared Education should be consistent with DE's other Policies;
- Community Relations Equality and Diversity (CRED) Policy;
- Strong collaborative leadership within schools;
- Schools' curricula sufficiently advanced before responding to the challenges of Shared Education;

- The constitution of the Boards of Governors reflects the religious balance in the school to facilitate the promotion of a Shared/Integrated ethos;
- · History of close collaboration;
- **Rurality/Close geographical location of schools;
- Cohesion within the community and support for Shared Education (fundamental);
- · Ability to build on community support;
- Facility for transformation from one sector to another;
- · No one sector owns the land on which the schools are built (eg LSEC);
- Appropriate governance model for Shared Education Campuses; and
- The identification of appropriate legislation to allow shared schools to be created.

**There is evidence in the WELB that small rural schools have much to offer each other, in terms of Shared Education, as recently affirmed by the Education and Training Inspectorate (ETI) in the case of a recent Primary School's inspection in Co Fermanagh, which was classified as 'Outstanding', and where it was noted the primary school had: 'well established links' with its neighbouring small schools in the areas of music, drama and physical education. The concept of a 'Shared Education Cluster' also exists in the WELB where Principals and Senior Teachers deliver shared staff development, shared pupil learning and shared parental evenings.

The key barriers to Shared Education are:

- · No Strategic Plan in place for cross-sectoral collaboration;
- DSC Shared Education Project and Shared Campuses Project working in isolation;
- Public perception of Shared Education and potential community opposition;
- Weak collaborative leadership within schools;
- The composition of Boards of Governors is not representative of the religious balance in the school to promote a Shared/Integrated ethos;
- The lack of statutory underpinning with no legislative requirement to share;
- Policy Framework for Shared Education not consistent with DE's other Policies;
- Inflexibility of the DE Handbook;
- Uncertainty as to how Shared Education will be financed in the long term;
- Management and remuneration of teachers on a dual/shared site;
- How employment related issues (Terms & Conditions of Service) are dealt with:
- Need for an appropriate Scheme of Management;
- Admissions Policy/Criteria for such schools.
- Lack of financial and legal representation on any Group responsible for Shared Education;
- Proposer of the Shared School (cf Article 14) [Employing Authority]; i.e. Composition of Board of Governors, ethos, etc;
- · Lack of funding through the Common Funding Formula;
- Implications for Home to School Transport Policy;

- One sector owns the land on which the schools are built, leading to an adverse impact on public perception;
- The negative impact of 'capping' on some schools' intakes by the DE;
- Rurality/Geographical location of schools that are distant from each other; and
- Academic Selection.

Terms of Reference No 3

Identification and analysis of alternative approaches and models of good practice in other jurisdictions in terms of policy interventions and programmes

The WELB is of the view that this aspect of the Terms of Reference is not relevant in that historical factors, the Local Management of Schools and the large number of small schools in Northern Ireland, all make it difficult to implement models of good practice from other jurisdictions. However, in the WELB, models of good practice exist in Ballykelly PS; Culmore PS; Greenhaw PS; Londonderry Model PS; Sion Mills PS, and Strabane Controlled Primary Schools, without any additional funding for Shared Education at present – an issue that needs to be addressed in the 'roll-out' of the DSC Shared Education Signature Project.

Terms of Reference No 4

Priorities and actions that need to be taken to improve sharing and integration – including the effectiveness of the relevant parts of the CRED policy; the need to engage more effectively with parents/carers; and the role of Special Schools

In order to improve the levels of sharing and integration, there is a need to ensure a coherent Policy Framework exists, which establishes a clear purpose and rationale for the approach. The CRED Policy has a wide scope in terms of addressing issues of equality and good relations across all the Section 75 Groups. Since this has only been in place three years, the extent to which this Policy is impacting on schools needs to be assessed. The assessment of the effectiveness of the CRED Policy will be an outcome of the forthcoming inspection by the ETI later this year. The outcome of this process will need to inform how the Policy should develop and what actions need to be taken to strengthen this area of educational priorities in NI.

Shared Education is clearly linked to the CRED Policy in respect of those aspects related to reconciliation and good relations work within and between schools. However, there is clearly a need for the development of a Policy Framework in this area which sets out clearly the rationale, aims and purposes of this work. Such a Policy needs to take cognisance of a range of other relevant educational policies, including the CRED Policy and the 'Every School a Good School' suite of Policies. In the absence of such a Policy, there is the potential for 'Shared Education' to be misunderstood and misinterpreted. It is the WELB's view that 'Shared Education' needs to be recognised as one approach to good relations work. However, it is not the only one.

Shared Education, to date, between mainstream schools has been supported with external funding. Given that a range of programmes, involving sharing, have already taken place, future practice in this area needs to be informed by rigorous evaluation, to objectively ensure that resources are being used effectively and are achieving the outcomes identified in the Policy. In advance of 'rolling out' the Signature Project, there is a requirement for the DE to have a strategy for the monitoring of funding and, therefore, a requirement to baseline the current position to identify the sharing and collaboration in schools, funded and non-funded. A baseline will then allow for:

- any financial data to be collated of the cost of 'rolling out' Shared Education to date:
- educational or non-educational measurements against which the funding for Shared Education can be compared; and
- consideration of a cost/benefit analysis before committing to new funding.

The scale and scope of the DSC Signature Project for Shared Education provides an opportunity for a robust baselining exercise and evaluation of the impact of the Programme.

It is evident that, to date, there has been a commitment to Shared Education as long as there is adequate funding to support the teaching staff. The WELB would ask the question: 'If schools were asked to subsidise the additional cost of Shared Education from their own resources, would there be the same commitment to it as there was when they were funded for its implementation?'

Prior to committing to Shared Education Programmes, schools will require reassurances with regard to the following:

- duration of additional funding for Shared Education;
- funding and managing absence cover for sickness and maternity leave;
- cost of training;
- managing staff during school closures;
- · cost of travel; and
- commitment required if funding is withdrawn.

In relation to working with parents and carers, there is already a strong commitment to this partnership reflected in 'Every School a Good School: a Policy for School Improvement'. This Policy may need some further development in the context of a 'Shared Education Policy'.

In closing, the WELB is of the view that Shared Education should be implemented with a view to it being 'mainstreamed' into the education system in NI and should not be viewed in isolation as 'a project'. Leadership in schools should be able to demonstrate 'mainstreaming', in the absence of funding in the long term, and schools should not be funded unless they can satisfy this prerequisite. Thought also needs to be given to those schools that do not engage in Shared Education and the impact of their disengagement on the system as a whole.



Committee for Education

Barry Mulholland
Chief Executive
Western Education and Library Board
1 Hospital Road
Omagh
County Tyrone
BT79 0AW
barry.mulholland@welbni.org
Martina.McCrory@welbni.org

13 February 2015

Our Ref: PMcC/PB/1970

Dear Barry

Inquiry into Shared and Integrated Education

The Committee would like to express its thanks to your colleague June Neill for her very useful and informative briefing on 11 February 2015, as part of the Committee's inquiry into Shared and Integrated Education.

The Committee noted the following reference in the written submission from WELB in respect of enablers for Shared and Integrated Education:

The constitution of the Boards of Governors is not 'partisan' but reflects the religious balance in the school to facilitate the promotion of a Shared/Integrated ethos;

The Committee agreed to write to WELB seeking clarity – is WELB suggesting that the constitution of Boards of Governors in e.g. some Controlled schools should be amended to reflect the high level of mixing of Protestant and

Committee for Education

Room 375, Parliament Buildings, Ballymiscaw, Stormont, Belfast, BT4 3XX

Tel: (028) 9052 1201 Fax: (028) 9052 21974

E-mail: peter.mccallion@niassembly.gov.uk

Catholic children in those schools? If so, does WELB believe that this should be accompanied by transformation to Integrated status for those schools? If WELB is not advocating transformation for mixed Controlled schools to Integrated status in all cases, is WELB suggesting the establishment of mixed Controlled non-Integrated schools with non-partisan boards which could then attract facilitation and encouragement from the Department under Article 64 of the 1989 Order?

A response by 2 March 2015 would be much appreciated.

Yours sincerely

Signed Peter McCallion

Peter McCallion Clerk Committee for Education

Committee for Education

Room 375, Parliament Buildings, Ballymiscaw, Stormont, Belfast, BT4 3XX

Tel: (028) 9052 1201 Fax: (028) 9052 21974

E-mail: peter.mccallion@niassembly.gov.uk