

### **SECTION 75 SCREENING FORM**

# **Section 75 Statutory Equality Duties**

http://www.equalityni.org/S75duties

The promotion of equality of opportunity entails more than the elimination of discrimination. It may also require proactive measures to be taken to maintain and secure equality of opportunity.

Section 75 (1) requires the Assembly Commission in carrying out its functions, powers and duties to have *due regard to the need to promote equality of opportunity* between –

- persons of different religious belief, political opinion, racial group, age, marital status, or sexual orientation
- men and women generally
- persons with a disability and persons without
- persons with dependants and persons without.

Without prejudice to the obligations set out above, the Commission is also required to:

- a) have regard to the desirability of promoting good relations between persons of different
  - religious belief
  - political opinion; or
  - racial group
- b) meet legislative obligations under the Disability Discrimination Order.

# What is a policy?

The Equality Commission for Northern Ireland state in their guidance<sup>1</sup> that the term 'policy' is used to denote any strategy, policy (proposed/amended/existing) or practice and/or decision, whether written or unwritten.

The Commission's Equality Scheme reflects the Equality
Commission's definition of a policy and this should be applied in
determining what needs to be screened. The Equality Scheme states:

"In the context of Section 75, 'policy' is very broadly defined and it covers all the ways in which we carry out or propose to carry our its functions in relation to Northern Ireland. In respect of this equality scheme, the term policy is used for any (proposed/amended/existing) strategy, policy initiative or practice and/or decision, whether written

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>'Section 75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998, A Guide for Public Authorities' April 2010, page 30. A policy may include planning decisions, service changes, corporate strategies, policy development, practices, guidelines, procedures and protocols; board papers

or unwritten and irrespective of the label given to it, e.g. 'draft', 'pilot', 'high level' or 'sectoral'."

If you are in doubt, please contact the Equality and Good Relations Unit for advice. Equality Screening guidance notes are also available on Assist.

# Part 1 Policy scoping

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy under consideration. The purpose of policy scoping is to help prepare the background and context, and to set out the aims and objectives for the policy being screened. At this stage, scoping the policy will help identify potential constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy maker work through the screening process on a step-by-step basis.

# **Policy Details**

Name of the policy to be screened/description:			
Data Protection Policy			
Is this policy an existing, new or revised policy? (Please append policy to screening			
form)			
Revised policy			
<del></del>			
What is it trying to achieve? (brief outline of intended aims/outcomes of the policy) The Northern Ireland Assembly Commission (the Assembly Commission) is fully committed to complying with Data Protection legislation including the General Data Protection Regulation ('GDPR') which has applied from 25 <sup>th</sup> May 2018. The policy sets out procedures to ensure that all employees, contractors, agents, consultants and other parties who have access to any personal data held by, or on behalf of, the Assembly Commission are fully aware of, and abide by, their duties and responsibilities. All personal data must be handled and dealt with properly, however it is collected, recorded and used, and whether it is on paper, in computer records or recorded by any other means.			

Are any of the Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the
intended policy/decision? Please explain how.
N/A
<del></del>
Who initiated or wrote the policy?
Data Protection and Information Standards Officer
<del></del>
Directorate responsible for devising and delivering the policy?
Legal, Governance and Research Services
<del></del>
Was a small table a small disease and aftities a small disease and a
Was consultation carried out as part of this screening exercise?
Yes ⊠ No □
Background to the Policy to be screened.
Include details of any pre-consultations/consultations which have been conducted
and whether the policy has previously been tabled at SMG/Assembly Commission
meetings.
Consultation was carried out with an internal Working Group involving the Head Of HR;
Head of Legal Services (Acting) Head of Internal Audit, Head of Security and Head of
Finance.
The policy was also discussed with directors on 10 December 2019 before
consideration at SMG of 17 December 2019. Previous papers have issued to SMG on
22 May 2018; 25 April 2018; 11 December 2017; and 18 January 2017.
Implementation factors
Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended
aim/outcome of the policy/decision?
Yes □ No ⊠
If yes, are they
n ves, are mev

	Financial
	Legislative
	Other, please specify: Click here to enter text.
Maiı	n stakeholders affected
Who	are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy
will ir	mpact upon?
$\boxtimes$	Staff
$\boxtimes$	Service users
$\boxtimes$	other public sector organisations
$\boxtimes$	voluntary/community/trade unions
$\square$	Other please specify: Public

# Other policies with a bearing on this policy

What are these policies and who owns them? Please list:
CCTV Policy – Security
- Convincion Coounty
Fraud Prevention and Anti-bribery Policy - Finance
Policy for Managing and Processing of Images– Information Standards
Assembly Commission Information Assurance Policy – Information Standards;
Records and Email Management Policy – Information Standards;
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Retention Policy – Information Standards;
Data Breach Management Plan – Information Standards;
Social Media Policy - HR
Discipline Policy - HR
Standards of Conduct Policy - HR
Flexible Working Policy – HR;
Social Media Policy - HR;
Alcohol, Drugs and Substance Abuse Policy
•
Policy for the use of IT Resources by Secretariat Staff – IS Office; and
IS Password Policy – IS Office
is it described.

Consideration of available data/research (This means any data or information you currently hold in relation to the policy or have gathered during policy development). Evidence to inform the screening process may take many forms and should help you to decide who the policy might affect the most. It will also help ensure that your screening decision is informed by relevant data.

What <u>evidence/information</u> (both qualitative and quantitative) do you hold to inform your decision making process?

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information
Religious belief	n/a
Political opinion	n/a
Racial group	n/a
Age	n/a
Marital status	n/a
Sexual orientation	n/a
Men and women generally	n/a
Disability	n/a
Dependants	n/a

## **Current Assessment of Impact**

Having looked at the data/information you have collected in the question above, what does this tell you are the needs, experiences and priorities for the people who fall into the groups below, in relation to your policy? And what is the actual or likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by the policy. (See appendix 1 for information on levels of impact).

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities and details of policy impact	Level of Impact
Religious belief	Click here to enter text.	Impact level.
Political opinion	Click here to enter text.	Impact level.
Racial group	Click here to enter text.	Impact level.
Age	Click here to enter text.	Impact level.
Marital status	Click here to enter text.	Impact level.
Sexual orientation	Click here to enter text.	Impact level.
Men and women generally	Click here to enter text.	Impact level.
Disability	Click here to enter text.	Impact level.
Dependants	Click here to enter text.	Impact level.

If you do not have enough data to tell you about potential or actual impacts you may need to generate more data to distinguish what groups are potentially affected by your policy.

# Part 2 Screening Questions

1 What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?			
Section 75 category	Issue	Minor/major/none?	
Religious belief	n/a	Impact level.	
Political opinion	n/a	Impact level.	
Racial group	n/a	Impact level.	
Age	n/a	Impact level.	
Marital status	n/a	Impact level.	
Sexual orientation	n/a	Impact level.	
Men and women generally	n/a	Impact level.	
Disability	n/a	Impact level.	
Dependants	n/a	Impact level.	

# 2 Are there any actions which could be taken to reduce any adverse impact which has been identified or opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity? Section 75 Mitigating Measure Issue category Religious belief Impact level. n/a Political opinion n/a Impact level. Racial group n/a Impact level. Age n/a Impact level. Marital status n/a Impact level. Sexual orientation n/a Impact level. Men and women Impact level. n/a generally Disability n/a Impact level. Dependants n/a Impact level.

3 To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? minor/major/none			
Good relations category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact minor/major/none	
Religious belief	n/a		
Political opinion	n/a		
Racial group	n/a		

4 Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equalities categories?			
Section 75 category	If <b>Yes</b> , provide details	If <b>No</b> , provide reasons	
Religious belief	n/a	n/a	
Political opinion	n/a	n/a	
Racial group	n/a	n/a	

# Consultation

Tell us about who you have talked to about your proposals, either internally or
externally and who you have formally or informally consulted, to help you decide if the
policy needs further equality investigation?

Click here to enter text.	

#### **Disability Duties**

Consider whether the policy:

- a) Discourages disabled people from participating in public life and fails to promote positive attitudes towards disabled people.
   n/a
- b) Provides an opportunity to better positive attitudes towards disabled people or encourages their participation in public life.
   n/a

#### Additional considerations

#### Multiple identities

Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities?

(For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men).

Provide details of data of the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

<u> </u>		
Click here to enter text.		

# Part 3 Screening decision

Through screening, an assessment is made of the likely impacts — either major, minor or none — of the policy on equality of opportunity and/or good relations for the relevant categories. Completion of screening should lead to one of the following three outcomes; please mark an x in the appropriate box:

oxtimes 'Screened out' i.e. the likely impact is none and no further action is required
$\Box$ 'Screened out' with mitigation i.e. the likely impact is minor and measures will be taken to mitigate the impact or an alternative policy will be proposed
$\Box$ 'Screened in' for an equality impact assessment (EQIA) i.e. the likely impact is major and the policy will now be subject to an EQIA
If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons.
If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment, but the policy has minor equality impacts, please provide details of the reasons for this decision and of any proposed mitigating measures or proposed alternative policy.
Click here to enter text.

provide details of the reasons.
Click here to enter text.

If the decision is to subject the policy to an equality impact assessment, please

# Timetabling and prioritising for EQIA

Complete this section only if your business area/directorate plans to conduct two or more EQIAs.

Factors to be considered in timetabling and prioritising policies for equality impact assessment.

If the policy has been 'screened in' for equality impact assessment, then please answer the following questions to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

On a scale of 1-3, with 1 being the lowest priority and 3 being the highest, assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity and good relations	Click
Social need	Click
Effect on people's daily lives	Click
Relevance to a public authority's functions	Click

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order wit other policies screened in for equality impact assessment.	.h
Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities? Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$	)
If yes, please provide details Click here to enter text.	
Yes □ No □ If yes, please provide details	,

# Part 4 Monitoring

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impact arising from the policy which may lead the Commission to conduct an equality impact assessment, as well as help with future planning and policy development.

The Equality Commission for NI (ECNI) recommends that where a policy has been amended or an alternative policy introduced, the public authority should monitor more broadly for adverse impact.

See ECNI Monitoring Guidance for use by Public Authorities (July 2007) pages 9-10, paragraphs 2.13 – 2.20

Please detail how you will monitor the effect of the policy?

What data is required in the future to ensure effective monitoring of the policy?

#### Part 5 - Data Protection

т а	ppiica	abie,	nas	ıegaı	advice	been ,	given	aue	cons	siaer	ation	?				
`	Yes		No		N/A	$\boxtimes$										
Has	s due	cons	sider	ation	been g	given to	o info	rmati	ion s	ecui	rity in	relat	ion t	o this	polic	;y?
	Yes	$\boxtimes$	Nο													

Part 6 - Approval and authorisation

Screened by:	Position/Job Title	Date
Martina Dalton	Information Standards Officer	20.12.19
Approved by:		
Angela Kelly	Examiner of Statutory Rules	20.12.19

The policy lead should sign and date the policy under the 'screened by' heading. It should then be countersigned by an approver. The Approver should be the senior manager responsible for the policy which would normally be Head of Business. In instances where a screening decision concludes that an EQIA is required then the screening form should be countersigned by the Director instead of the Head of Business.

There are of course a range of issues which may fall within the scope of being novel, contentious or politically sensitive and could only be taken forward following consultation with the Assembly Commission. Where policy screening highlights novel, contentious or politically sensitive issues, once approved by the Director, should be forwarded to the Clerk/Chief Executive for review, prior to proceeding to SMG and the Assembly Commission.

A copy of the <u>completed</u> screening template and any other relevant associated documentation should be forwarded to the Equality Manager.

# ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO INFORM THE ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT TO THE EQUALITY COMMISSION

1.	Please provide details of any measures taken to enhance the level of engagement with individuals and representative groups.
2.	In developing this policy / decision were any changes made as a result of equality issues raised during :
	<ul><li>(a) pre-consultation / engagement;</li><li>(b) formal consultation;</li><li>(c) the screening process; and/or</li><li>(d) monitoring / research findings.</li></ul>
	If so, please provide a brief summary including how the issue was identified, what changes were made, and what will be the expected outcomes / impacts for those affected.
3.	Does this policy / decision include any measure(s) to improve access to services including the provision of information in accessible formats? If so please provide a short summary.

## Appendix 1 Screening Questions

#### Introduction

In making a decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment, you should consider your answers to the questions above.

In addition, the screening questions above further assist you in assessing your policy and must be completed. Some of these questions require you to assess the level of impact of the proposed policy on "equality of opportunity" and "good relations". The scale used when assessing this impact is either "None", "Minor" or "Major". The following paragraphs set out what each of these terms mean.

If your conclusion is <u>none</u> in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then you may decide to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations, you should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.

If your conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

If your conclusion is <u>minor</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories and/or good relations categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:

- measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
- the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.

#### In favour of a 'major' impact

- a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;
- b) Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and it would be appropriate to conduct an equality impact assessment in order to better assess them;
- Potential equality and/or good relations impacts are likely to be adverse or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns

- amongst affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

#### In favour of 'minor' impact

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible;
- b) The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures:
- Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.

#### In favour of none

- a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations.
- b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity or good relations for people within the equality and good relations categories.