

Minister's Office Block B, Castle Buildings Stormont Estate Ballymiscaw Belfast BT4 3SG Tel: 028 90522744 DoJ.MinistersOffice@justice-ni.x.gsi.gov.uk

Christine Darrah Committee Clerk Committee for Justice Northern Ireland Assembly Parliament Buildings Stormont Estate Belfast BT4 3XX

17 August 2020

Dear Christine,

DOMESTIC ABUSE AND FAMILY PROCEEDINGS BILL

Following the written and oral evidence provided to the Justice Committee on the Domestic Abuse and Family Proceedings Bill, you wrote to the Department on 10 July requesting a written response on the key issues raised in the evidence received by the Committee, in order to further assist the Committee's deliberations on the Bill. The Department has added a written response, covering the position on the issues set out in table that you shared with us.

In further correspondence on 4 August officials also received a further summary table, covering a range of issues raised in the evidence provided that are not within the Bill and would hope, if at all possible, to have a response to that table with the Committee ahead of the scheduled evidence session on Thursday 3 September.

I trust that the responses provided in the attached table are of assistance to Committee Members. Officials are of course content to discuss the issues in further detail at the

FROM THE OFFICE OF THE JUSTICE MINISTER



Committee session on 3 September and look forward to further engagement with the Committee on the provisions in the Bill.

Yours sincerely,

pp. Shauna Rodgers TIM LOGAN DALO

Enc. Detailed response to the Committee's evidence table

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE RECEIVED BY THE JUSTICE COMMITTEE ON THE DOMESTIC ABUSE AND FAMILY PROCEEDINGS BILL

CLAUSE 1 – THE DOMESTIC ABUSE OFFENCE

EFM

This clause makes it an offence for a person to engage in a course of behaviour (defined in clause 4(4) as behaviour on at least two occasions) which is abusive of another person with whom they are at the time of the course of behaviour personally connected. Clause 5 defines what two people are personally connected. For the purpose of this commentary, these personally connected persons will be referred to as "the accused" and their "partner/connected person".

Subsection (2) provides that the offence is subject to two further conditions being met. The first of these conditions is that a reasonable person would consider that the course of behaviour would be likely to cause the partner/connected person to suffer physical or psychological harm, which includes fear, alarm and distress (see subsection (3)). The court would be entitled to take account of the circumstances of the case, for example any particular vulnerability of the partner/connected person, in considering whether the accused's behaviour would be likely to cause them to suffer physical or psychological harm.

The second of these conditions is that the accused must either intend that the behaviour causes their partner/connected person to suffer harm or is reckless as to this. This condition could be met in a reckless situation where, for example, the accused is persistently verbally abusive and demeaning towards their partner/connected person but claims that they did not intend the behaviour to cause harm.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 1	Department of Justice Comments/Position
NI Policing Board	NIPB notes that the Bill does not provide for a definition of domestic abuse. While satisfied that abusive behaviour is set out in some detail and understands the reasoning for the exclusion of a separate	The Department considered, in conjunction with core statutory and voluntary sector partners, whether to include a statutory definition of domestic abuse in the Bill ahead of it being finalised. Following this it was agreed that given the detail set

	definition the Board would welcome the	out in the Bill, in relation to what constitutes abusive
	inclusion of a standalone definition of	behaviour (and therefore domestic abuse), that a
	domestic abuse.	
	domestic abuse.	standalone definition was unnecessary.
		Furthermore, to provide for a definition in the Bill
		would not materially change the provisions or serve
		a legislative purpose, given that any such provision
		would be likely to simply state domestic abuse
		means abusive behaviour as set out in Clause 2.
Attorney General for NI	States that the breadth of the new	The Department has considered the content of the
	offence of domestic abuse provides	Bill and is content that it is Human Rights Compliant.
	the opportunity for individual decisions	We note and agree that any decisions take under
	that might fall short of what is required	any legislation have to be human rights compliant.
	by articles 7 and 8 ECHR. It will be a	
	matter for the PSNI, the PPS and	
	ultimately the courts as public authorities	
	bound by section 6 of the Human Rights	
	Act 1998 to ensure decisions are	
	compatible with these protected rights.	
	Highlights that an unintended	
	consequence of the very broad drafting	
	of the offence of domestic abuse is its	
	potential for being exploited by	On the matter of false allegations, and how these
	stalkers. A standard pattern of stalking is	are dealt with, this will not be new to police given the
	that there will have been a relationship	range of offences that are currently on the statute
	between A and B, often of short duration.	book a number of which will be between connected
	Complaints by A against B to the PSNI	individuals (for example harassment offences). This
	about stalking behaviour may be	is an issue that will however be considered further
	transformed by the inventive malevolence	as part of discussions with police.
	of B into instances of abusive behaviour	
	by A: "she's trying to get me into trouble".	
PSNI	Whilst 1(3) provides that 'references in	This is something that could be further expanded
	this section to psychological harm include	upon in the guidance, if considered necessary.

	fear, alarm and distress' the PSNI is	Members will wish to note that legislation that refers
	concerned that this does not provide	to 'physical and psychological harm' is generally
	an adequate definition of	without any further explanation. This includes the
	'psychological harm'. In its view the	Sexual Offences Act 2003, the Human Trafficking
	impact of not having an adequate	and Exploitation (Criminal Justice and Support for
	definition could cause difficulty from	Victims) Act (Northern Ireland) 2015 and the Mental
	an operational perspective.	Capacity Act (Northern Ireland) 2016. The Bill goes
	an operational perspective.	further in that in addition to the everyday day
		meaning of the term (which is standard for
		legislation where a term is not defined as defined in
		the Oxford English dictionary) it provides clarification
		in relation to what the terminology can include (but is
		not limited to). What will also be important is the
		policy/operational guidance associated with the new
		offence.
Men's Advisory Project	Agrees with an objective, 'reasonable	These are issues that will be considered by the
Men's Advisory Project	S	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	person' test being applied but highlights that extensive awareness raising and	Department and partner organisations in operationalising the new offence. The reasonable
	training on the nature and different	person text is relevant in terms of application at
	manifestations of coercive and	court.
	controlling behaviour will be necessary	court.
	for the 'reasonable person' standard to	
	work. The misconception that domestic	The Department continues to raise awareness of the
	abuse is a crime perpetrated by a man	fact that domestic abuse can affect anyone
	and experienced by a woman will also	regardless of gender, sexual orientation, etc. This is
	need to be challenged at all levels of	an issue that will also be reflected in future
	society.	advertising campaigns associated with the new
	Sourcey.	offence as well as social media activity.
Women's Aid Federation	Recommends that certain elements of	It is unclear what is meant here. Given that the
	the law could be mandatory so that	provisions will be in statute they will be mandatory.
	there is no room for error as a result of	The Department recognises the importance of
	individual lack of understanding of	training and awareness raising. A multi-agency
	mannual last of understanding of	raining and awareness raising. A multi-agency

coercive contro solicitors, barr Has concerns t	nce and abuse and ol on the part of police, isters, judges etc. that children could be nd that a person under	Task and Finish Group will consider how best this can be progressed. This will include both statutory as well as voluntary and community sector partners While appreciating the concerns expressed it is important to ensure that the offence is available in
	ould be charged with a	cases of domestic abuse against parents, vulnerable elderly grandparents as well as ongoing and persistent abuse in teenage relationships. As with all other offences, in deciding whether to charge a young person, consideration will be given to the circumstances of the case, whether the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) is met and what alternative disposals may be available.
		Youth Justice Agency staff are trained to recognise and respond to issues of domestic abuse in all its forms, whether a child is a victim or perpetrator. They are required to negotiate the procedural and process requirements of both the justice and safeguarding systems as they impact on children and adults, whilst supporting service users to engage with a range of support requirements and safety plans. Specialised interventions are delivered as part of community or court-ordered disposals, often in collaboration with other statutory and voluntary organisations.
		The experience in other jurisdictions are that the number of young people charged with an offence has been relatively low. We understand that in

	Recommends the inclusion of a statutory gendered definition of domestic abuse to include violence against women and girls either in the Bill or in the 'Stopping Domestic and Sexual Abuse Strategy'.	Scotland, for example, around 1.5% of those reported and prosecuted were under the age of 18 (around 15 - 20 individuals), while in England and Wales we understand that only two individuals under the age of 18 have been convicted. While domestic abuse primarily affects women, account needs to be taken of the fact that just under a third of domestic abuse crimes are carried out against men (an increase from 25% in 2004/05) and around 40% of domestic homicides involve males. We would have concerns that the adoption of a gendered definition could send out a message that tackling abuse against men is less important. More generally gendered based violence will be captured by the domestic abuse offence.
	States that the legislation to protect all victims and survivors must include women with no recourse to public funds e.g. partners of settled persons, students or temporary workers and people seeking asylum with their partners.	There will be no exclusions in terms of the application of the domestic abuse offence in this regard. More generally the issue of immigration status is a reserved matter that is not within devolved competence. We continue to liaise with Home Office officials on this matter.
NIWEP	Welcomes the domestic abuse offence and particularly the inclusion of psychological harm. States that while gender neutral language regarding victims and abusers is appropriate recommends that a gendered element is included in the definition.	While domestic abuse primarily affects women, account needs to be taken of the fact that just under a third of domestic abuse crimes are carried out against men (an increase from 25% in 2004/05) and around 40% of domestic homicides involve males. We would have concerns that the adoption of a gendered definition could send out a message that tackling abuse against men is less important. More

	Highlights the need to create clarity for victims and build capacity of justice agencies, recommends that robust data collection is undertaken and annual data published and consideration is given to how the legislation will be reviewed and monitored to ensure effective implementation.	 generally gendered based violence will be captured by the domestic abuse offence. The Department recognises the importance of robust data in relation to the new offence. How best to secure this, and what will be reported on, is being considered by the Department in conjunction with partner agencies as part of the operationalisation of the new offence. As with any new policy review an evaluation will be an integral part of the policy development process. The Department will ensure that this includes engagement with statutory and voluntary sector partners (including to reflect the views of those subject to abuse). Ahead of a formal review and evaluation there will be ongoing informal monitoring and review of implementation of the new offence.
Women's Policy Group NI	States that a gendered definition of abuse and a recognition of gender- based violence against women and girls needs to be included in the legislation.	While domestic abuse primarily affects women, account needs to be taken of the fact that just under a third of domestic abuse crimes are carried out against men (an increase from 25% in 2004/05) and around 40% of domestic homicides involve males. We would have concerns that the adoption of a gendered definition could send out a message that tackling abuse against men is less important. More generally gendered based violence will be captured by the domestic abuse offence.
Victim Support NI	Agrees with an objective 'reasonable' person test being applied but highlights that it will be necessary for awareness raising and training on the nature and	The Department recognises the importance of training and awareness raising. A multi-agency Task and Finish Group will consider how best this can be progressed. This will include both statutory

	different manifestations of coercive, controlling behaviour to be rolled out in order for the 'reasonable person' standard to work in practice and therefore recommends that provision for the funding and roll-out of training and awareness-raising initiatives on the law should be included alongside this Bill.	as well as voluntary and community sector partners. The guidance associated with the new offence, as well as an advertising campaign, will also be important in terms of raising awareness around what constitutes domestic abuse and examples of behaviours that could be captured by the new offence.
	One aspect missing from this Bill is the protection of migrants who are victims of domestic abuse. Those with insecure immigration status are especially vulnerable to domestic abuse and less able to report or leave because they may fear that their complaint will be ignored and immigration concerns will take precedence or their leaving the relationship will void their visa. There should be additional provisions within this law to better protect and support victims of domestic abuse who have insecure immigration status, or an immigration status that is dependent upon their abuser including provision of adequate financial support to enable such victims and their children to safely leave an abusive relationship.	Access to support services, including specialist support services, is available regardless of the status of an individual. The Department intends to introduce a new advocacy support service next year that would provide assistance to those that have been affected by domestic and/or sexual abuse. More generally the issue of immigration status, which this concern relates to, is a reserved matter that is not within devolved competence. We continue to liaise with Home Office officials on this matter.
Relate NI	Also recommends additional provision	Access to support services, including specialist
	to clarify protections for migrants	support services, is available regardless of the
	whose immigration status may be dependent on the person perpetrating	status of an individual. More generally the issue of immigration status, which this concern relates to, is

	abuse or where immigration status is	a recommend restant that is not within downly ad
	abuse or whose immigration status is	a reserved matter that is not within devolved
	insecure.	competence. We continue to liaise with Home
		Office officials on this matter.
NEXUS	Questions whether the reference to	Emotional harm and abuse would be encapsulated
	physical and psychological harm is	within the effects of abusive behaviour set out at
	sufficient to cover emotional	Clause 2(3) of the Bill.
	harm/abuse or if emotional harm and	
	abuse should be specified.	
ICTU	Welcomes the definition of the offence	The domestic abuse offence is an integral part of
	and the definition of abusive behaviour but	(and set within the context of) the wider seven year
	is concerned that unless the legislation	domestic and sexual violence and abuse strategy
	is set within a policy context which	and associated action plans, which is aimed to
	includes robust strategies and action	tackle and address domestic abuse on a multi-
	plans, that it in itself will not bring	agency basis. Both the strategy and actions plan
	about the changes required to prevent	are progressed on a cross Executive basis and
	domestic abuse.	reflect a range of work being taken forward by DfC,
	domestic abuse.	DE, DoH and DoJ (as well as linkages with DAERA
		and DfE) to address issues relating to domestic and
		sexual violence and abuse.
		Given the experience in other jurisdictions we
		consider that the new offence can make a material
		difference to those that are affected by domestic
		abuse. For example in the first year of operation in
		Scotland almost 1700 domestic abuse offences
		were recorded. The guidance associated with the
		new offence, as well as an advertising campaign,
		will also be important in terms of raising awareness
		and bringing about change.
	Recommends that the gendered nature	
	of domestic abuse and the fact that it is	While demostic abuse primarily offects were
		While domestic abuse primarily affects women,
	only one aspect of violence against	account needs to be taken of the fact that just under

	women and girls is clearly	a third of domestic abuse crimes are carried out
	acknowledged within the legislation.	against men (an increase from 25% in 2004/05) and
	Also recommends that the legislation	around 40% of domestic homicides involve males.
	acknowledges the additional barriers	We would have concerns that the adoption of a
	faced by marginalised groups such as	gendered definition could send out a message that
	black and minority ethnic women,	tackling abuse against men is less important. More
	disabled women and LGBT+ people	generally gendered based violence will be captured
	and the specific experiences of migrant	by the domestic abuse offence.
	women.	
		The issue of barriers for marginalised communities
		is a matter being considered under the seven year
		domestic and sexual violence and abuse strategy.
		This is also something that will be reflected within
		the guidance associated with the new offence.
PCS	Also welcomes the definition of the	The domestic abuse offence is an integral part of
	offence and the definition of abusive	(and set within the context of) the wider seven year
	behaviour and has similar concerns to	domestic and sexual violence and abuse strategy
	those outlined by ICTU. Believes the	and associated action plans, which is aimed to
	legislation must be accompanied by	tackle and address domestic abuse on a multi-
	robust strategic equality strategies	agency basis. A range of work is also taken forward
	including, Race, Sex, Disability, LGBT,	in the areas stated by other Departments such as
	Age, Religious and political beliefs and	the Executive Office and the Department for
	anti-poverty/social deprivation, in order	Communities.
	to protect the most vulnerable and	
	marginalised groups otherwise the	Given the experience in other jurisdictions we
	impact of the proposed legislation will	consider that the new offence can make a material
	be diluted. Legislation and strategies	difference to those that are affected by domestic
	will also be limited in their impact	abuse. For example in the first year of operation in
	without additional adequate support,	Scotland almost 1700 domestic abuse offences
	resources, funding and training across	were recorded. The guidance associated with the
	the public, private and charity sectors.	new offence, as well as an advertising campaign,

		will also be important in terms of raising awareness and bringing about change.
		Impact assessments have been undertaken as part of the policy development process (including from an equality perspective).
		A multi-agency Task and Finish Group will consider how best training and awareness raising can be progressed. This will include both statutory as well as voluntary and community sector partners.
La Dolce Vita	Requests consideration is given to including that the offence applies while living in or post separation.	The domestic abuse offence applies whether individuals are currently, or have previously been, in a relationship and does not require partners/former partners, etc. to be living together. This is considered important given that domestic abuse may increase once individuals have separated.
NICOSSA	Questions whether there should be a definition of the gravity or length of the effect in relation to the words fear, alarm and distress referring to psychological harm and asks does the legislation intend that a feeling of fear for a momentary period would lead to an offence being committed?	The issue of extent or gravity of abusive behaviour would be considered as part of the sentencing in the case, with the impact dependant on the individual circumstances of the case. The offence is predicated on the basis that there have to be two or more occasions of abusive behaviour.
Evangelical Alliance	Notes that the offence can be committed regardless of whether or not harm is actually caused to an individual. In this age of rapidly changing social values many words are considered 'harmful' by some people while to others they are perfectly 'reasonable' and not in any way	Ultimately it will have to be considered that there has been abusive behaviour in order for the offence to apply. The offence operates on the basis of a number of checks and balances. The behaviour must in the first instance occur on two of more occasions, be considered abusive (with a range of effects set out), would be considered by a

Concerned that if key terms such as 'harm. Concerned that if key terms such as 'harm' and 'reckless' are not defined and there is a lack of safeguards to prevent malicious or vindictive use of it by either partner in a difficult or toxic relationship the legislation could be abused and victims and trust lost in the process.	reasonable person to be such, would be likely to cause the person to suffer physical or psychological harm and the offender intends to cause harm or is reckless as to this. All of these conditions have to occur for the offence to be carried out. Police and prosecutors, who are well versed in the necessary evidence and thresholds, would also have to consider that the offence has been committed and that the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) has been met. Further safeguards also apply in terms of a defence where the behaviour could otherwise, dependant on the circumstances of the case, be considered reasonable. See above in relation to definition of terms. The issue of malicious or vindictive accusations is something that applies to other offences and will not be new for the police and prosecutors. As with other legislation there are a wide range of terms in the Bill that attract their ordinary (typically Oxford English dictionary) meaning. The guidance associated with the offence can provide further clarification where considered necessary. On the matter of malicious or vindictive allegations, and how these are dealt with, this will not be new to police given the range of offences that are currently on the statute book a number of which will be between connected individuals (for example harassment offences). This is an issue that will however be considered further as part of discussions with police.

	Also raises questions in relation to the pattern of behaviour and whether it could be inadvertently applied to unintended situations e.g. retrospectively when a friendship ends between two teenagers or in instances of bullying which, while not acceptable, may not be a criminal offence. Asks how it could be prevented from being conflated or confused with behaviour in an unstable romantic relationship which is immature, jealous, undesirable but again not necessarily criminal.	The examples given would not in and of themselves be considered to meet the necessary criteria for the offence, in terms of, for example, a friendship ending or those in a relationship being immature. A number of conditions must apply for the offence to occur, as set out above, with checks and balances inherent to this.
NSPCC	Warmly welcomes the creation of a specific offence of domestic abuse for Northern Ireland, particularly the inclusion of coercive and controlling behaviours within the definition of abusive behaviour. However, believes the scope of the offence must be amended to adequately reflect how children and young people are affected by domestic abuse. At present the offence can apply to individuals of any age which contrasts with the Domestic Abuse Bill currently before Westminster which explicitly states that the offence being created applies where both A and B are aged sixteen or over. Strongly believes	The Westminster Domestic Abuse Bill provides that their definition of domestic abuse applies to those aged 16 and over. The offence in England and Wales, which is entirely separate to the definition of domestic abuse, is provided for through Section 76 of the Serious Crime Act 2015. This is unaffected by the provisions in the Domestic Abuse Bill. Similar to the situation locally that offence applies to offenders over the age of criminal responsibility, as well as victims under 16 (except where parental responsibility applies). While appreciating the concerns expressed it is important to ensure that the offence is available in cases of domestic abuse against parents, vulnerable elderly grandparents as well as ongoing and

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	should be amended to include	persistent abuse in teenage relationships. As with
	minimum age threshold so	all other offences, in deciding whether to charge a
children	cannot be convicted of the	young person, consideration will be given to the
propose	d offence. Indicates that	circumstances of the case, whether the test for
including	g children under the age of	public prosecution (including a public interest test) is
sixteen i	n the statutory definition of the	met and what alternative disposals may be
domesti	c abuse offence in terms of	available.
their ow	n relationships risks confusing	
the child	protection response with	Youth Justice Agency staff are trained to recognise
cases be	ing dealt with through a more	and respond to issues of domestic abuse in all its
punitive	criminal justice lens rather	forms, whether a child is a victim or
than a m	ore protective, health and	perpetrator. They are required to negotiate the
social ca	are-based focus. Both in cases	procedural and process requirements of both the
where a	child is experiencing abuse	justice and safeguarding systems as they impact on
	re a child is engaged in	children and adults, whilst supporting service users
harmful	behaviours, the response	to engage with a range of support requirements and
should b	e child-centred, seek to	safety plans. Specialised interventions are delivered
prevent	further harm and promote	as part of community or court-ordered disposals,
recovery	. In the majority of cases a	often in collaboration with other statutory and
criminal	justice response would not be	voluntary organisations.
the mos	helpful or appropriate	
	e and therefore is of the view	The experience in other jurisdictions are that the
	criminal offence should not	number of young people charged with an offence
apply to	children.	has been relatively low. We understand that in
		Scotland, for example, around 1.5% of those
		reported and prosecuted were under the age of 18
		(around 15 - 20 individuals), while in England and
		Wales we understand that only two individuals under
		the age of 18 have been convicted.
		The Victim Charter provides that young people are
		entitled to:
		entitled to:

	 have access to a victim support service provider and be provided with a Young Witness Pack by that service provider; have the Victim and Witness Care Unit take appropriate steps to help them get the support they need as a result of the needs assessment process; and speak to someone specially trained to listen to them and help then get over the crime, at any time during the investigation (and trial). This could be therapy or counselling. In terms of those who display harmful behaviour their needs should be considered separately from the needs of the person being abused. There should be a co-ordinated approach by Health and Social Care Trusts, the police, Public Protection Arrangements NI, the Public Prosecution Service, victim support services and youth justice bodies. Schools and colleges may need to be involved as part of the co-ordinated response to provide education and awareness so that relevant professionals from this sector can understand the risks the young person may pose to other young people. This co-ordinated response should include working with the young person whose behaviour has been harmful and those working with the young person who has been harmed.
NSPCC also believes that the offence should capture the experiences of	Children and young people who abuse others should be held responsible for their abusive

children living within the context of a	· • • •
abusive relationship between adults	in a way that meets their own needs as well as
and must go further and more direct	
recognise the impact on children of t	, , ,
relationship between A and B, not	be the subject of a Child Protection Case
merely as an aggravating factor as	Conference if he or she is considered personally to
provided for in Clause 9 but as an	be at risk of continuing significant harm.
offence in its own right. NSPCC	
recommends an amendment to the	The Department gave careful consideration to the
statutory definition which recognises	s scope of the domestic abuse offence in order to
that a child (C) is directly impacted b	y ensure that children could be captured within it, in
the behaviour which A directs at B.	their own right, where they are in a relationship or
	are a family member (except where parental
	responsibility applies, in order to prevent
	criminalisation of this) and that aggravation related
	to a child could be reflected while preventing
	criminalisation of parental responsibility.
	Having considered the matter further, and taking
	account of the concerns expressed, the Department
	is having discussions with colleagues in the
	Department of Health around a possible amendment
	to child protection provisions (contained in health
	legislation but amendment of which could be
	brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill
	subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where
	a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-
	physical abuse. Such provision would make clear
	that it would be an offence whether the suffering or
	injury caused to a child was physical or
	psychological in nature, for example isolation,
	humiliation or bullying.

NIHRC	The Commission states that it is important	The Department also considered, in conjunction with core statutory and voluntary sector partners, whether to include a statutory definition of domestic abuse in the Bill ahead of it being finalised. Following this it was agreed that, given the detail set out in the Bill in relation to what constitutes abusive behaviour (and therefore domestic abuse), a standalone definition was unnecessary. Furthermore, to provide for a definition in the Bill would not materially change the provisions or serve a legislative purpose, given that any such provision would be likely to simply state domestic abuse means abusive behaviour as set out in Clause 2. While domestic abuse primarily affects women,
	that the statutory offence reflects the gendered impact of domestic violence and abuse, as such recognition will have positive implications for the way resources are allocated to support survivors and recommends consideration is given to including reference to gender-based violence in clause 1 as a form of domestic abuse.	account needs to be taken of the fact that just under a third of domestic abuse crimes are carried out against men (an increase from 25% in 2004/05) and around 40% of domestic homicides involve males. We would have concerns that the adoption of a gendered approach could send out a message that tackling abuse against men is less important. More generally gendered based violence will be captured by the domestic abuse offence.
	The Commission states that for the Bill to be human rights compliant, the provisions must protect and support all individuals who experience domestic abuse regardless of their immigration status. The Bill should therefore specifically state that the provisions within apply	The offence will apply across the board regardless of an individual victim's immigration status. It is not considered necessary to stipulate this as part of the legislation. More generally the issue of immigration status, which this concern relates to, is a reserved matter that is not within devolved competence. We

regardless of an individual's	continue to liaise with Home Office officials on this
	matter.
immigration status.Bar of NIThe Bar recognises that the proposed reasonable person test may be to the benefit of the prosecution in not requiring to show that B was in fact adversely impacted by the behaviour. However, reliance on an objective test is problematic for a number of reasons. For example, the proposed test requires the reasonable person to assess the likely impact on B. It invites the fact finder to decide how the reasonable person might consider B, as an individual, is likely to be impacted. That in itself may necessitate that some evidence be provided about the impact on B or about B as an individual. It is possible that there will rarely be objective and independent proof of any complaint of psychological harm in these situations, unless records of a diagnosed condition can be provided, and therefore there is a risk that the test may ultimately need to rely on B's evidence to actually secure a conviction in practical terms.	

Furthermore, the reference at clause 1(3) that psychological harm includes 'fear, alarm and distress' with no requirement to demonstrate the actual impact on the victim is a low bar and potentially gives considerable discretion to the PPS in making decisions around the which complaints should be prosecuted.	More generally in terms of reasonable person tests this mirrors that in Scotland, while the England and Wales provisions which are tried and tested also include a reasonable person element, with a good precedent for this. In terms of a reference to psychological harm being a low bar, it must be remembered that there are a number of conditions that must be met for the offence to be committed, with checks and balances inherent in this. The behaviour must in the first instance occur on two or more occasions, be considered abusive (with a range of effects set out), would be considered by a reasonable person to be such, would be likely to cause the person to suffer physical or psychological harm and the offender intends to cause harm or is reckless as to this. All of these conditions have to occur for the offence to have occurred out. Police and prosecutors, who are well versed in the necessary evidence and thresholds, would also have to consider that the offence has been committed and that the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) has been met. Further safeguards also apply in terms of a defence where the behaviour could otherwise, dependant on the circumstances of the case, be considered reasonable.
We would highlight that this, when coupled with the broad list of family members in clause 5, will potentially allow a considerable range of	In terms of family member the scope in the Bill reflects the cross Departmental seven year domestic and sexual violence and abuse strategy. To do otherwise would be contrary to the position adopted

behaviours in intimate relationships to fall un this Bill. Despite this, the Bar red is a fine balance which between ensuring the s alleged perpetrators of and at the same time en victims of domestic abu further trauma as part o having to prove to the c behaviour has caused t harm. We appreciate th behind the Bill is a genu improve the operation of recognise the very diffici	der the ambit ofpolice position whereby family members are deemed to include mother, father, brother, sister, son, daughter, grandparents, in-laws or stepfamily.ognises that there nust be struck ife prosecution of lomestic abuse suring that the a criminal trial by purt that the nem psychological at the rationale ine attempt topolice position whereby family members are deemed to include mother, father, brother, sister, son, daughter, grandparents, in-laws or stepfamily. Both police and PPS have indicated that they are content with the current scope of family member in the Bill.In considering the scope of family members the Department was keen to ensure that the range was comprehensive but not so broad, covering all possible family members, that it would negatively and adversely impact upon what society and the courts consider to be domestic abuse, in the context of committing an offence and the seriousness associated with this.
The Bar is of the view inclusion of a clear de domestic abuse in the would be helpful.	inition of core statutory and voluntary sector partners,

Education Authority	The EA questions whether if someone abuses another on a singular occurrence and other forms of abuse e.g. modern slavery and exploitation and coercive control related to immigration status falls within the definition in the Bill and whether they	The offence will apply where there are two or more occasions of abusive behaviour, as set out in the Bill, where two individuals are personally connected. The guidance associated with the offence will set out examples of abusive behaviour.
	can be covered by the guidance developed under the Bill.	
NIACRO	States that there may be an increase in women who commit and/or are accused of offences of coercive or emotional abuse and asks how the criminal justice system is prepared to address such an increase.	The offence will apply to all who commit domestic abuse, regardless of gender. While there will be an increase in the number of offenders under the new offence it is envisaged that the vast majority of cases would have otherwise have been progressed through the criminal justice system under different charges. In terms of the suggested increase in women offenders the Department is currently developing a strategy to support and challenge women and girls who come into contact with the justice system. The strategy to empower change is likely to have a three-strand approach, focusing on prevention/diversion, community and custody. A public consultation is due to launch in the Autumn.
	Also questions whether the inclusion of the new offence could leave women more vulnerable to being falsely accused of this crime and if the allegations are found to be false how they will be dealt with.	On the matter of false allegations, and how these are dealt with, this will not be new to police given the range of offences that are currently on the statute book a number of which will be between connected individuals (for example harassment offences). This is an issue that however will be considered further as part of discussions with police.

	Highlights that the legislation will only be effective if it is accompanied by comprehensive training for professionals such as PSNI, PPS, healthcare professionals etc. Recommends that the legislation should be accompanied by mandatory training for the judiciary on domestic abuse.	The Department recognises the importance of training and awareness raising. A multi-agency Task and Finish Group will consider how best this can be progressed. Discussions are also being held with the Judicial Studies Board in terms of raising awareness among the judiciary, including considering what lessons can be learnt from other jurisdictions.
Ulster University – Dr Tony McGinn and Dr Susan Lagdon	States that domestic abuse differs from other violence due to the intimate relationships involved, which can facilitate coercive control of victims particularly where children and vulnerable family members may be concerned. Notes that while it is important to keep victims and survivors of domestic abuse at the centre of the judicial process it should not follow that they are in a position to veto prosecution efforts which sometimes seems to be the case at present and questions whether this legislation responds to this shortfall in existing procedures and provides for prosecutions to go ahead regardless of victims' interventions/without the participation of the victim.	As is the case at present investigations and prosecutions will be progressed where the necessary evidence is available. Work is ongoing with the judiciary around the piloting of listing arrangements at Laganside Magistrates court later in the year, which would enable the clustering of domestic assault cases, accompanied by improved file quality processes and support for high risk repeat victims. There will be an increased focus on ensuring that prosecutions can proceed in the absence of a victim giving evidence.

CLAUSE 2 – WHAT AMOUNTS TO ABUSIVE BEHAVIOUR

EFM

This clause sets out what constitutes abusive behaviour for the purpose of the offence. The description is non-exhaustive and it therefore remains open to the court to determine in any individual case that the accused's behaviour was abusive in some other way, beyond the ways described.

Subsection (2) provides that abusive behaviour includes conduct which is violent or threatening (including both physical and sexual violence). Subsection (2) also covers behaviour directed at an individual, their child or any other person where the purpose of the behaviour is to have certain effects on the partner/connected person or where a reasonable person would consider it likely to have one or more of the effects.

Subsection (3) sets out, in connection with this latter aspect of the offence, the relevant effects that can indicate that behaviour is abusive. This is intended to ensure that, for example, psychological abuse, or controlling or coercive behaviour that could not currently be prosecuted under existing offences, falls within the definition of abusive behaviour (as well as violent or threatening behaviour).

Subsection (3)(a) deals with behaviour which makes the partner/connected person dependent on or subordinate to the accused. This could include, for example, preventing the partner/connected person from having access to money, forcing them to leave their job or education, taking charge of household decision-making to the exclusion of them or treating them as a domestic slave.

Subsection (3)(b) covers behaviour which has the effect of isolating a person from friends, family members or other sources of social interaction or support. This could include, for example, controlling a partner's or connected person's movements; access to their phone or other forms of communication; not allowing visits from or to the partner's or connected person's friends or family, or deliberately failing to pass on messages from friends or family.

Subsection (3)(c) refers to behaviour which has the effect of controlling, regulating or monitoring the day-to-day activities of a partner/connected person. This could include, for example, checking their phone, e-mail or social media use, controlling what clothes they can or cannot wear, or placing unreasonable requirements on them, for example, to prepare meals in a particular way at a particular time every day or to answer the phone within three rings.

Subsection (3)(d) deals with behaviour which has the effect of depriving or restricting the freedom of action of a partner/connected person. This addresses behaviour which strips that person of their autonomy, for example, preventing them from attending work or college, preventing them from leaving the house alone, insisting on accompanying them to medical appointments, or taking decisions for them in relation to private, individual matters that a person would normally decide for themselves.

Subsection (3)(e) refers to behaviour which has the effect of frightening, humiliating, degrading or punishing a partner/connected person. This could include, for example, abusive name-calling, threats of self-harm, playing 'mind games' that cause the partner/connected person to doubt their self-worth, controlling a partner/connected person's access to the toilet or forcing them to eat food off the floor.

Subsection (4)(a) provides that the reference to violent behaviour includes both physical and sexual violence. Subsection (4)(b) provides that reference in the clause to a child means someone under 18 years of age.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 2	Department of Justice Comments/Position
Men's Advisory Project	States that in terms of securing convictions more rigorous and innovative evidence collection approaches to support successful prosecutions must be considered.	As part of the operationalisation of the offence, and the development of guidance, consideration will be given to the types of evidence that may be provided in a case. As is the case at present investigations and prosecutions will be progressed where the necessary evidence is available. Work is ongoing with the judiciary around the piloting of listing arrangements at Laganside Magistrates court later in the year, which would enable the clustering of domestic assault cases, accompanied by improved file quality processes and support for high risk repeat victims. There will be an increased focus on ensuring that prosecutions can proceed in the absence of a victim giving evidence.
	Highlights threats to destroy or withhold personal possessions can	The domestic abuse offence applies whether individuals are currently, or have previously been, in

	have formed a pattern of domestic abuse during the relationship which is further administered post-separation and the destruction of inherited family keepsakes or photographs can cause long lasting anxiety and pain. Also notes that spiritual abuse is not mentioned – MAP often witnesses men facing their children being removed from their previously attended religion or school post separation or alternatively being moved into a religion when there was agreement between the parents that this would not be the case.	a relationship. This is considered important given that domestic abuse may increase once individuals have separated. The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types of abusive behaviours, as well as the effects of these, and not by reference to the form in which those behaviours can manifest (such as abuse through parental alienation or spiritual abuse). To include a specific list of types of abuse could risk creating a hierarchy and mean that types of abuse not listed may be deemed to be less serious or more worryingly not abuse at all. We therefore consider it important to focus on behaviour being abusive and the effects that this may have as the most effective means through which to capture different forms of abuse.
		The guidance associated with the offence can clearly set out examples of types of abusive behaviour and will be considered by a multi-agency Task and Finish Group, through which a range of views can be reflected.
Education Authority	States that the term reckless under 2(b)(ii) should be defined further.	As with other legislation there are a range of terms in the Bill that attract their ordinary (typically the Oxford English dictionary) meaning. The guidance associated with the offence can provide further clarification in relation to such terminology where considered necessary.
	EA also notes that coercive control can often be perpetrated via electronic and	The Bill provides, through Clause 4, that abusive behaviour is behaviour of any kind. This would

	'online' forme and suggests the	include behaviour that is carried out by electropic
	'online' forms and suggests the	include behaviour that is carried out by electronic,
	Department should consider	digital or online means. The guidance associated
	developing guidance in relation to how	with the offence can provide further information in
	this new legislation should be	relation to this.
	interpreted with regard to how	
	perpetrators of domestic abuse use	
	electronic means.	
Safeguarding Board for NI	The Board welcomes the comprehensive	The Bill provides, through Clause 4, that abusive
	list of behaviours. It also highlights the	behaviour is behaviour of any kind. This would
	growing use of technology facilitated	include behaviour that is carried out by electronic,
	domestic abuse which is an increasing	digital or online means. The guidance associated
	area perpetrators can use to abuse,	with the offence can provide further information in
	stalk and control their victims and	relation to this.
	advocates for training for criminal	
	justice agencies around technology	The Department recognises the importance of
	facilitated domestic abuse and how it	training and awareness raising. A multi-agency
	can be used by perpetrators to harm	Task and Finish Group will consider how best this
	and control their victims thus	can be progressed. This will include statutory as
	perpetrating violence.	well as voluntary and community sector partners.
NEXUS	Broadly agrees with the definition of	The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types
	abusive behaviour however given that	of abusive behaviours, as well as the effects of
	economic abuse is a common issue for	these, and not by reference to the form in which
	victims of domestic abuse there is an	those behaviours can manifest (such as economic
	argument that it should be specified in	abuse). It is considered that economic abuse would
	the definition.	fall within the effects that are listed in the Bill. To
		include a specific list of types of abuse could risk
		creating a hierarchy and mean that types of abuse
		not listed may be deemed to be less serious or
		more worryingly not abuse at all. We therefore
		consider it important to focus on behaviour being
		abusive and the effects that this may have as the

		most effective means through which to capture different forms of abuse.
		The guidance associated with the offence can clearly set out examples of types of abusive behaviour, such as economic abuse, and will be considered by a multi-agency Task and Finish Group, through which a range of views can be reflected.
Derry City and Strabane District Council	The Council assumes that verbal abuse is included under abusive (non- physical) behaviour?	The Bill provides that (abusive) behaviour will include behaviour of any kind, where this is considered to be abusive, subject to the other conditions of the offence being met.
Belfast DSVP	Welcomes the comprehensive list of behaviours associated with domestic abuse in all its forms but notes the growing use of technology facilitated domestic abuse and highlights this is an increasing area perpetrators can use to abuse, stalk and control their victims. Significant training for the criminal justice agencies around technology facilitated domestic abuse and how it can be used by perpetrators to gain omnipresence and instil fear in their victims is therefore necessary.	The Bill provides, through Clause 4, that abusive behaviour is behaviour of any kind. This would include behaviour that is carried out by electronic, digital or online means. The guidance associated with the offence can provide further information in relation to this. The Department recognises the importance of training and awareness raising. A multi-agency Task and Finish Group will consider how best this can be progressed. This will include statutory as well as voluntary and community sector partners.
La Dolce Vita Project	Recommends that considerationshould be given to including:i)Isolating of children from familymembersii)breach of family contact orders,which is determined at time of	The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types of abusive behaviours, as well as the effects of these, and not by reference to the form in which those behaviours can manifest (such as abuse through parental alienation or deliberate isolation from family members). However, this could

	assessment as an intentional behaviour, to purposely delay court proceedings, causing psychological and emotional harm on parent, child, grandparent, caregiver. iii) child, parent child relational distress. Consideration also needs to be given to identifying in the bill parental alienation as an abusive behaviour causing potential or actual harm to a child, parent, grandparent, caregiver e.g. "the wilful attempt by one parent to take control of a child and intentionally eliminate the role and responsibility of the other parent, or family member resulting in traumatic separation or loss".	constitute abusive behaviour, depending on the circumstances of the case, and fall within the effects that are listed in the Bill. To include a specific list of types of abuse could risk creating a hierarchy and mean that types of abuse not listed may be deemed to be less serious or more worryingly not abuse at all. We therefore consider it important to focus on behaviour being abusive and the effects that this may have as the most effective means through which to capture different forms of abuse. The guidance associated with the offence can clearly set out examples of types of abusive behaviour and will be considered by a multi-agency Task and Finish Group, through which a range of views can be reflected.
MANI	Takes the view that every child deserves to have a relationship with a parent who wants to be in their life and highlights that, in many cases they know of, children's rights to see their father do not seem to be upheld. States that deliberately keeping a child from another parent is continuing the abuse by other means and parental alienation must be covered in the Bill.	The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types of abusive behaviours, as well as the effects of these, and not by reference to the form in which those behaviours can manifest (such as abuse through parental alienation or deliberate isolation from family members). It is considered that parental alienation could fall within the effects that are listed in the Bill. To include a specific list of types of abuse could risk creating a hierarchy and mean that types of abuse not listed may be deemed to be less serious or

		more worryingly not abuse at all. We therefore consider it important to focus on behaviour being abusive and the affects that this may have. The guidance associated with the offence can clearly set out examples of types of abusive behaviour and will be considered by a multi-agency Task and Finish Group, through which a range of views can be reflected.
NICCOSA	Asks that in relation to 2(3)(a) consideration is given to changing the words "making B dependent on" to "intentionally or recklessly making B dependent on" as in some relationships B can become dependent on A without there being an intention to do so on the part of A.	This is already provided for through clause 1(2) and the requirements for the offence, which are that the behaviour would be likely to cause physical or psychological harm and that the offender intends to cause such harm by the behaviour or is reckless as to whether it would cause such harm. Given that the relevant effects at clause 2(3) need to be read in conjunction with clause 1(2) for the offence to occur the suggested change is considered unnecessary.
	Also questions if clause 2(5) is necessary at all and indicates that allowing definitions to be unlimited when there are criminal consequences surely has implications for the rights of the defendant.	Clause 2 does not provide that the definition is unlimited, nor widen the powers available within the clause, rather it is intended to provide legislative clarity that none of the paragraphs within subsections two and three (dealing with abusive behaviour and the relevant effects) will limit the meaning of any of the other paragraphs in those subsections. It is important to note that the offence operates on the basis of a number of checks and balances. The behaviour must in the first instance occur on two or

		more occasions, be considered abusive (with a range of effects set out), would be considered by a reasonable person to be such, would be likely to cause the person to suffer physical or psychological harm and the offender intends to cause harm or is reckless as to this. All of these conditions have to occur for the offence to be carried out. Police and prosecutors, who are well versed in the necessary evidence and thresholds, would also have to consider that the offence has been committed and that the test for public prosecution has been met (including a public interest test). Further safeguards also apply in terms of a defence where the behaviour could otherwise, dependant on the circumstances of the case, be considered reasonable. Overall the Bill is considered to be human rights compliant.
NIWEP	While NIWEP welcomes the definition of abusive behaviour as set out in this clause, they state that it is imperative that coercive control is criminalised as a specific offence as urgently as possible.	The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types of abusive behaviours, as well as the effects of these, and not by reference to the form in which those behaviours can manifest (such as coercive control). It is considered that coercive control would fall within the effects that are listed in the Bill. To include a specific list of types of abuse could risk creating a hierarchy and mean that types of abuse not listed may be deemed to be less serious or more worryingly not abuse at all. We therefore consider it important to focus on behaviour being abusive and the effects that this may have as the most effective means through which to capture different forms of abuse.

		The guidance associated with the offence can clearly set out examples of types of abusive behaviour, such as coercive control, and will be considered by a multi-agency Task and Finish Group, through which a range of views can be reflected.
PSNI	Notes that in a previous consultation there were concerns as to the lack of any or adequate definition of 'coerciveness'. An obvious change is what appears to be the removal of a reference to coercive behaviour as in the first draft of the Bill and there is now only a brief reference to <i>controlling</i> under clause 2(3)(c).	Formal drafts of the Bill did not contain reference to coercive behaviour. The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types of abusive behaviours, as well as the effects of these, and not by reference to the form in which those behaviours can manifest (such as controlling and coercive behaviour). It is considered that controlling and coercive behaviour would fall within the effects set out at clause 2(3) of the Bill. To include a specific list of types of abuse could risk creating a hierarchy and mean that types of abuse not listed may be deemed to be less serious or more worryingly not abuse at all.
	The question remains if the Police Service of Northern Ireland can 'police' the Bill in the absence of a definition for ' <i>dependent</i> 'under 2(3)(a) and ' <i>controlling</i> ' under 2(3)(c). Additionally, whilst (1)(3) provides that 'references in this section to psychological harm include fear, alarm and distress' the PSNI is concerned that this does not provide for an	As with other legislation there are a wide range of terms in the Bill that attract their ordinary (typically Oxford English dictionary) meaning. Interpretative provisions are typically provided where there are material complex provisions in the Bill for which there would be significant difficulty of interpretation. For the domestic abuse offence the ultimate question will be is the behaviour considered to be abusive.

	 adequate definition of 'psychological harm'. The impact of not having an adequate definition could cause difficulty from an operational perspective. From reviewing the Bill there are no interpretative provisions. It is noted that there is the inclusion of a <i>reasonable test</i>. It is the view of the PSNI that this is 	The guidance associated with the offence can clearly set out examples of types of abusive behaviour, such as coercive and controlling behaviour, and provide further clarification where considered necessary. The guidance will be considered by a multi-agency Task and Finish Group, through which a range of views can be reflected. The police will be an integral part of this and we will work closely to ensure that the guidance meets their operational needs.
South Eastern DSVP	imminently sensible as an objective test. The term coercive control is somewhat hidden in the Bill. There is significant research to highlight that coercive control correlates significantly with serious harm and femicide and the term should be referenced or highlighted more within the Bill and subsequent guidance. The term "gas- lighting" should also be referenced both within the Bill and guidance given it is another term synonymous with psychological manipulation and harm.	The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types of abusive behaviours, as well as the effects of these, and not by reference to the form in which those behaviours can manifest (such as controlling and coercive behaviour). To include a specific list of types of abuse could risk creating a hierarchy and mean that types of abuse not listed may be deemed to be less serious or more worryingly not abuse at all. It is considered that coercive and controlling behaviour would be captured by the effects that are set out at clause 2(3) of the Bill. As with other legislation there are a wide range of terms in the Bill that attract their ordinary (typically Oxford English dictionary) meaning. Interpretative provisions are typically provided where there are material complex provisions in the Bill for which there would be significant difficulty of interpretation. For the domestic abuse offence the ultimate question will be is the behaviour considered to be abusive

		The guidance associated with the offence can clearly set out examples of types of abusive behaviour, such as coercive and controlling behaviour, and provide further clarification where considered necessary. The guidance will be considered by a multi-agency Task and Finish Group, through which a range of views can be reflected. The police will be an integral part of this and we will work closely to ensure that the
South Eastern Health and Social Care Trust	Is also of the view that the term coercive control should be referenced or highlighted more within the Bill and subsequent guidance for the reasons outlined by the South Eastern DSVP.	guidance meets their operational needs. The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types of abusive behaviours, as well as the effects of these, and not by reference to the form in which those behaviours can manifest (such as coercive control). To include a specific list of types of abuse could risk creating a hierarchy and mean that types of abuse not listed may be deemed to be less serious or more worryingly not abuse at all. It is considered that coercive and controlling behaviour would be captured by the effects that are set out at
Bar of NI	Notes that the effects listed at 2(3) are very broad and are behaviours that have been routinely detailed in academic literature as typical of abusive behaviour yet such behaviours that are well documented as being typical of abusive behaviours may not be viewed as such by the reasonable person. Important work has been done by a range of organisations to combat many of the	clause 2(3) of the Bill. Clauses 2(2) and 2(3) are explanatory in terms providing indications of what may be abusive behaviour and the effects of this. In the absence of, and even with these provisions, the consideration for the court will ultimately be has there been abusive behaviour. Furthermore, in the absence of these provisions there would be a lack of clarity and consistency across courts as to what potential effects would be. Clarity, in terms of the legislation setting out who is involved, the behaviour and

myths and misconceptions which inform	effects that can occur is considered key for
attitudes and understanding of domestic	practitioners including police, the Public
abuse. However, this issue still persists	Prosecution Service, the defence and courts. This
and therefore there may be some value	will also be augmented by the guidance that it to be
in considering whether the offence	produced. It is considered that together these will
should require evidence of harm to B	improve understanding more generally. The
in order to prevent any myths or	purpose of the requirement that harm does not
misconceptions allowing a perpetrator	have to caused is to ensure that where domestic
to escape conviction.	abuse has occurred that an offender cannot escape
to escape conviction.	justice where, for example, the abuse is so
	ingrained and been carried out for so long that it
	has been normalised with the effect that the victim
	of the abusive behaviour does not consider that
	they have been abused.
	they have been abused.
	Furthermore, the provisions are similar to those in
	place in Scotland, which has often been described
	as the gold standard in this area, with higher
	number of cases being progressed through their
	criminal justice system than was the case when a
	similar type of offence was introduced in England
	and Wales.
The Bar expressed concern in	In terms of distinguishing coercive control from
response to the DOJ consultation in	other undesirable incidents of abusive it is
April 2016 that the criminalisation of	important to ensure that non-physical abusive
behaviours, such as those listed in	behaviour is not limited to only coercive control and
clause 2(3) of the Bill, must be	rather recognises that abusive behaviour can take a
contextualised if the legislation is to	range of forms including aspects such as physical
achieve its aim. As previously noted, to	or sexual abuse; violence or threatening behaviour;
achieve this it is necessary to	controlling or coercive behaviour; economic,
distinguish coercive control from other	financial or emotional abuse. It is considered that

	harm and the offender intends to cause harm or is reckless as to this. All of these conditions have to occur for the offence to be carried out. Police and prosecutors, who are well versed in the necessary evidence and thresholds, would also have to consider that the offence has been committed and that the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) has been met.
In addition, given the range of personal relationships that the Bill applies to under the use of 'members of the same family' in clause 5, the Bill could also potentially apply to a wide range of scenarios involving family disagreements. For example, this Bill could apply if an individual had a gambling addiction and a family member intervened to restrict their 'freedom of action' to try and stop this individual from spending money with the aim of protecting them. The Bar is of the view that a defence of reasonableness must be available in respect of the offence of abusive behaviour, albeit the Bill offers a limited definition of reasonableness at clause 12 with two examples provided in the accompanying explanatory memorandum.	Further safeguards also apply in terms of a defence where the behaviour could otherwise, dependant on the circumstances of the case, be considered reasonable – a defence of reasonableness - for example where a person has a gambling addiction and restrictions may be needed in term of who they associate with. In terms of limited examples in the explanatory memorandum of what may be considered reasonable this is a short document, intended to provide an overview; even a more substantive document cannot cover every single eventuality that may occur. The guidance associated with the offence can provide further information in relation this.

	We would reiterate that there needs to be continuing education as to the complex type of behaviour that manifests coercive control. In relation to our example above at paragraph 12, one partner who monitors the other's phone may indeed be the individual who is actually having an affair but is applying their standards to their partner. This monitoring of calls may be just one element forming part of other behaviours which constitute coercive control. Effective public education will be key in addressing these difficult scenarios as we move away from the idea that a criminal offence arises from one action as opposed to the cumulative effect of various different actions.	In terms of education and awareness raising a multi-agency Task and Finish Group will consider how best this can be progressed. This will include statutory as well as voluntary and community sector partners. The Department also intends to bring forward a multi-media advertising campaign, building on the previous 'See the Signs' campaign to raise public awareness of the new offence. The guidance associated with the new offence, which will be published, will also be central to providing information and raising awareness as what constitutes domestic abuse.
	It is important to recognise that at the time of intervention by the justice system, the victim may no longer see that the controlling behaviour they are being subjected to as abusive due to the cumulative nature of it. This has been a problem encountered by many in the criminal and family justice system for years when even after a prosecution the parties reconcile with no change of behaviour.	The inability to see that the controlling behaviour they are subjected to as being abusive is one of the key reasons as to the way the Bill has been drafted.
NIACRO	Welcomes the extended definition of the offence and the abusive behaviour,	The issue of barriers for marginalised communities is a matter being considered under the seven year

	however there is a need to establish strategies for addressing harm caused to those who are LGBTQ and to develop gender specific strategies to serve as guidelines to establish support and best practice.	domestic and sexual violence and abuse strategy. Wider gender specific strategies would not be a matter for the Department to progress though we would have an interest in any work in this area.
Huntington's Disease Association NI	Questions whether any provision has been made for the protection of victims from contact by the defendant post- reporting/post-conviction/release?	Where there are concerns in relation to safety of an individual there would be the option of applying for non-molestation or restraining orders.
	Also is online activity such as the publication of photos, names, medical information and personal material covered?	In the context of the Bill the domestic abuse offence applies whether individuals are currently, or have previously been, in a relationship. This is considered important given that domestic abuse may increase once individuals have separated.
		The Bill provides, through Clause 4, that abusive behaviour is behaviour of any kind. This would include behaviour that is carried out by electronic, digital or online means. The guidance associated with the offence can provide further information in relation to this.

CLAUSE 3 – IMPACT OF BEHAVIOUR ON VICTIM

EFM

This clause provides that the partner/connected person need not have actually suffered physical or psychological harm for the offence to be committed. It also states that it is not necessary for the effects of the behaviour covered by clause 2(3) (such as dependency, subordination, isolation, control, etc.) to have actually been suffered by the partner/connected person for the offence to have been committed.

This is because a reasonable person test applies both in clause 1(2)(a) in relation to physical or psychological harm and clause 2(2)(c)(ii) in relation to 'relevant effects'. It is therefore sufficient that a reasonable person would consider it likely that the behaviour would result in the partner/connected person suffering physical or psychological harm, or experiencing a 'relevant effect'. So, for example, clause 2(2)(c) would cover behaviour which a reasonable person would consider likely to frighten, humiliate, degrade, punish or intimidate the partner/ connected person, irrespective of whether they actually suffer fear, humiliation, degradation, punishment or intimidation.

In turn, this clause clarifies that evidence is not prevented from being presented of actual harm, or effects arising, as a result of the alleged course of behaviour or the effects that the behaviour actually had on the partner/connected person. Such evidence therefore remains relevant in the case.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 3	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Jim Allister MLA	It is important to note that any suggestion that there have been successful prosecutions in Scotland, where no actual harm was caused, as stated to the Assembly, is not borne out by actual data.	We have been advised that the offence in Scotland, which is on a similar basis whereby harm does not have to be caused, is operating well. As the Scottish offence only came into force on 1 April 2019, published statistics are not yet available. We understand that it has a higher prosecution and conviction rate than England and Wales had at the outset.
		While figures are not collected as to whether the

		case involved actual harm or a relevant effect upon the victim, we are not aware of any issues being raised in Scotland with regards to harm not having to be actually caused. It is considered that harm should not have to be caused for the offence to apply as some victims may be resilient to the abuse, or it may have become normalised, particularly if it has been going on for many years.
NICCOSA	Clause 3 (1) and 3(2) - in the circumstances where behaviour is alleged and there are no effects, should caution be considered in the evidence required for such an offence to be made out, in terms of the evidence required?	What will be important is that there is evidence of abusive behaviour. The provisions focus on the actions of the perpetrator and the intention to either cause harm or be reckless as to this. We have also liaised with prosecutorial colleagues in Scotland, whose legislation is framed in a similar manner and which is often seen as the gold standard in this area, who have advised that they have not encountered practical difficulties with the operation of their offence.
		Operationally it will be for the police to consider what evidence is brought forward where a person is charged with an offence, as is the case at present. Police and prosecutors, who are well versed in the necessary evidence and thresholds, would also have to consider that the offence has been committed and that the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) has been met.
Bar of NI	Notes that 3(1) states that the domestic abuse offence can be committed whether or not A's behaviour actually causes B to suffer harm of the sort referred to in section 1(2) and 3(2) which states that A's	In terms of the absence of a requirement to show harm what is important is that there is evidence of abusive behaviour. The provisions focus on the actions of the perpetrator and the intention to either cause harm or be reckless as to this. The purpose

behaviour can be abusive of B by virtue of section 2(2)(c) whether or not A's behaviour actually has one or more of the relevant effects set out in section 2(3). It seems possible that the absence of a requirement to show harm to B could arise in cases where B is not the instigator of the complaint, where B is not in fact harmed and where B does not themselves consider the conduct abusive.	is to ensure that a case can be taken forward where an individual may have suffered considerable abuse over a period of time but due to the extent and nature of this it has become 'normalised' and/or as a result of this the person is unaware that they have been abused. An example of this would be the Hart brothers, who many in this field have heard speak, whose mother and sister where killed by their father. The Domestic Homicide Review in that case stated that they "had been suffering intense domestic abuse for many years and didn't know this as there was no physical abuse". These behaviours are what we are dealing with in the bill. We have also liaised with prosecutorial colleagues in Scotland, whose legislation is framed in similar manner and which is often seen as the gold standard in this area, who have advised that they have not encountered practical difficulties with the operation of their offence.
The effects listed earlier at 2(3) may also arise in a non-abusive context. For example, this includes making B 'dependent on' A and could potentially include financial dependency. This could apply where one partner ceases paid employment to provide child care and, if combined with A then seeking to control the spending of B on clothes, that may fulfil "effects" (a) and (c), as drafted. Where B does not consider this abusive,	In terms of the query around a non-abusive context it must be remembered that there are a number of conditions that must be met for the offence to be committed, with checks and balances inherent in this. The behaviour must in the first instance occur on two or more occasions, be considered abusive (with a range of effects set out), would be considered by a reasonable person to be such, would be likely to cause the person to suffer physical or psychological harm and the offender intends to cause harm or is reckless as to this. All

employing an objective test may cause difficulty.	of these conditions have to occur for the offence to be carried out. If the behaviour is not considered abusive the offence cannot occur by virtue of a number of the conditions not being met.
Notes the stipulation at 3(3) that 'nothing in this chapter prevents evidence from being led in proceedings for the domestic abuse offence about - (a) harm actually suffered by B as a result of A's behaviour, (b) effects which A's behaviour actually had on B'. As stated above, it is important that such evidence remains relevant in the case and consideration should be given to whether the offence should in fact require evidence of harm to B.	Police and prosecutors, who are well versed in the necessary evidence and thresholds, would also have to consider that the offence has been committed and that the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) has been met.

CLAUSE 4 – MEANING OF BEHAVIOUR ETC.

EFM

This clause further explains what is meant by behaviour for the purposes of Chapter 1.

Subsection (2) provides that behaviour includes saying or otherwise communicating something as well as doing something (including an intentional failure to do, say, or otherwise communicate something). This could include, for example, a failure to pass on times and dates of appointments or social occasions, a failure to feed a family pet or a failure to speak to or communicate with an individual.

Subsection (3) clarifies that behaviour is directed at a person if it is directed in any way. This would include, for example, behaviour involving or towards property or behaviour that affects the ability to acquire, use, maintain money or other property or to obtain goods or services. This could relate to shared property or property belonging to parents. Property will also include pets or other animals (for example agricultural livestock) whether belonging to the victim or others.

The clause also provides that behaviour directed at a person includes behaviour carried out with or through a third party, for example by spying on or reporting on the activities of a partner/connected person. The third party's involvement could be unwitting or unwilling, as they may be entirely unaware that their behaviour was helping the accused to abuse their partner/connected person or they may have been coerced into participating in the abuse.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 4	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Victim Support NI	Supports the definitions of behaviour outlined in the clause. Notes that this is a course of conduct offence requiring at least two occasions of such behaviour being carried out. While this accurately reflects the pattern of behaviour that is trying to be captured and criminalised in this offence, training and resourcing will be necessary for such a course of conduct offence to be effectively policed and prosecuted.	The Department recognises the importance of training and awareness raising. A multi-agency Task and Finish Group will consider how best this can be progressed. The police and PPS as key operational partners will be integral to this. Key statutory organisations are aware of the need to budget for the introduction of the offence. For many cases they will not involve new behaviours as such, rather incidents that are already being brought forward through the criminal justice system and have to be progressed through other less appropriate or potentially effective charges given the circumstances of the case.

	Additional resources should be	
	provided to the PSNI to enable them to	
	police this law effectively.	
La Dolce Vita	Consider including in conduct relating	The focus of the Bill is on what is abusive behaviour
	to the person's ability to "DO NOT	and what it constitutes. The approach taken is
	HARM:	therefore to reference types of abusive behaviours,
	i) protect child from ongoing	as well as the effects of these, and not by reference
	parental conflict and litigation e.g.	to the form in which those behaviours can either
	"consistent criticising of other parent",	manifest (such as abuse through parental alienation)
	denying of the parent's value to the	or be avoided. It would not be appropriate for the Bill
	child, informing child of court related	to state what positive steps should be taken, albeit
	matters, denigration of the other parent	that this is a laudable position.
	in the presence of child and others.	
	ii) promote the stability, attachment	
	and security of the child's relationship	
	with parent/family members, the right	
	of the child to have meaningful	
	relationships with all.	
	iii) false allegations, counter	
	allegations that intentionally distract	
	from the child's welfare.	
NICCOSA	Advises that Clause 4(b) and	The clause is not about necessarily compelling a
	"Intentionally failing to do something"	person to do something but rather that account can
	must be treated with caution and asks	be taken, in determining whether there has been
	in what way should a person be	abusive behaviour, where a person deliberately
	compelled to do something - perhaps	does not do something which could be considered
	examples could be given in this	abusive. This could, for example, include
	definition. The obvious one being failing	deliberately withholding vital medication or
	to financially support B when it is clear	intentionally failing to pass on times and dates of
	that A had a duty to do so.	appointments.
Bar of NI	The adoption of either intention or	The crux of the offence is that there has been
2	recklessness as the mental element of an	abusive behaviour, whether or not there is further

offence is common in criminal law. There is no reason why it should not be employed in respect of an offence of domestic abuse. However, there is a risk that the problems already identified in respect of the <i>actus reus</i> , where the stated 'effects' of behaviour are very widely defined and may encompass behaviours that one would not expect to be criminalised, combined with both intention and recklessness as the <i>mens rea</i> , would not provide the legal certainty that is sought	clarity provided in terms of what constitutes abusive behaviour and the stated effects. Even with, or in the absence of the latter, consideration has to be given to whether the behaviour is abusive. The behaviour must in the first instance be considered abusive (with a range of effects set out), would be considered by a reasonable person to be such, would be likely to cause the person to suffer physical or psychological harm and the offender intends to cause harm or is reckless as to this. All of these conditions have to occur for the offence to be carried out.
Notes that liability can arise from an omission under clause 4(2)(b). It is possible to envisage situations where a failure, for example, to provide money to a dependent partner thus perhaps controlling their access to sufficient food, can easily be recognised as abusive behaviour causing harm. It is harder to envisage a situation where criminal liability should properly be attributed for a failure to communicate something. We note that the explanatory	It is considered that in the absence of these provisions there would be a lack of clarity and consistency across courts as to what potential effects would be. Clarity, in terms of the legislation setting out who is involved, the behaviour and effects that can occur is considered key for practitioners including police, PPS, the defence and courts. The behaviour will be looked at in the round, which could include a failure to communicate something and which is deemed to be abusive.
memorandum elaborates on this to mention examples such as a failure to pass on times and dates of appointments or social occasions, a failure to feed a family pet or a failure to speak to or communicate with an individual. However,	memorandum of what may be considered reasonable this is a short document, intended to provide an overview; even a more substantive document cannot cover every single eventuality that may occur.

	we would still be concerned that this definition is insufficiently clear, accessible and foreseeable. The risk of uncertainty is exacerbated when the mens rea for committing the offence by omission includes recklessness. We would reiterate that the defence of reasonableness must be available in respect of these situations given how broadly it has been defined.	What will be important is that there is evidence of abusive behaviour. The provisions focus on the actions of the perpetrator and the intention to either cause harm or be reckless as to this. We have also liaised with prosecutorial colleagues in Scotland, whose legislation is framed in a similar manner and which is often seen as the gold standard in this area, who have advised that they have not encountered practical difficulties with the operation of their offence.
		where the behaviour could otherwise, dependant on the circumstances of the case, be considered reasonable.
Evangelical Alliance	Questions whether, notwithstanding genuine instances, could this apply to unintended situations? Could it be applied retrospectively when a friendship ends between two teenagers? How could it be prevented from being conflated or confused with behaviour in an unstable romantic relationship which is immature, jealous, undesirable but again not necessarily criminal? Could this be applied to instances of bullying, which while not acceptable, may not be a criminal offence?	The crux of the offence is that there has been criminally abusive behaviour which meets the conditions set out in the Bill. The offence operates on the basis of a number of checks and balances. The behaviour must in the first instance occur on two or more occasions, be considered abusive (with a range of effects set out), would be considered by a reasonable person to be such, would be likely to cause the person to suffer physical or psychological harm and the offender intends to cause harm or is reckless as to this. All of these conditions have to occur for the offence to be carried out. Police and prosecutors, who are well versed in the necessary evidence and thresholds, would also have to consider that the

States that someone could potentially quite easily be 'reckless as to their intent' in their 'failure to do or say or communicate something' while at the same time seeking to cause no harm to the connected person or oblivious to any perceived harm caused. Queries whether there is a clear enough boundary between these described patterns of behaviours and those which are undesirable and unkind but not unlawful.	offence has been committed and that the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) has been met. Further safeguards also apply in terms of a defence where the behaviour could otherwise, dependant on the circumstances of the case, be considered reasonable. The examples given would not in and of themselves be considered to meet the necessary criteria, in terms of, for example, a friendship ending or those in a relationship being immature. If a person has been reckless and failed to do something the conditions of the offence would only be met where the behaviour is deemed to be abusive. This would include for example where someone is unkind but not abusive. The checks, balances and safeguards in the offence would also apply as well as the defence available as appropriate. As with other areas these types of issues can be set out in the guidance associated with the offence.
In addition, questions could this stop someone seeking help or change counselling around issues of jealously or anger or difficult aspects of their relationship if they suspected that they were likely to be reported to police by a third party counsellor?	The key issue for the offence to apply will be whether there is deemed to be a course of abusive behaviour not whether actions are being taken to make someone a better person.

Could this be applied to a mentor/mentee relationship, a youth leader and young person in a faith context where the mentor or youth leader is accused of a pattern of behaviour that was deemed reckless because of a failure to communicate particular things or in communicating certain teachings of that faith that are deemed to be 'harmful'?	The offence will only apply where two people are personally connected, broadly speaking that is are (or have been) partners, family members or in an intimate personal relationship i.e. in a domestic setting. Generally speaking this will not be the case in an organisational capacity where other safeguarding provisions should apply if necessary.
--	---

CLAUSE 5 – MEANING OF PERSONAL CONNECTION

EFM

This clause defines what two people are personally connected for the purposes of Chapter 1. Subsection (2) provides that two people are personally connected if they are, or have been, married or in a civil partnership or they live together (or have lived together) as if spouses of each other. Two people are also personally connected if they are or have been in an intimate personal relationship with each other or are family members. The term "intimate personal relationship" is intended to cover relationships between two individuals (including young/teenage and same-sex relationships), although the relationship need not be sexual or long-term.

Subsection (3) sets out that a family member covers a person's parent, grandparent, child, grandchild or sibling. A family member also covers the parent, grandparent, child, grandchild or sibling of the person that they are in a relevant relationship with. Subsection (4) defines that two people are in a relevant relationship if they are married or are in a civil partnership, or they live together as if spouses. Subsection (5) makes provision for the inclusion of half-blood relationships, relationships by affinity and stepchildren when considering family membership.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 5	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Men's Advisory Project	States clarity is required to ensure that 'affinity' covers all relationships where a person can have a position of influence over a person including situations where there is kinship or foster carers for example or where a family is not set up in a 'typical' way.	In terms of the parental exclusion the provision relates to those that have responsibility for another which covers parental responsibility, a legal liability to maintain or having care of a young person.
Victim Support NI	Questions whether the Bill adequately covers all aspects of domestic abuse, particularly abuse from extended family members living under the same roof as if immediate family.	In considering the scope of family members the Department was keen to ensure that the range was comprehensive but not so broad, covering all possible family members, that it would negatively and adversely impact upon what society and the courts consider to be domestic abuse, in the context of committing an offence and the seriousness

NEXUS	Recommends that there should be	associated with this. For this reason it does not cover aunt, uncle or cousin. It is however more comprehensive than other regions in that family members and partners/former partners do not have to live together for the offence to occur, ensuring that for example the abuse of parents or grandparents who do not live with the person can be covered as well as abuse where individuals have separated, given that this is the point at which abuse can often escalate further. This also reflects the current police position whereby family members are deemed to include mother, father, brother, sister, son, daughter, grandparents, in-laws or stepfamily. Both police and PPS have indicated that they are content with the current scope of family member in the Bill. In terms of the parental exclusion it is considered
	more clarity on whether "affinity" will cover relationships such as adoptive	that the examples provided would be covered by this as the provision relates to those that have
	parent/child, foster parent/child, kinship carer/child relationships in	responsibility for another which covers parental responsibility, a legal liability to maintain or having
	cases where those carers are aunts/uncles as opposed to	care of a young person.
	grandparents or other relatives who aren't listed but who nonetheless are	In considering the scope of family members the Department was keen to ensure that the range was
	in a position of influence over a	comprehensive but not so broad, covering all
	person. This would be a particular concern in cases where extended	possible family members, that it would negatively and adversely impact upon what society and the
	family members live under the same	courts consider to be domestic abuse, in the context
	roof as if immediate family.	of committing an offence and the seriousness
		associated with this. For this reason it does not cover aunt, uncle or cousin. There could also be difficulties in terms of the permanency of any living

		arrangements, the transiency of contact and the potential to capture behaviour that would not otherwise be deemed to be domestic abuse. Account must also be taken that a number of organisations have expressed concern that the scope of family member is already too broad (and in a number of respects is already wider than that in other jurisdictions).
		Both police and PPS have indicated that they are content with the current scope of family member in the Bill.
Methodist Church in Ireland	Asks if the definition makes sufficient provision within the terminology for children who are foster children or children who are in temporary care.	In terms of the parental exclusion it is considered that the examples provided would be covered by this as the provision relates to those that have responsibility for another which covers parental responsibility, a legal liability to maintain or having care of a young person. The child aggravator can apply whether or not there is a personal connection between the individuals.
	States that consideration should also be given to including other family relatives with parental or guardian roles e.g. aunts, uncles etc.	In considering the scope of family members the Department was keen to ensure that the range was comprehensive but not so broad, covering all possible family members, that it would negatively and adversely impact upon what society and the courts consider to be domestic abuse, in the context of committing an offence and the seriousness associated with this. For this reason it does not cover aunt, uncle or cousin. There could also be difficulties in terms of the permanency of any living

ΝΙϹϹϓ	The application of the Bill's	arrangements, the transiency of contact and the potential to capture behaviour that would not otherwise be deemed to be domestic abuse. Account must also be taken that there has also been concern that the scope of family member is already too broad (and in a number of respects is already wider than that in other jurisdictions). This also reflects the current police position whereby family members are deemed to include mother, father, brother, sister, son, daughter, grandparents, in-laws or stepfamily. Both police and PPS have indicated that they are content with the current scope of family member in the Bill.
	The application of the Bill's provisions to children under 18 years both as victims and as those engaging in harmful and abusive behaviour should be carefully considered. Where necessary, additional safeguards must be put in place to ensure that children at risk of harm are properly protected and also that children who display harmful behaviour receive appropriate and effective interventions.	Where children are at a risk of harm this would be a child protection matter and dealt with appropriately as at present. In terms of those who display harmful behaviour their needs should be considered separately from the needs of the person being abused. There should be a co-ordinated approach by Health and Social Care Trusts, the police, Public Protection Arrangements NI, the Public Prosecution Service, victim support services and youth justice bodies. Schools and colleges may need to be involved as part of the co-ordinated response to provide education and awareness so that relevant professionals from this sector can understand the risks the young person may pose to other young people. This co-ordinated response should include working with the young person whose behaviour has been harmful and

Relate NI	Relate NI believes that there is scope for further clarity on clause 5 and its application to fostering, adoption and kinship care arrangements as it is not at first clear whether the mention of 'affinity' in clause 5 is intended to cover such arrangements.	 those working with the young person who has been harmed. Children and young people who abuse others should be held responsible for their abusive behaviour, while being identified and responded to in a way that meets their own needs as well as protecting others. Professionals should consider whether a young person who abuses others should be the subject of a Child Protection Case Conference if he or she is considered personally to be at risk of continuing significant harm. In terms of the parental exclusion it is considered that the examples provided would be covered by this as the provision relates to those that have responsibility for another which covers parental responsibility, a legal liability to maintain or having care of a young person.
	Personal connection does not appear to extend to individuals that are living together or had been living together not as spouses or situations where an individual is or has been a live in carer (in a part-time or full-time basis) within a private home.	Personal connection will cover individuals who are (or have been) married/civil partners (or living together as such), in an intimate personal relationship or are family members, whether or not they are living together. While we can appreciate concerns in relation to the treatment of individuals in care, it is not appropriate that private care scenarios are captured within the context of domestic abuse rather it is considered that this would be a safeguarding issue.
NIHRC	NIHRC recommends that the definition of clause 5 is widened to	While we can appreciate concerns in relation to the treatment of individuals in care, it is not appropriate

	include for example individuals living together without the need for any form of intimate relationship, live-in carers within a private home and guardians.	that private care scenarios for example are captured within the context of domestic abuse rather it is considered that this would be a safeguarding issue.
	NIHRC advises that clause 5(3) be extended to unequivocally include family relationships such as an uncle, aunt, niece, nephew or cousin.	In considering the scope of family members the Department was keen to ensure that the range was comprehensive but not so broad, covering all possible family members, that it would negatively and adversely impact upon what society and the courts consider to be domestic abuse, in the context of committing an offence and the seriousness associated with this. For this reason it does not cover aunt, uncle or cousin. There could also be difficulties in terms of the permanency of any living arrangements, the transiency of contact and the potential to capture behaviour that would not otherwise be deemed to be domestic abuse. Account must also be taken that some concern has been expressed that the scope of family member is already too broad (and in a number of respects is already wider than that in other jurisdictions). The position in the Bill also reflects the current police position whereby family members are deemed to include mother, father, brother, sister, son, daughter, grandparents, in-laws or stepfamily. Both police and PPS have indicated that they are content with the current scope of family member in the Bill.
Bar of NI	The Bar notes that this clause brings a very wide range of personal connections	The scope of the domestic abuse offence in Scotland is limited to intimate partner relationships while
		locally it also cover family members as set out in the

-	
within the scope of the Bill which goes beyond partners or ex-partners.	cross Departmental strategy. To do otherwise would be contrary to the position adopted in that Executive strategy. It also reflects the current police position
It appears that much of the Bill is based almost entirely on the Scottish model under the Domestic Abuse (Scotland) Act 2018 except for this clause which	whereby family members are deemed to include mother, father, brother, sister, son, daughter, grandparents, in-laws or stepfamily.
instead adopts section 76 of the Serious Crime Act 2015 in England and Wales and the associated list of relatives contained within section 63(1) of the Family Law Act 1996.	We have not adopted section 76 of the Serious Crime Act 2015 which is both wider (in covering aunts, uncles, nieces, nephews and cousins, including where this is by marriage) and narrower than the local position (in that family members and former partners must live together).
	In considering the scope of family members the Department was keen to ensure that the range was comprehensive but not so broad, covering all possible family members, that it would negatively and adversely impact upon what society and the courts consider to be domestic abuse, in the context of committing an offence and the seriousness associated with this. For this reason it does not cover aunt, uncle, niece, nephew or cousin. It is however more comprehensive than other regions in that family members and partners/former partners do not have to live together for the offence to occur, ensuring that for example the abuse of parents or grandparents of parents or grandparents who do not live with the person can be covered as well as abuse where individuals have separated. It is considered important that relationships in these scenarios are not limited to living together, particularly given that

	abuse often escalates after separation, while we would have concerns at the possible extent of relationships to be covered if family members were extended further. The position in the Bill also reflects the current police position whereby family members are deemed to include mother, father, brother, sister, son, daughter, grandparents, in-laws or stepfamily. Both police and PPS have indicated that they are content with the current scope of family member in the Bill.
In effect this Bill takes what constitutes abusive behaviour under the Scottish legislation and the low level of psychological harm required for an offence restricted only to partners and ex-partners and merges it with the wide ambit of the English legislation for a whole range of family members.	In terms of the absence of a requirement to show harm what is important is that there is evidence of abusive behaviour. The provisions focus on the actions of the perpetrator and the intention to either cause harm or be reckless as to this. The purpose is to ensure that a case can be taken forward where an individual may have suffered considerable abuse over a period of time but due to the extent and nature of this it has become 'normalised' and/or as a result of this the person is unaware that they have been abused. An example of this would be the Hart brothers, who many in this field have heard speak, whose mother and sister where killed by their father. The Domestic Homicide Review in that case stated that they "had been suffering intense domestic abuse for many years and didn't know this as there was no physical abuse". These behaviours are what we are dealing with in the bill.
	In relation to the issue of harm more generally a number of conditions must be met for the offence to

While the Bar can understand the rationale behind the inclusion of this on the basis of a desire to ultimately offer protection to a wide range of family members alongside the recognition that family dynamics are often diverse. However, in terms of the practical operation of this clause there is a risk that a very broad spectrum of scenarios involving family disagreements could be unintentionally criminalised given that the Bill is not restricted to partners and ex-partners. The Bar queries whether the criminal law is the most appropriate way in which to deal with these extended family	be committed, with checks and balances inherent in this. The behaviour must in the first instance occur on two or more occasions, be considered abusive (with a range of effects set out), would be considered by a reasonable person to be such, would be likely to cause the person to suffer physical or psychological harm and the offender intends to cause harm or is reckless as to this. All of these conditions have to occur for the offence to be carried out. In line with the above the offence is not intended to criminalise normal friction that may occur within a relationship or family. The above also sets out the Department's view in relation to family member.
relationships and if this could be better addressed in other ways, such as through public education.	

Suggests consideration could be	
given to whether the offence should	
instead be defined more tightly to	
include partners, ex-partners and	
being aggravated where offending	
involves children (as per clauses 8	
and 9).	

CLAUSE 6 – ESTABLISHING CONNECTION BY NOTICE

EFM

This clause provides a process for establishing, by means of a proposal made by the prosecution in proceedings for the offence, that two individuals are personally connected. The personal connection will be taken to be established unless challenged under the process set out for this.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 6	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
NICCOSA	Whilst it may be implicit that the same objections procedure as 6(4)(a) applies to 6(4)(b) it may be wise to re state the objection procedure.	Legislatively this is considered unnecessary as the key aspect in clauses 6(4)(a) and (b) are that there has been an objection, not limited by the procedure through which the objection has been made.

CLAUSE 7 – HOW NOTICE IS TO BE SERVED

EFM

This clause provides for the service of notices where a relationship, that is two individuals being personally connected, is challenged under clause 6. It sets out the process to be applied and the meaning of key terms and their application.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 7	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Women's Aid Federation	Takes issue in relation to Clause 7(2) with	We understand that the concern around the
	sending the notice by post to the person	service of notices relates to current provisions
	at the person's proper address. This has	relating to protection orders etc. and notification of
	been a major issue for many years and	this to victims.
	is not secure and safe for the victim and	
	survivor to know if the notice has been	The provisions in the Bill around the service of
	served. How is this proved as service?	new notices relate to those circumstances where
		the personal relationship between two individuals
		is being challenged and for the service of notices,
		primarily between legal representatives. It is
		considered that this standard form of service
		should not prove problematic in this regard.
NICOSSA	In terms of "proper address" in Clause 7(2)	It is considered that this is a matter that would be
	should caution be taken to ensure that	dealt with at an operational level, in terms of
	this address is still the proper address	identifying what is the 'proper address' of an
	of the defendant, considering that he or	individual.
	she may have recently left the premises	
	following alleged domestic abuse	
	incident?	
NIWEP	With regard to notice by electronic	This could be provided for operationally, with
	means suggests recording evidence of	legislative provision considered unnecessary.
	delivery and/or requiring confirmation of	
	receipt to reduce administrative burden	

and delay due to non-delivery or	
contested delivery.	

CLAUSE 8 – AGGRAVATION WHERE VICTIM IS UNDER 18

EFM

This clause provides that an allegation may be specified alongside the domestic abuse offence that it is aggravated because the accused's partner/connected person was under 18 at the time of any of the behaviour that constituted the domestic abuse offence. This could enable sentencing to be increased up to the maximum available.

Subsection (2) and (3) sets out that, where the charge together with the aggravation is proved, the court must state on conviction that it is aggravated and take the aggravation into account when determining the sentence, as a factor that increases the seriousness of the offence. The court is also required to state how the aggravation has affected the sentence and in recording the conviction show it as aggravated by reason of the partner/connected person being under 18.

Subsection (4) makes it clear that if the aggravation is not proved, but the charge is proved, conviction is as if the aggravation were not referred to alongside the charge.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 8	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
NSPCC	Welcomes the policy intention behind	The Westminster Domestic Abuse Bill provides that
	clauses 8 and 9, in attempting to	their definition of domestic abuse applies to those
	recognise the impact that domestic abuse	aged 16 and over. The offence in England and
	has on children. However, believes	Wales, which is entirely separate to the definition of
	amendments are required and	domestic abuse, is provided for through Section 76
	suggests that clause 8 – aggravation	of the Serious Crime Act 2015. This is unaffected
	where victim is under 18 – should be	by the provisions in the Domestic Abuse Bill.
	amended in light of our comments that	Similar to the situation locally that offence applies to
	the offence should only apply where A	offenders over the age of criminal responsibility,
	and B are over 16. While this clause	and to victims under the age of 16 (except where
	goes some way to reflect that children	parental responsibility applies).
	are impacted by domestic abuse we	
	suggest the incorporation of child C	While appreciating the concerns expressed it is
	into the statutory definition of	important to ensure that the offence is available in
	domestic abuse would be a much	cases of domestic abuse against parents,
	clearer and effective solution.	vulnerable elderly grandparents as well as ongoing

Welcomes the recognition of the particular	and persistent abuse in teenage relationships. As
impact on victims who are under 18.	with all other offences, in deciding whether to charge a young person, consideration will be given to the circumstances of the case, whether the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) is met and what alternative disposals may be available.
	Youth Justice Agency staff are trained to recognise and respond to issues of domestic abuse in all its forms, whether a child is a victim or perpetrator. They are required to negotiate the procedural and process requirements of both the justice and safeguarding systems as they impact on children and adults, whilst supporting service users to engage with a range of support requirements and safety plans. Specialised interventions are delivered as part of community or court-ordered disposals, often in collaboration with other statutory and voluntary organisations.
	The experience in other jurisdictions are that the number of young people charged with an offence has been relatively low. We understand that in Scotland, for example, around 1.5% of those reported and prosecuted were under the age of 18 (around 15 - 20 individuals), while in England and Wales we understand that only two individuals under the age of 18 have been convicted.
	Children and young people are covered by the offence in two ways, where they are in a

Barnardo's	Highlight that it is difficult for many young people to recognise and accept they have experienced domestic abuse and that information exchanged through sexting can often be used in threats to enforce control.	relationship or a family member (except where parental responsibility applies). The issue of prevention and early intervention is a key strand under the seven year domestic and sexual violence and abuse strategy. A number of actions under the current year five action plan relate to supporting teaching about healthy relationships, supporting an effective preventative curriculum and resources as well as raising awareness.
Women's Aid Federation	Notes that this aggravates the domestic abuse offence when the victim is under 18 but does not cover a situation where the victim is the child of the perpetrator or the child is someone the perpetrator has parental responsibility for and this is a major concern as coercive and controlling behaviour will not be criminalised in this situation.	The Department gave careful consideration to the scope of the domestic abuse offence in order to ensure that children could be captured within it, in their own right, where they are in a relationship or are a family member (except where parental responsibility applies, in order to prevent criminalisation of this as a domestic abuse matter) and that aggravation related to a child could be reflected while preventing criminalisation of parental responsibility.
		Having considered the matter further, and taking account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an

	offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying. No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation, while our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence does not apply to family members. Where there are more general issues regarding safeguarding and child protection these would be addressed through other means, offences and sanctions.
Women's Aid would like to see this extended to a full review of the family courts including a review of the duty to protect and how written agreements ae being used.	The Department (along with other departments with responsibilities in relation to family justice and the judiciary) is still considering the many and wide- ranging recommendations of the Gillen Review of Family Justice. The Review specifically considered the issue of contact orders and child arrangements in the context of domestic abuse and recommended the introduction of a judicial Practice Direction in Northern Ireland (similar to one in England and Wales), which is for the judiciary to consider. The Department is considering whether any of the legislative measures recommended by the Expert

	Suggests that other vulnerabilities that should be considered as aggravating factors are disabled women, mental illness, women with no recourse, BME women to reflect the inequality that underpins domestic violence and abuse.	Panel for England & Wales (relating to matters for which the Department has policy responsibility) might usefully be adopted in this jurisdiction. In determining the sentencing in a case it will be for a judge to consider whether there are any general issues, such as vulnerability of the victim that would impact on the sentence given. Some of these issues may also be relevant to the review of hate crime legislation that is underway.
Women's Policy Group	Welcomes the inclusion of children as an aggravating factor and states that for far too long children have been considered as passive witnesses to domestic abuse - this has never been the case - children are victims of domestic abuse too and should be valued as such. WPG would like to see this extend to a full review of Family Courts to assess how children are protected and safeguarded across both legal systems.	The Department (along with other departments with responsibilities in relation to family justice and the judiciary) is still considering the many and wide- ranging recommendations of the Gillen Review of Family Justice. The Review specifically considered the issue of contact orders and child arrangements in the context of domestic abuse and recommended the introduction of a judicial Practice Direction in Northern Ireland (similar to one in England and Wales), which is for the judiciary to consider. The Department is considering whether any of the legislative measures recommended by the Expert Panel for England & Wales (relating to matters for which the Department has policy responsibility) might usefully be adopted in this jurisdiction.
	WPG also calls for other status groups or circumstances to be considered as aggravating factors including	In determining the sentencing in a case it will be for a judge to consider whether there are any general issues, such as vulnerability of the victim, that would impact on the sentence given. A sentencing

	disability, BME women, LGBT+ people, women with NRPF.	guidelines paper on domestic violence and abuse, by His Honour Judge Burgess, is currently available on the 'Sentencing Guidelines for Northern Ireland' section of the Judiciary NI website. In addition, judges are able to draw on sentencing guidelines laid down in previous cases by the Court of Appeal, and can take into account guidelines from the English Sentencing Council (which includes the overarching guidelines on domestic violence). The judiciary are highly trained and experienced independent professionals whose job is to balance all the relevant factors to arrive at an appropriate sentence.
Victim Support NI	Is largely supportive of this clause but states that consideration should be given to how the law might be applied in cases where the perpetrator is also a child and determine how best to safeguard the law against unintended consequences e.g. the law in its current form may result in harsher sentencing in a case where both perpetrator and victim are 17 years old and in a relationship or where a victim is 17 and the perpetrator is 18 and there is no evidence of that abuser taking advantage of a victim's young age in a predatory and opportunistic way.	 While appreciating the concerns expressed it is important to ensure that the offence is available in cases of domestic abuse against parents, vulnerable elderly grandparents as well as ongoing and persistent abuse in teenage relationships. As with all other offences, in deciding whether to charge a young person, consideration will be given to the circumstances of the case, whether the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) is met and what alternative disposals may be available. Youth Justice Agency staff are trained to recognise and respond to issues of domestic abuse in all its forms, whether a child is a victim or perpetrator. They are required to negotiate the procedural and process requirements of both the justice and safeguarding systems as they impact on children and adults, whilst supporting service users

 to engage with a range of support requirements and safety plans. Specialised interventions are delivered as part of community or court-ordered disposals, often in collaboration with other statutory and voluntary organisations. The experience in other jurisdictions are that the number of young people charged with an offence has been relatively low. We understand that in Scotland, for example, around 1.5% of those reported and prosecuted were under the age of 18 (around 15 - 20 individuals), while in England and Wales we understand that only two individuals under the age of 18 have been convicted. In determining the sentencing in a case it will be for a judge to determine the appropriate sentence, having taken account of the particular circumstances of the case and consider whether there are any general issues, such as vulnerability of the victim, that would impact on the sentence given. A sentencing guidelines paper on domestic violence and abuse, by His Honour Judge Burgess, is currently available on the 'Sentencing Guidelines for Northern Ireland' section of the Judiciary NI website. In addition, judges are able to draw on sentencing guidelines laid down in previous cases by the Court of Appeal, and can take into account guidelines from the English Sentencing Council (which includes the overarching guidelines on demetic underset). The indicine use highly the intended
n re in of s e

		job is to balance all the relevant factors to arrive at an appropriate sentence. The issue of sentencing guidelines will be considered as part of the work being undertaken on operationalisation of the Bill and discussions are being held with the Judicial Studies Board in relation to this issue.
Men's Advisory Project	Supports this clause but also requests further clarity regarding situations where there are only a few months of age difference to ensure that there is not a discrepancy in how perpetrators are prosecuted.	As with all other offences, in deciding whether to charge a young person, consideration will be given to the circumstances of the case, whether the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) is met and what alternative disposals may be available.
		Youth Justice Agency staff are trained to recognise and respond to issues of domestic abuse in all its forms, whether a child is a victim or perpetrator. They are required to negotiate the procedural and process requirements of both the justice and safeguarding systems as they impact on children and adults, whilst supporting service users to engage with a range of support requirements and safety plans. Specialised interventions are delivered as part of community or court-ordered disposals, often in collaboration with other statutory and voluntary organisations. The experience in other jurisdictions are that the number of young people charged with an offence has been relatively low. We understand that in Scotland, for example, around 1.5% of those

		 reported and prosecuted were under the age of 18 (around 15 - 20 individuals), while in England and Wales we understand that only two individuals under the age of 18 have been convicted. In terms of sentence it is the judge alone who decides on the individual sentence given. They are guided by a number of considerations: the maximum sentence they can give; whether the defendant pleaded guilty or not; the level of sentences in similar cases in the past, that is 'case law'; any mitigating or aggravating factors; the circumstances set out in background reports; any Victim Impact Report, which is prepared by an expert, for example a psychologist; any Victim Impact Statement made by the victim of the crime The sentence granted will ultimately depend on the nature of the offence and the particular circumstances of the case.
NIWEP	Agrees with concerns that care must be taken to ensure young people are not punished unduly harshly under this clause and consideration must be given to whether and how the aggravation is applied in circumstances such as someone aged	As with all other offences, in deciding whether to charge a young person, consideration will be given to the circumstances of the case, whether the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) is met and what alternative disposals may be available.
	18 in a relationship with someone aged 17.	Youth Justice Agency staff are trained to recognise and respond to issues of domestic abuse in all its forms, whether a child is a victim or

		 perpetrator. They are required to negotiate the procedural and process requirements of both the justice and safeguarding systems as they impact on children and adults, whilst supporting service users to engage with a range of support requirements and safety plans. Specialised interventions are delivered as part of community or court-ordered disposals, often in collaboration with other statutory and voluntary organisations. The experience in other jurisdictions are that the number of young people charged with an offence has been relatively low. We understand that in Scotland, for example, around 1.5% of those reported and prosecuted were under the age of 18 (around 15 - 20 individuals), while in England and Wales we understand that only two individuals under the age of 18 have been convicted. In terms of sentence given and the extent of any aggravation this will be decided by the judge. The sentence granted will ultimately depend on the nature of the offence and the particular circumstances of the case.
NEXUS	While largely supportive of this clause, Nexus asks if consideration has been given as to how the law would be applied in cases where the perpetrator	See above.
COPNI	is also a child.Notes that the protection of a vulnerable older person falls outside the stated aggravating factors contained in the Bill:	In determining the sentencing in a case, where a statutory aggravator does not apply, it will be for a judge to consider whether there are any issues,

	where 'the victim is under 18' (8); and where a 'relevant child is involved' (9). Recognising these limitations is not a criticism of the progressive intent behind the Domestic Abuse Bill or indeed the Bill itself, but rather is an attempt to highlight the work to be done to protect the vulnerable in our society.	such as vulnerability of the victim, that would impact on the sentence given. In line with sentencing guidance, a judge is generally required to treat the fact that the victim was an older, or an otherwise vulnerable person, as an aggravating factor. Guidance issued by the Northern Ireland Court of Appeal advises sentencers that the deliberate targeting of vulnerable victims is considered an aggravating factor, and that the starting point for the sentence calculation should increase accordingly depending on the age, vulnerability, or infirmity of the victim.
NICCY	NICCY notes that the Bill recognises that children and young people in relationships can be directly affected by domestic violence and abuse through the inclusion of an aggravator to the offence where a victim, as person B, is under 18 years and acknowledges the intention of the provision to reflect the particularly serious nature of such offences when committed against children by enabling sentencing to be increased to the maximum available in such cases. Notes that the Bill is intended to cover teenage and young relationships and draws attention to the potential application of the child aggravator clause to children and young people in young relationships	

abusive b NICCY is and harm and nece the aim o child vic access to	be engaged in harmful and behaviour. In clear that any such abusive inful behaviour is unacceptable essitates a swift response with of safeguarding and protecting tims and ensuring they have o specialist therapeutic and help.	 The Victim Charter provides that young people are entitled to: have access to a victim support service provider and be provided with a Young Witness Pack by that service provider; have the Victim and Witness Care Unit take appropriate steps to help them get the support they need as a result of the needs assessment process; and speak to someone specially trained to listen to them and help then get over the crime, at any time during the investigation (and trial). This could be therapy or counselling.
also aim and reha children behaviou	, such interventions should to deliver effective therapeutic bilitative interventions for engaged in such abusive ar and seek to divert them m the criminal justice system.	In terms of those who display harmful behaviour their needs should be considered separately from the needs of the person being abused. There should be a co-ordinated approach by Health and Social Care Trusts, the police, Public Protection Arrangements NI, the Public Prosecution Service, victim support services and youth justice bodies. Schools and colleges may need to be involved as part of the co-ordinated response to provide education and awareness so that relevant professionals from this sector can understand the risks the young person may pose to other young people. This co-ordinated response should include

	 working with the young person whose behaviour has been harmful and those working with the young person who has been harmed. Children and young people who abuse others should be held responsible for their abusive behaviour while being identified and responded to in a way that meets their own needs as well as protecting others. Professionals should consider whether a young person who abuses others should be the subject of a Child Protection Case Conference if he or she is considered personally to be at risk of continuing significant harm.
NICCY highlights that the Bill in these provisions is broader than that already in place or proposed in other jurisdictions and agrees with other organisations that the outworking of the Bill's provisions should not result in the aggravation clause leading to children in young relationships entering the criminal justice system or receiving disproportionately harsher sentences than adult perpetrators who	The coercive control offence in England and Wales, under Section 76 of the Serious Crime Act 2015, provides that (similar to the situation locally) the offence applies to those offenders over the age of criminal responsibility, and victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies). While appreciating the concerns expressed it is important to ensure that the offence is available in cases of domestic abuse against parents,
may engage in repeat patterns of intentionally targeting and exploiting children for domestic abuse or be long standing perpetrators of such abuse in their intimate relationships with adults. NICCY states that while the provisions of the Bill should protect child victims they must not inappropriately	vulnerable elderly grandparents as well as ongoing and persistent abuse in teenage relationships. As with all other offences, in deciding whether to charge a young person, consideration will be given to the circumstances of the case, whether the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) is met and what alternative disposals may be available.

	criminalise or disproportionately impact on children engaged in harmful or abusive behaviour.	Youth Justice Agency staff are trained to recognise and respond to issues of domestic abuse in all its
		forms, whether a child is a victim or perpetrator. They are required to negotiate the procedural and process requirements of both the justice and safeguarding systems as they impact on children and adults, whilst supporting service users to engage with a range of support requirements and safety plans. Specialised interventions are delivered as part of community or court-ordered disposals, often in collaboration with other statutory and voluntary organisations.
		The experience in other jurisdictions are that the number of young people charged with an offence has been relatively low. We understand that in Scotland, for example, around 1.5% of those reported and prosecuted were under the age of 18 (around 15 - 20 individuals), while in England and Wales we understand that only two individuals under the age of 18 have been convicted.
NIHRC	The NIHRC welcomes the purposes of clauses 8 and 9 as they are reflective of the specific impact that domestic abuse can have on children and deters the perpetrator from using children for the purposes of abusing the adult victim.	
	The Commission recommends that safeguards are introduced to ensure clauses 8 and 9 are implemented in	

such a way that the best interests of the child are a primary consideration. This includes only requiring that evidence is obtained directly from the child victim or relevant child when necessary and that it is obtained in a child-friendly manner. It includes the child victim or relevant child only providing evidence directly to the court when it is necessary, that it is set up in an age-appropriate manner and that consideration is given to alternatives such as live links where appropriate. It also includes providing age- appropriate psycho-social counselling to the child victim or relevant child before, during and after the trial.	The involvement of a child in terms of the giving of evidence should only be utilised where considered necessary. The Victim Charter (for which the police and Public Prosecution Service are service providers) states that in providing services under the Charter, where the victim is a child or young person, the best interests of the child or young person will be a primary consideration and will be assessed on an individual basis. It also states that a child sensitive approach will be adopted, taking due account of their age, maturity, views, needs and concerns. The Charter states that a child or young person under 18 will be presumed to have specific protection needs and should receive the highest level of support and protection as they move through the criminal justice system. Under the Charter young people are entitled to
before, during and after the trial.	through the criminal justice system.

		 practice using the live link TV facility before the trial, when they are to use this to give evidence, where possible; have the Victim and Witness Care Unit take appropriate steps to help them get the support they need as a result of the needs assessment process; and speak to someone specially trained to listen to them and help then get over the crime, at any time during the investigation (and trial). This could be therapy or counselling. When giving evidence a person under 18 is automatically eligible for special measures (including video recorded police statement and giving evidence by live link. This would also include pre-recorded cross examination ahead of trial when introduced (likely for sexual offences for under 13s in the first instance)).
Bar of NI	The Bar agrees that the offence should be aggravated by reason of the accused's partner or connected person being under 18 at the time of any of the behaviour that constituted the domestic abuse offence.	
	However, in terms of clause 8(3), considers that it is sufficient for the court to state on conviction that the offence was aggravated, record the conviction in a way that shows that the offence was aggravated and take the aggravation into account in	The Department considered carefully the obligation that should be placed on the judiciary, taking into account the independence of the judiciary and the need not to interfere with this. It is for this reason that the provisions require the judiciary to simply explain how the fact that the offence is so aggravated affected the sentence imposed. This

CLAUSE 9 – AGGRAVATION WHERE RELEVANT CHILD IS INVOLVED

EFM

This clause provides that an allegation may be specified alongside the domestic abuse offence that it is aggravated, through involving a child (under 18) who is not the accused or the partner/connected person. This includes the child of either person.

Subsection (2)(a)(i) provides that the aggravation applies where it is shown that, at any time in commissioning the offence, the accused directed behaviour at a child. This could include the accused threatening violence towards a child to control or frighten the partner/connected person or being abusive towards the child.

Subsection (2)(a)(ii) provides that the aggravation applies where it is shown that, in committing the offence, the accused made use of the child in directing behaviour at their partner/connected person. This could apply where the accused encourages or directs a child to spy on or report on the day-to-day activities of a partner/connected person. The involvement of the child could be unwittingly or unwillingly.

The aggravation would apply to the involvement of any child in the domestic abuse offence (apart from when the child is the partner/connected person, which would be caught by clause 8). This would include, for example, the accused or victim's own child, another child living in or visiting the household, or a neighbour's child.

Subsection (2)(b) provides that the aggravation applies where a child sees, hears or is present during a single incident of the course of behaviour. This could, for example, be a verbal abuse incident or a physical assault and the child need not necessarily be in the same room as the accused and partner/connected person.

Subsections (3) and (4) sets out that, where the charge together with the aggravation is proved, the court must state on conviction that it is aggravated and take the aggravation into account when determining the sentence, as a factor which increases the seriousness of the offence. The court is also required to state how it has affected the sentence and in recording the conviction shows it as aggravated by reason of involving a person being under 18 (who is not the accused or the partner/connected person). Subsection (5) makes it clear that if the aggravation is not proved, but the charge is proved, conviction is as if the aggravation were not referred to alongside the charge.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 9	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Women's Aid Federation	Welcomes the aggravator but is of the	The Department gave careful consideration to the
	view that it does not go enough in	scope of the domestic abuse offence in order to
	addressing the issue. Has concerns	ensure that children could be captured within it, in
	that stating in 9(2)(b) that 'the child	their own right, where they are in a relationship or
	saw or heard, or was present during	are a family member (except where parental

 issue or recognise the persistent, on- going nature of the impact of abuse on a child living in a home with domestic violence and abuse and may have unforeseen consequences in the application of other criminal justice legislation and protection from domestic abuse towards children. Women's Aid calls for children to be treated as victims in their own right and not as associated persons. States that there also needs to be assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attern to get at the child's parent, then the parent the child will be dependent on the parent the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child canned do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to the offence and children go further than other guisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in 		
 going nature of the impact of abuse on a child living in a home with domestic violence and abuse and may have unforeseen consequences in the application of other criminal justice legislation and protection from domestic abuse towards children. Women's Aid calls for children to be treated as victims in their own right approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and the form the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic victims of domestic abuse and wants to see a collective 	' does not adequately address the	responsibility applies, in order to prevent
 a child living in a home with domestic violence and abuse and may have unforeseen consequences in the application of other criminal justice legislation and protection from domestic abuse towards children. Women's Aid calls for children to be treated as victims in their own right and not as associated persons. States that there also needs to be assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective 		,
 violence and abuse and may have unforeseen consequences in the application of other criminal justice legislation and protection from domestic abuse towards children. Women's Aid calls for children to be treated as victims in their own right and not as associated persons. States that there also needs to be assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the vicit m and any prosecution for that behaviour directed therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective violence and wants to see a collective responsibility. Having considered the matter further, and taking account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible mendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying. No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation, while our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republi		
 unforeseen consequences in the application of other criminal justice legislation and protection from domestic abuse towards children. Women's Aid calls for children to be treated as victims in their own right and not as associated persons. States that there also needs to be assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young beople as equal victims of domestic wolence and wants to see a collective 		
 application of other criminal justice legislation and protection from domestic abuse towards children. Women's Aid calls for children to be treated as victims in their own right and not as associated persons. States that there also needs to be assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective 		responsibility.
legislation and protection from domestic abuse towards children.account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying.No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the effence and controlling of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collectiveNo other generating account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying.No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In Engl		
domestic abuse towards children.is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying.No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation, while our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence		
Women's Aid calls for children to be treated as victims in their own right and not as associated persons. States that there also needs to be assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective		
Women's Aid calls for children to be treated as victims in their own right and not as associated persons. States that there also needs to be assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collectiveamendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying.No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation, while our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence	domestic abuse towards children.	is having discussions with colleagues in the
treated as victims in their own right and not as associated persons. States that there also needs to be assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent making a complaint to police and the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective		Department of Health around a possible
 and not as associated persons. States that there also needs to be assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying. No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation, while our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence 	Women's Aid calls for children to be	amendment to child protection provisions
States that there also needs to be assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collectiveDomestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying.No other jurisdiction locally provides for rown right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collectiveNo other jurisdiction salready provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence	treated as victims in their own right	
assurances of a child centred approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective	and not as associated persons.	which could be brought forward through the
 approach within this clause and a focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying. No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation, while our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence 	States that there also needs to be	Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make
focus on appropriate safeguarding mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collectiveprovision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying.No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation, while our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence	assurances of a child centred	it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this
 mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying. No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation, while our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence 	approach within this clause and a	would also include non-physical abuse. Such
 in a course of behaviour that is coercive and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying. No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation, while our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence 	focus on appropriate safeguarding	provision would make clear that it would be an
and controlling of the child in an attempt to get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective	mechanisms. If the perpetrator engages	offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a
get at the child's parent, then the parent is recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective	in a course of behaviour that is coercive	child was physical or psychological in nature, for
recorded as the victim and any prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective	and controlling of the child in an attempt to	example isolation, humiliation or bullying.
prosecution for that behaviour directed at the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective	get at the child's parent, then the parent is	
the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective	recorded as the victim and any	No other jurisdiction locally provides for
the child will be dependent on the parent making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective	prosecution for that behaviour directed at	criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility
 making a complaint to police and therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collective provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence 	•	
therefore the child cannot do this in their own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collectivefurther than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence		S
own right. Women's Aid calls for full recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collectiveIn England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence		
recognition of children and young people as equal victims of domestic violence and wants to see a collectiveis available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence	own right. Women's Aid calls for full	
people as equal victims of domesticwhere parental responsibility applies), while inviolence and wants to see a collectiveScotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence		5
violence and wants to see a collective Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence		e (1
	strategic response to meet their needs	does not apply to family members.

	at individual, community, organisation and government levels. Believes that the legislation should provide not only for situations of direct abuse of a child but also the impact on children who see, hear or are otherwise exposed to domestic abuse perpetrated by one adult against another.	Where there are more general issues regarding safeguarding and child protection these would be addressed through other means, offences and sanctions.
Action for Children	Agrees with Women's Aid that "the experience of these children and young people are often overlooked."	The Department gave careful consideration to the scope of the domestic abuse offence in order to ensure that children could be captured within it, in their own right, where they are in a relationship or are a family member (except where parental responsibility applies, in order to prevent criminalisation of this as a domestic abuse matter) and that aggravation related to a child could be reflected while preventing criminalisation of parental responsibility. Having considered the matter further, and taking account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a

		 child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying. No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation, while our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16 (except where parental responsibility applies), while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence does not apply to family members.
Men's Advisory Project	Supports an aggravation where a child becomes involved but is not the primary intended recipient of the abuse. States that recognition must be made of the use of a child to abuse by means of the erosion of their respect and love of their parent.	Under the offence a person would be guilty of an offence where the necessary conditions are met and the person uses another person, including a child, to abuse someone that they are connected to. In addition, the domestic abuse offence could also be aggravated by virtue of this.
Barnardo's	While welcoming the Bill's recognition of the impact of domestic abuse on children, in particular through the aggravation outlined in this clause, Barnardo's highlights the importance of the Bill reflecting that a child can be aware of and impacted by domestic abuse in the home even if they do not see or hear the moment in which it occurs and urges that the current reference in subsection (2)(b) is expanded to recognise that children do not need to	Under the current provisions of the Bill the domestic abuse offence would be aggravated where a child is present recognising the impact that domestic abuse can have. It is considered that this would not necessarily be restricted to the child having to directly witness the abuse, given that the Bill refers to sees, hears or was present. The Bill also makes provision that enables a sentence to be aggravated where the child is not the direct victim but has perhaps been used to

	witness the abuse to be affected, and are impacted by the abuse whether or not they are the intended victim.	further abuse another person whether knowingly or not. While the Department appreciates the concerns about the wider impact of domestic abuse, even where a child is not present, it is not considered feasible to extend the offence to cover wider domestic environmental impact before or after an incident has occurred. Any such change could also be subject to successful legal challenge. It is hoped that through the introduction of the domestic offence that longer term incidents of domestic abuse will be reduced.
Children's Law Centre	CLC also recommends that the aggravator where a child sees, hears or is present during an incident of abuse is extended to include the ability for the courts to impose an aggravated sentence even if a child does not directly witness the single incident of abuse of which the perpetrator is being charged with noting that children are aware of, and affected by, domestic abuse in the home even if they are not present at the time of the incident.	Under the current provisions of the Bill the domestic abuse offence would occur where the conditions of the offence are met and a child is present. It is considered that this would not be necessarily restricted to the child having to have directly witness the abuse, given that the Bill refers to sees, hears or was present. While the Department appreciates the concerns about the wider impact of domestic abuse, even where a child is not present, it is not considered feasible to extend the offence to cover wider domestic environmental impact before or after an incident has occurred. Any such change could also be subject to successful legal challenge. It is hoped that through the introduction of the domestic abuse will be reduced.
	CLC recommends the need for an automatic consideration regarding	The issue of contact with a child would be considered by a court if there was an application for

perpetrator, particularly where the perpetrator is the parent with the consideration based on the best interests of the child.relevant parties before it. The Children (Northern Ireland) Order 1995 makes the welfare of the child the court's paramount consideration in determining the issue of contact.La Dolce VitaRequests that consideration is given to including: i) parent, grandparent, caregiver, inability to respect the integrity of the child. e.g. permission to show love to other parent, communicate with other parent, init parent, communicate with other parent, contact arrangements and court orders. iv) undermining of the child ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v) Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi) Causing of financial hardshiprelevant parties before it. The Children (Northern treland) Order 1995 makes the welfare of the child the court's paramount consideration in determining of abusive behaviour, behaviour, such as threatening to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v) Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi) Causing of financial hardshiprelevant parties before it. The Children (Northern treatment consideration is given to isolation, controlling, monitoring activities. To incorporate the suggested detailed approach would not align with this. While a number of these aspects may be covered by the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.NiccryNiccry welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asNiccryNiccry welcomes the intent of t	[
perpetrator is the parent with the consideration based on the best interests of the child.Ireland) Örder 1995 makes the welfare of the child the court's paramount consideration in determining the issue of contact.La Dolce VitaRequests that consideration is given to including: i) parent, grandparent, caregiver, inability to respect the integrity of the child.The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types of abusive behaviour, behavio		contact between the child and the	contact (or other family proceedings) involving the
consideration based on the best interests of the child.the court's paramount consideration in determining the issue of contact.La Dolce VitaRequests that consideration is given to including: i) parent, grandparent, caregiver, inability to respect the integrity of the child.The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types of abusive behaviours, such as threatening behaviour, behaviour directed at someone (including dependency, isolation, controlling, monitoring activities. To incorporate the suggested detailed approach would not align with this. While a number of these aspects may be covered by the offence, it will be for the court to consider, depending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.v)Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi)As part of the operation will be given to its contect and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asNICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as			
interests of the child.the issue of contact.La Dolce VitaRequests that consideration is given to including: i) parent, grandparent, caregiver, inability to respect the integrity of the child.The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types of abusive behaviour, such as threatening behaviour, behaviour, directed at someone (including a child) that can have a range of effects including dependency, isolation, controlling, monitoring activities. To incorporate the suggested detailed approach would not align with this. While a number of these aspects may be covered by the offence, it will be for the court to consider, depending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.iv)undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v)As part of the operationalisation of the offence, and the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to its content and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as			
La Dolce Vita Requests that consideration is given to including: parent, grandparent, caregiver, inability to respect the integrity of the child. e.g. permission to show love to other parent, communicate with other parent. ii) interference with parental time: access resistance, breaching of contact arrangements and court orders. iv) undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v) Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi) Causing of financial hardship NICCY NICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as		consideration based on the best	the court's paramount consideration in determining
 including: parent, grandparent, caregiver, inability to respect the integrity of the child. e.g. permission to show love to other parent, communicate with other parent. ii) interference with parental time: access resistance, breaching of contact arrangements and court orders. uv) undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v) Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi) Causing of financial hardship NICCY NICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as 		interests of the child.	the issue of contact.
 i) parent, grandparent, caregiver, inability to respect the integrity of the child. e.g. permission to show love to other parent, communicate with other parent. ii) interference with parental time: access resistance, breaching of contact arrangements and court orders. iv) undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v) Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi) Causing of financial hardship NICCY NICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as 	La Dolce Vita	Requests that consideration is given to	The approach taken in the Bill is to reference types
inability to respect the integrity of the child.(including a child) that can have a range of effects including dependency, isolation, controlling, monitoring activities. To incorporate the suggested detailed approach would not align with this. While a number of these aspects may be covered by the offence, it will be for the court to consider, depending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.iv)undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v)As part of the operationalisation of the offence, and the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to its content and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asHere is the subsect to abuse as well as		including:	of abusive behaviours, such as threatening
 child. e.g. permission to show love to other parent, communicate with other parent. ii) interference with parental time: access resistance, breaching of contact arrangements and court orders. iv) undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v) Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi) Causing of financial hardship NICCY NICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as 		i) parent, grandparent, caregiver,	behaviour, behaviour directed at someone
 e.g. permission to show love to other parent, communicate with other parent. ii) interference with parental time: access resistance, breaching of contact arrangements and court orders. iv) undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v) Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi) Causing of financial hardship NICCY NICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as 		inability to respect the integrity of the	(including a child) that can have a range of effects
parent, communicate with other parent. ii) interference with parental time: access resistance, breaching of contact arrangements and court orders. iv) undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v) Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi) Causing of financial hardshipdetailed approach would not align with this. While a number of these aspects may be covered by the 		child.	including dependency, isolation, controlling,
ii) interference with parental time: access resistance, breaching of contact arrangements and court orders. iv) undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v) Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi) Causing of financial hardshipnumber of these aspects may be covered by the offence, it will be for the court to consider, depending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asnumber of these aspects may be covered by the offence, it will be for the court to consider, depending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asnumber of these aspects may be covered by the offence, it will be for the court to consider, depending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asnumber of these aspects may be covered by the offence, it will be for the court to consider, depending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.		e.g. permission to show love to other	monitoring activities. To incorporate the suggested
access resistance, breaching of contact arrangements and court orders.offence, it will be for the court to consider, depending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.iv)undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v)Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi)As part of the operationalisation of the offence, and the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to its content and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asNicce		parent, communicate with other parent.	detailed approach would not align with this. While a
contact arrangements and court orders.depending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.iv) undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v) Indirect and direct threats of harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me".depending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.vi) Causing of financial hardshipAs part of the operationalisation of the offence, and the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to its content and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asepending on the individual circumstances of the case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.		ii) interference with parental time:	number of these aspects may be covered by the
orders.case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.iv)undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v)As part of the operationalisation of the offence, and the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to its content and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asNit is to abuse as well as		access resistance, breaching of	offence, it will be for the court to consider,
iv)undermining of the child' ability to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v)As part of the operationalisation of the offence, and the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to its content and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asNiccontent and will include examples of views can be reflected.		contact arrangements and court	depending on the individual circumstances of the
to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained conflict patterns identified in child. v)As part of the operationalisation of the offence, and the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to its content and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asAs part of the operationalisation of the offence, and the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to its content and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.		orders.	case, what constitutes abusive behaviour.
conflict patterns identified in child. v)the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to its content and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asNICCY		iv) undermining of the child' ability	
conflict patterns identified in child. v)the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to its content and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asNICCY		to focus on being a child e.g. ingrained	As part of the operationalisation of the offence, and
harm "you will not see your child again if you leave me". vi) Causing of financial hardshipcontent and will include examples of abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asNICCY		conflict patterns identified in child.	the development of the statutory guidance (which
if you leave me". vi)behaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asbehaviour. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.		v) Indirect and direct threats of	will be published), consideration will be given to its
vi)Causing of financial hardshipagency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.NICCYNICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well asAgency Task and Finish Group involving our usual key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.		harm "you will not see your child again	content and will include examples of abusive
NICCY NICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as key stakeholders, as is the case with all major policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.		if you leave me".	behaviour. This will be considered by a multi-
NICCY NICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as policies taken forward by the Department in this area, through which a range of views can be reflected.		vi) Causing of financial hardship	agency Task and Finish Group involving our usual
Area, through which a range of views can be reflected. NICCY NICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as Area, through which a range of views can be reflected.			key stakeholders, as is the case with all major
NICCY NICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as reflected.			policies taken forward by the Department in this
NICCY welcomes the intent of this aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as			area, through which a range of views can be
aggravator clause and notes that it is intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as			reflected.
intended to include children whose parent or carer is subject to abuse as well as	NICCY	NICCY welcomes the intent of this	
or carer is subject to abuse as well as		aggravator clause and notes that it is	
or carer is subject to abuse as well as			
		or carer is subject to abuse as well as	
		other children who may, for example, be	

occur who a facilita passi	ng in the household where abuse rs or be the children of neighbours are used by the perpetrator to ate abuse through, for instance, the ng on or reporting of information.	It is considered that the offence locally, in relation to
affect occas and o how t legis equiv provi be av of the provi can n	ted by domestic violence beyond sions where they only see, hear consideration should be given to this can be better reflected in the lation. NICCY notes that the valent Scottish legislation ides that children do not have to ware of or understand the nature e abusive behaviour for the ision to be engaged and that this more effectively capture the impact hildren who may, for instance,	child aggravation, is wider than the Scottish offence in that there is no requirement for a reasonable person to consider that the behaviour would adversely impact on a child or that the child has to live with the victim or offender. The requirement in the Bill is simply that the child sees, hears or is present. Given this, there is no associated condition that a child does not have to be aware of the abusive behaviour.
resid	e in a different household from in which the violence occurs.	The Department is also of the view that the Scottish provisions do not extend to abuse that occurs outside the home, that is where a chid lives in another household from that in which the violence occurs, rather it is about the extent to which evidence of the impact on the child is needed. While the Department appreciates the concerns about the wider impact of domestic abuse, even
		where a child is not present, it is not considered feasible to extend the offence to cover wider domestic environmental impact before or after an incident has occurred. Any such change could also

	Also seeks assurances that, where a child is affected by domestic violence, formal safeguarding procedures as well as the protections of criminal law are engaged.	 be subject to successful legal challenge. It is hoped that through the introduction of the domestic offence that longer term incidents of domestic abuse will be reduced. In regards to the issue of safeguarding the introduction of the domestic abuse offence will not adversely impact on formal safeguarding and child protection procedures that are already in place.
NSPCC	NSPCC welcomes clause 9 – aggravation where relevant child is involved – and considers it a welcome step forward in considering the impact of domestic abuse on children. However, as previously set out, beyond the aggravator it would welcome further consideration of how children's experiences can be directly included within the statutory definition of the offence itself.	The Department gave careful consideration to the scope of the domestic abuse offence in order to ensure that children could be captured within it, in their own right, where they are in a relationship or are a family member (except where parental responsibility applies, in order to prevent criminalisation of this as a domestic abuse matter) and that aggravation related to a child could be reflected while preventing criminalisation of parental responsibility.
		Having considered the matter further, and taking account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an

NSPCC also notes the Scottish legislation on which clause 9 is based includes a 'reasonable person' test – that the aggravation is proven a reasonable person would consider the course of behaviour likely to adversely affect a child. This test was included in the Scottish legislation in large part to avoid children having to give evidence	offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying. No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation and our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16, except where parental responsibility applies, while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence does not apply to family members. Where there are issues regarding safeguarding and child protection these would be addressed through other means, offences and sanctions. It is considered that the offence locally, in relation to child aggravation, is wider than the Scottish offence in that there is no requirement for a reasonable person to consider that the behaviour would adversely impact on a child or that the child has to live either the victim or offender. The requirement in the Bill is simply that the child sees, hears or is present.
about their experiences in court. Following that same reasoning, NSPCC recommends that a 'reasonable	In terms of giving evidence the involvement of a child should only be utilised where considered necessary. The Victim Charter (for which the police and Public Prosecution Service are service

	person' test is also added to this clause.	providers) states that in providing services under the Charter, where the victim is a child or young person, the best interests of the child or young person will be a primary consideration and will be assessed on an individual basis. It also states that a child sensitive approach will be adopted, taking due account of their age, maturity, views, needs and concerns. The Charter states that a child or young person under 18 will be presumed to have specific protection needs and should receive the highest level of support and protection as they move through the criminal justice system. When giving evidence a person under 18 is automatically eligible for special measures (including video recorded police statement and giving evidence by live link. This would also include pre-recorded cross examination ahead of trial when introduced (likely for sexual offences for under 13s
NIHRC	The Commission welcomes the purposes	in the first instance)). The involvement of a child in terms of the giving of
	of clauses 8 and 9 as they are reflective of the specific impact that domestic abuse can have on children and deters the perpetrator from using children for the purposes of abusing the adult victim.	evidence should only be utilised where considered necessary.
	It recommends that safeguards are introduced to ensure clauses 8 and 9 are implemented in such a way that the	The Victim Charter (for which the police and Public Prosecution Service are service providers) states that in providing services under the Charter, where

best interests of the child are a primary	the victim is a child or young person, the best
consideration. This includes only	interests of the child or young person will be a
requiring that evidence is obtained	primary consideration and will be assessed on an
directly from the child victim or	individual basis. It also states that a child sensitive
relevant child when necessary and that	approach will be adopted, taking due account of
it is obtained in a child-friendly	their age, maturity, views, needs and concerns.
manner. It includes the child victim or	The Charter states that a child or young person
relevant child only providing evidence	under 18 will be presumed to have specific
directly to the court when it is	protection needs and should receive the highest
necessary, that it is set up in an age-	level of support and protection as they move
appropriate manner and that	through the criminal justice system.
consideration is given to alternatives	
such as live links where appropriate. It	Under the Charter young people are entitled to
also includes providing age-	
appropriate psycho-social counselling	automatically be considered as eligible for
to the child victim or relevant child	special measures by the police and Victim and
before, during and after the trial.	Witness Care Unit as part of their needs
	assessment;
	 have their statement audio-video recorded by
	the police, where this is appropriate;
	 have access to a victim support service
	provider and be provided with a Young Witness
	Pack by that service provider;
	 practice using the live link TV facility before the
	trial, when they are to use this to give
	evidence, where possible;
	 have the Victim and Witness Care Unit take
	appropriate steps to help them get the support
	they need as a result of the needs assessment
	process; and
	 speak to someone specially trained to listen to
	them and help then get over the crime, at any
	anoni and help then yet over the chine, at any

		time during the investigation (and trial). This could be therapy or counselling. When giving evidence a person under 18 is automatically eligible for special measures (including video recorded police statement and giving evidence by live link. This would also include pre-recorded cross examination ahead of trial when introduced (likely for sexual offences for under 13s in the first instance)).
Bar of NI	The Bar agrees that the offence should be aggravated where it involves a child as provided for in this clause. It notes that clause(2)(b) provides that the aggravation applies where a child sees, hears or is present during a single incident of the course of behaviour which can include a verbal abuse incident or a physical assault and would query whether, in practical terms, the drafting of this clause at present could result in a child being	It is considered that the offence locally, in relation to child aggravation, is wider than the Scottish offence in that there is no requirement for a reasonable person to consider that the behaviour would adversely impact on a child or that the child has to live with either the victim or offender. Given this, there is no associated condition that a child does not have to be aware of the abusive behaviour.
	required to give evidence as to their awareness of the accused's behaviour or any adverse impact caused by it.	The involvement of a child in terms of the giving of evidence should only be utilised where considered necessary. The Victim Charter (for which the police and Public Prosecution Service are service providers) states that in providing services under the Charter, where the victim is a child or young person, the best interests of the child or young person will be a primary consideration and will be assessed on an

	 individual basis. It also states that a child sensitive approach will be adopted, taking due account of their age, maturity, views, needs and concerns. The Charter states that a child or young person under 18 will be presumed to have specific protection needs and should receive the highest level of support and protection as they move through the criminal justice system. Under the Charter young people are entitled to automatically be considered as eligible for special measures by the police and Victim and Witness Care Unit as part of their needs assessment; have their statement audio-video recorded by the police, where this is appropriate; have access to a victim support service provider and be provided with a Young Witness Pack by that service provider; practice using the live link TV facility before the trial, when they are to use this to give evidence, where possible; have the Victim and Witness Care Unit take appropriate steps to help them get the support they need as a result of the needs assessment process; and speak to someone specially trained to listen to them and help then get over the crime, at any time during the investigation (and trial). This could be therapy or counselling.
--	---

	When giving evidence a person under 18 is automatically eligible for special measures (including video recorded police statement and giving evidence by live link. This would also include pre-recorded cross examination ahead of trial when introduced (likely for sexual offences for under 13s in the first instance)).
The Bar also notes the similarities between this Bill and the Domesti (Scotland) Act 2018 and queries Department's rationale for not including in Clause 9, section 5 (5) of this Act, in particular Sec which reads: "For it to be prove the offence is so aggravated, the does not need to be evidence the child – (a) has ever had any – (i awareness of A's behaviour, or understanding of the nature of behaviour".	 c Abuse the child aggravation, is wider than the Scottish offence in that there is no requirement for a reasonable person to consider that the behaviour would adversely impact on a child or that the child has to live with the victim or offender. The requirement in the Bill is simply that the child sees, hears or is present. Given this, there is no associated condition that a child does not have to be aware of the abusive behaviour.
In terms of clause 9(4), the Bar co that it is sufficient for the court on conviction that the offence v aggravated, record the convicti way that shows that the offence aggravated and take the aggrav into account in determining the appropriate sentence under 9(4)	to statethat should be placed on the judiciary, taking into account the independence of the judiciary and the need not to interfere with this. It is for this reason that the provisions require the judiciary to simply explain how the fact that the offence is so aggravated affected the sentence imposed. This

domestic abuse as an aggravating factor.
--

CLAUSE 10 – BEHAVIOUR OCCURING OUTSIDE THE UK

EFM

This clause provides that a course of behaviour can constitute a domestic abuse offence if it consists of or includes behaviour which occurred in a country outside the United Kingdom. The behaviour would need to constitute the domestic abuse offence if it occurred in Northern Ireland and the accused would need to be habitually resident in Northern Ireland or be a UK national (as defined in subsection (2)).

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 10	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Attorney General for NI,	Highlights a concern that in his view	The Minister has written separately to the
John Larkin QC	this clause appears to penalise acts	Committee on this matter. The Minister and
	occurring outside Northern Ireland that	Department consider that it is within legislative
	are not criminalised in the country in	competence to create an offence under Northern
	which they take place.	Ireland law even where the criminal conduct occurs
	which they take place.	outside Northern Ireland. Furthermore it is
	By section 6(2) of the Northern Ireland	considered that clause 10 does not make law in
	Act 1998, a provision is outside the	another country – as recognised or enforceable as
	Assembly's legislative competence if it	such by that state – even if behaviour in that
	would form part of the law of a country	country counts in the eyes of Northern Ireland law
	or territory other than Northern Ireland	so far as governing the domestic abuse offence
	and he has indicated that making	locally. In relation to behaviour in another country,
	behaviour criminal in territory where	that contributes towards the domestic abuse
	that behaviour is not otherwise	offence locally, individuals will not be penalised in
	criminal offends against that limitation.	that country or by its authorities even where they
		are potentially penalised in Northern Ireland under
	The Committee has written separately	the rules of Northern Ireland law. As regards
	asking the Minister to set out her position	clause 10 of the Bill it is not considered that it forms
	on the issue of legislative competence in	part of the law of another country or confers
	relation to Clause 10.	functions other than in or as regards Northern
		Ireland.

		The Minister and the Department are satisfied that the clause is within the competence of the Assembly. This is also demonstrated through the Speaker's decision that the Bill is within the competence of the Assembly, the request from Westminster (and the recent approval by the
		Assembly) of a Legislative Consent Motion on near identical provisions relating to harassment as well as the approval by the Assembly for a range of other similar Northern Ireland related provisions.
NILGA	Notes the reference to 'habitual residence' is not defined. While there are two main requirements that must be proved there will be some categories of migrants who fall outside of this definition e.g. people on time limited visas, undocumented migrants, diplomatic staff and possibly asylum seekers (though refuges are considered to be habitually resident).	Habitually resident is common legislative terminology that is used across the UK and is not defined in legislation given that it will be determined by the particular circumstances of the case. To be resident a person must be seen to be making a home that place. As such a short stay visitor or a person here only to receiving short term medical treatment is not resident.
	Suggests consideration should be given to how to close this legislative gap.	To be habitually resident a person must have taken up residence and lived in the country for a period. This need not be lengthy if the facts indicate that a person's residence has become habitual in nature at an early stage. It could be as little as a month, while between 1 and 3 months is likely to be appropriate to demonstrate habitual residence.
		It is considered that in order for the extraterritorial provisions to apply there must be a degree or permanency, tied to Northern Ireland, for the defendant. Critical to this will be whether the

		person is deemed to normally reside in Northern Ireland. To do otherwise would capture holiday makers or others in Northern Ireland for a short period, when they then leave the jurisdiction. This is not the intent of the provision and would go beyond the competence of the Assembly. The purpose is to ensure that when those that are normally resident in Northern Ireland are outside the jurisdiction that they cannot evade justice in relation to domestic abuse, reflecting the fact that the offence is a course of behaviour.
NICOSSA	States there should be a caution about the evidence adduced where the behaviour has wholly or partly occurred outside the jurisdiction and this may require evidential rules to be considered. This article is however to be welcomed especially where those who have been in this jurisdiction a short time and may now feel safe to allege domestic abuse have a lengthy history of domestic abuse in another jurisdiction.	The same evidential rules, in terms of admissibility, will apply whether the abusive behaviour is carried out in Northern Ireland or elsewhere. It should be noted that the offence cannot apply retrospectively, that is before the offence comes into operation, as is the case with the vast majority of legislation.
NIWEP	NIWEP welcomes inclusion of the provisions, which go some way towards ensuring compliance with the Istanbul Convention regarding extraterritorial jurisdiction and protecting in particular BAMER women and girls, who to date have had limited protection.	

	Would welcome clarification of how 'habitually resident in Northern Ireland' is defined.	This is common legislative terminology that is used across the UK and is not defined in legislation given that it will be determined by the particular circumstances of the case. To be resident a person must be seen to be making a home. As such a short stay visitor or a person here only to receiving short term medical treatment is not resident. To be habitually resident a person must have taken up residence and lived in the country for a period. This need not be lengthy if the facts indicate that a person's residence has become habitual in nature at an early stage. It could be as little as a month, while between 1 and 3 months is likely to be appropriate to demonstrate habitual residence.
NIHRC	NIHRC welcomes the extra-territorial application of the Bill regarding perpetrators, as set out in clause 10, and advises that this provision is retained, in line with the Istanbul Convention	No comment needed in response to this.

CLAUSE 11 – EXCEPTION WHERE RESPONSIBILITY FOR CHILDREN

EFM

Subsection (1) provides that the domestic abuse offence does not apply where a person has parental responsibility for another person who is under 18 years of age. It is considered that there are other provisions that deal with, and should more appropriately be used for, direct abuse of a child or young person by their parent or other carer.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 11	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Victim Support NI	While understanding and supporting the reasoning for the exclusion from this legislation of children being abused by someone with parental responsibility for them Victim Support NI questions whether the existing suite of children's legislation does in fact have a direct equivalent to the provisions within this Bill and whether amendments to children's legislation are necessary to ensure parity of protection for child victims of coercive controlling	The Department gave careful consideration to the scope of the domestic abuse offence in order to ensure that children could be captured within it, in their own right, where they are in a relationship or are a family member (except where parental responsibility applies, in order to prevent criminalisation of this as a domestic abuse matter) and that aggravation related to a child could be reflected while preventing criminalisation of parental responsibility.
	behaviour and abuse. Victim Support NI recommends that child legislation is examined to ensure that there is legal parity for child victims of domestic abuse whose abuser is someone with parental responsibility for them and if such parity does not exist this should be addressed by legislative provisions.	Having considered the matter further, and taking account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an

Barnardo's	Barnardo's is also concerned that while this Bill closes a legislative gap to protect adult victims of domestic abuse it has not fully extended the provisions to protect children.	offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying. The Department gave careful consideration to the scope of the domestic abuse offence in order to ensure that children could be captured within it, in their own right, where they are in a relationship or are a family member (except where parental responsibility applies, in order to prevent criminalisation of this as a domestic abuse matter) and that aggravation related to a child could be reflected while preventing criminalisation of parental responsibility.
	It states that the offences in existing legislation highlighted do not provide enough protection for children and with this exception included in this Bill children are still at risk of harm in their home. Furthermore contact visits can often be used as a means of continuing a pattern of abuse or exerting control. Barnardo's is concerned that this clause will leave children who are victims of parental coercive control with no legislative protection.	Having considered the matter further, and taking account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying.
		No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation and our

provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16, except where parental responsibility applies, while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence does not apply to family members.
Where there are issues regarding safeguarding and child protection these would be addressed through other means, offences and sanctions.
When determining an application for contact with a child, the court's paramount consideration is the child's welfare and in considering the child's best interests, the court is required to consider any harm which the child has suffered or is at risk of suffering.

NSPCC	As presently drafted, NSPCC states that the Bill allows for the possibility of an adult committing an offence of domestic abuse against a child but clause 11 of the Bill states that A (an adult) does not commit an offence towards B (a child) where A has parental responsibility over B.	See above.
	While creating a minimum age of 16 for this offence, as called for in comments on clauses 8 and 9, would render this exception unnecessary, if such an age threshold is not introduced, NSPCC is opposed to this clause.	
	The Explanatory Memorandum justifies the inclusion of the clause by providing that there are other, more appropriate provisions for dealing with such situations. However, these provisions risk making the law as it affects children unclear. The exception risks creating an inequity where the same behaviour is deemed unacceptable for some children and not for others.	
Children's Law Centre	CLC is challenged as to the rationale and justification for this clause and strongly advocates for its removal from the Bill.	See above.

	CLC states that failure to recognise children and young people as victims of abuse, for example through coercive and controlling behaviour of a parent, leaves children and young people less visible to services and at a much greater risk of continued abuse.	
	As ECHR Art 2 Right to Life, Art 3 Right to Freedom from Torture, Inhuman and Degrading Treatment and Art 8 Right to Private and Family Life read alongside Art 14 Non-discrimination are engaged the exclusion of children from the protection of the Bill means it is not human rights compliant.	The Department has considered the content of the Bill and is content that it is Human Rights Compliant.
NICCY	Highlights its concerns regarding the exceptions set out in clauses 11 and 17 relating to where a person has parental responsibility for a child affected by the offences within the Bill and requests that consideration is given to whether there is a necessity for such exclusions.	See above.
	While the Explanatory and Financial Memorandum sets out that "it is considered that there are other provisions that deal with, and should more appropriately be used for, direct abuse of a child or young person by their parent or carer" NICCY notes that as the Bill	

introduces a new offence to address	
gaps in existing provisions, thorough	
consideration should be given to	
ensuring that all associated behaviour	S
and harms in relation to children are	
reflected in existing law and procedure	».
We also note that officials and the Minister	۶r
have stated orally that the exceptions will	
ensure that the Bill will not criminalise	
reasonable discipline or parenting	
techniques to manage children's	
behaviour, using the example of the	
withdrawing of privileges, however NICC	
does not consider that the offence of	
domestic abuse could be reasonably	
engaged in such cases. NICCY further	
notes that the clause 12 defence on the	
grounds of reasonableness would also be	
engaged by any such possible situations	
and are disappointed that the exceptions	
should be presented in a way which may	
inadvertently trivialise the dynamics of	
violence and abuse within families and its	i
impact on children.	

CLAUSE 12 – DEFENCE ON GROUNDS OF REASONABLENESS

EFM

This clause provides that it is a defence for the accused to show that the course of behaviour was, in the particular circumstances, reasonable. This may apply where, for example, the accused acted to prevent their partner from associating with certain persons or frequenting certain places if they are recovering from alcohol or drug addiction or to restrict their freedom of movement for their own safety due to the effects of suffering from dementia.

Subsection (2) allows for the accused to adduce evidence that is enough to raise an issue as to whether the course of behaviour was reasonable, with the prosecution then needing to disprove this version of events.

Nothing in this clause affects the broader requirement for the prosecution to prove beyond reasonable doubt that the offence has been committed.

Organisation	Views/Comments/Issues relating to Clause 12	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Women's Aid Federation	Calls for this clause to be removed from the Bill – while Women's Aid	The statutory defence in the domestic abuse offence is not a novel or unusual feature of
	understands that there is a need for a safeguard it is of the view that this	legislation. It is found in other pieces of legislation including the Criminal Justice and Licensing
	should not come at the expense of the Bill having no teeth and is concerned	(Scotland) Act 2010 in relation to the offences of threatening or abusive behaviour as well as stalking
	that this defence is open to manipulation by abusers. Women's Aid	(s. 38 & s. 39), the Serious Crime Act 2015 in respect of the offence of controlling or coercive
	states that it is perfectly possible for a perpetrator to present as a "reasonable	behaviour (s.76) and the Protection from Harassment (Northern Ireland) Order 1997 in
	person" who "might engage in behaviour which amounts to	relation to harassment. All these defences involve showing that the behaviour is reasonable in the
	controlling their partner which may be, in the particular circumstances of the	circumstances. It is therefore a test well understood by legal representatives and the court.
	case, reasonable, for example because they reasonably believed that their	Importantly, the provision does not give a free pass

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
actions were necessary to protect	to those that are accused of the defence. Evidence
themselves, their partner or other	will be needed and an assessment then have to be
family members from harm". This is an	made as to the behaviour is reasonable taking
issue, not only in relation to the	account of all the circumstances of the case.
perpetrator presenting this position as	
a defence but also in relation to the	To make use of the defence enough evidence must
"reasonable person" test as to whether	be provided by the defence to satisfy the judge that
behaviour was abusive and caused	the issue of reasonableness should be left before
harmful effects to the woman.	the tribunal of fact (i.e. either the judge or the jury
	depending on the court). It is not enough simply to
	claim the defence and that the behaviour was
	reasonable. If the defence is left to the judge or
	jury to consider, it will be for the prosecution to
	prove beyond reasonable doubt that the course of
	behaviour was not reasonable in the particular
	circumstances. It is an objective test that is
	applied, that is would a reasonable person in
	possession of the same information consider the
	behaviour reasonable in the particular
	circumstances of the case? The application of the
	defence will need to take into account the particular
	circumstances of the cases, including the positon of
	the victim. There is an evidential burden of proof
	on the defence, that on the balance of probabilities
	the actions were reasonable in the particular
	circumstances of the case. If the defendant fails to
	discharge this evidential burden they will not be
	able to rely on that defence.
	In addition, evidence of the reasonableness will
	have to be provided on two of more occasions, it
	cannot be a one off incident. It will be for the judge

to decide if there is sufficient evidence, with a need to trust the courts to apply a balanced approach in reaching their conclusion. If the judge is satisfied that there is sufficient evidence it is then for the Public Prosecution Service to disprove the defence and prove the offence, reflecting the common positon since the introduction of the Human Rights Act 1998. Together these provide a check and balance.
A person who used the defence and stated that they were acting in the other person's best interests but where a reasonable person with access to the same information would not find that behaviour to have been reasonable is very likely to have their defence rebutted by the prosecution (notwithstanding that each case, of course, turns on its own facts).
During Committee stage the Bar was of the view that a defence of reasonableness should be available. The Public Prosecution Service stated that it is an appropriate clause and that they are confident that the courts will have no difficulty in ensuring that clause 12 works in a way that is fair to everyone, both victims and defendants. They also stated that the defence is consistent with other legislation for many offences and considered that the benefit of a statutory defence is that it provides clarity and a clear test for all the practitioners and, in particular the judiciary and juries who hear the cases.

		In the absence of a statutory defence, general defences would, of course, be available. However, the specific statutory defence defines clear parameters for how the defence is to work. It is considered an important balance within the Bill and to remove it would risk upsetting the calibration of the Bill as a piece, which is designed to prevent criminality arising unfairly. In addition, this defence is available in Scotland and England and Wales in relation to the domestic abuse offence and the offence of controlling or coercive behaviour respectively. Having spoken to colleagues there, there do not appear to be significant issues. This, of course does not mean that we are bound to follow suit or that the Department has not considered what is most suitable for Northern Ireland purposes. However, it is likely that the absence of a similar statutory defence in this Bill would be picked up on by
		defence practitioners and the risk of legal challenge could not be ruled out.
Women's Advocacy Project @ Dove House	Supports the removal of the term "reasonable defence" stating that there is no circumstances in which it is reasonable to justify the use of Domestic Abuse. While understanding the use of reasonableness as a defence, they believe that the clause is open to manipulation. Many service	See above.

	times during court hearings where they have been made to look weak and mentally unstable as a defence to the domestic abuse they have endured at the hands of their perpetrator. This is not acceptable and such language is dangerous in telling perpetrators that there is any sort of defence to violence or coercive control.	
Women's Policy Group NI	The WPG NI supports the complete removal of the caveat of "reasonable defence", as they are deeply concerned with such measures being used as a justification of abuse by defendants. In creating such a provision, they are concerned that perpetrators can justify their abusive behaviour through portraying victims of abuse as mentally unstable, unable to make decisions for themselves, having a history of addiction that can be used against them and so on. Also concerned that this clause could allow for a defence where the accused can claim that they reasonably believed that the complainant was behaving unreasonably or that they were somehow incapacitated in terms of their decision-making. Further there is a risk that it could be turned in the	See above.

	other direction – that defendants could argue that the behaviour or status of the complainant or victim caused them to become temporarily unreasonable. The Group highlights that several states in America allow for the use of this defence and its use has been connected to both acquittals for crimes as serious as murder and to reduced charges and/or sentences.	The Department is unable to comment on this in the absence of specific legislative provisions (in terms of comparability) and cases, as well as taking account of quite different legal systems.
	While opposed to the inclusion of this defence in the Bill, and believing that the risks of manipulation of the "reasonable defence" outweigh any benefits of having this clause, if it is allowed, WPG states that safeguards should be introduced to ensure that it is not used as a mechanism to further abuse victims.	
Women's Resource and Development Agency	Supports the need to remove Clause 12 and refers to the Women's Policy Group submission.	See above.
NIWEP	Also urges for the removal of this clause believing this is essential to ensure appropriate protection for all victims and avoid use of the defence in ways that contradict the spirit of the legislation.	See above.
Women's Regional Consortium	Another organisation that is very concerned about this defence and wants it removed from the legislation.	See above.

	The main concern is for those who	
	have disabilities either with their	
	physical or mental health and those	
	with addictions.	
	If it is determined that the defence	
	should remain then the Consortium	
	suggests that the clause should be	
	very explicit in outlining the limited	
	circumstances where it can be applied	
	to ensure that it is not subject to too	
	much interpretation or overly	
	subjective as a way of ensuring that it	
	cannot be used as a defence by	
	perpetrators of domestic abuse.	
HERe/Cara-Friend	Recommends the removal of the	See above.
	'reasonableness defence' believing it	
	would be too open to misuse.	
	Highlights the use of 'reasonableness'	The Department is unable to comment on this in the
	being invoked in the USA as a defence	absence of specific legislative provisions (in terms of
	where a perpetrator has committed a	comparability) and cases, as well as taking account
	violent act upon learning that someone	of quite different legal systems.
	is LGB and/or T, particularly impacting	
	trans individuals.	
NIACRO	NIACRO strongly disagrees with the	See above
	inclusion of the 'reasonable defence'	
	clause in the Bill and believes the	
	clause has the potential to act as a	
	'loophole', causing cases to break	
	down. Questions whether proper	
	investigation and burden of evidence	
	alone could determine 'reasonable	

	defence' before a case is brought to court and anticipates that this potential loophole will be used by the defence and is potentially harmful in particular for those who experience disability, mental health disorders, addiction issues etc.	
Men's Advisory Project	While appreciating the rationale for the inclusion of a reasonableness defence in the legislation MAP states the need to guard against any potential misuse and ensure that it can only be used in limited circumstances and not as further tool to abuse. Recommends that the burden of proof should be on the defence to prove that their reliance on this defence is not being raised knowingly to further abuse the victim via the mechanisms of the court and law. This defence must also not be accepted where it was used to cause fear.	See above.
Victim Support NI	Victim Support also appreciates the motivation behind the inclusion of a reasonableness defence within the legislation but has slight concerns that it could result in some of the most vulnerable victims of abuse being left unprotected. Believes that in its current form it may be a blunt instrument which could lead to	See above.

difficulties for encode the with a	
difficulties for any victim with a	
disability or vulnerability to seek	
protection from the law and	
recommends amending the	
Explanatory Memorandum to tighten	
up the circumstances in which it is	
anticipated that a reasonableness	
defence may be employed.	
Also argues that "evidence that is	
enough to raise an issue as to whether	
a course of behaviour was reasonable"	
is much too broad, would allow for this	
defence to be abused too easily and	
recommends that the language used in	
the Bill governing the reasonableness	
defence is tightened.	
Victim Support suggests that a	
potential safeguard to mitigate against	
the potential harm and distress for	
victims where the 'reasonableness'	
defence was to be relied upon could be	
to require it to be handled via	
application and heard in a closed	
hearing rather than potentially in front	
of a jury. It recommends an addition to	
the Bill for a pre-trial requirement for	
sufficient evidence to be brought	
forward before the reasonableness	
defence is allowed to be heard in open	
court.	

Relate	 While not opposed to the principle that the Bill makes provision for a reasonable defence, Relate also remains concerned about the scoping of the defence as contained in the Bill. It highlights that 'enough to raise an issue' increases the opportunity for this defence to be abused by perpetrators and also believes that the use of the defence may also be abused by basing the 'reasonableness' of the defence on personal factors in the lives of those who experience abuse. Relate recommends that consideration be given to how the language used in the Bill and EFM can be tightened to reduce the possibility of this defence being used and applied incorrectly and to ensure there is a narrow and clearly defined basis for using it. 	See above.
Barnardo's	Expresses concerns about the breadth of this clause and the potential for the provision to be abuse. Highlights that the definition of reasonable behaviour is not stated in the Bill and is therefore open to interpretation which raises serious concerns that vulnerable victims may continue to suffer abuse under the guise of reasonable behaviour. Believes that it is crucial	See above.

NEXUS	that clear guidance is developed to clearly outline the parameters of this defence and there is robust monitoring of its implementation.Expresses concerns about the breadth Also has concerns that the reasonableness defence in its current form could lead to difficulties for any victim with a disability or vulnerability to seek protection from the law. States that it is recognised, that women with disabilities are twice as likely to be victims of domestic abuse, and, are less likely to report it due to multiple	See above.
	barriers. In many cases, the abuser of someone with a disability is also their carer. It raises concern regarding those with a caring responsibility and recommends that further scrutiny should be considered for those with a disability.	
	NEXUS states that for a reasonableness test to be properly applied, both legal professionals and jurors would need an understanding of what coercive controlling behaviour looks like and what behaviours would meet this threshold.	
NSPCC	NSPCC is not convinced that the defence contained in clause 12 is	As with other legislation there are a wide range of terms in the Bill that attract their ordinary (typically

	sufficiently clear and would welcome more detail on how 'reasonable' is defined.	Oxford English dictionary) meaning. The guidance associated with the offence can also consider the issue of the defence.
The Rainbow Project	Is concerned about the potential of the 'reasonableness' defence being perverted to justify the abuse of vulnerable, mentally ill or disabled people.	See above.
	The Rainbow Project states that if the reasonableness defence is to be maintained in the legislation then training and guidance for officers and agencies must ensure that the dignity and safety of vulnerable victims must not be sacrificed in defence of those who have caring responsibilities for them. It will also be important for police and other agencies to recognise the particular forms of abuse which can be experienced by LGBT people from other members of their family, including parents and that hostility to, disbelief of, or distress about a family member's sexual orientation or gender identify may not be used as a defence for abusive behaviour.	
NIPSA	NIPSA has also expressed concerns about the reasonableness defence and potential for abuse by perpetrators stating that perpetrators could justify their abusive behaviour through	See above.

	portraying victims of abuse as mentally unstable, unable to make decisions for themselves, having a history of addiction that can be used against them. Believes that this loophole could serve to break down a case, will be abused by the defence and is harmful for those victims who experience disability, mental health disorders or addiction issues caused by abuse.	
ICTU	ICTU highlights that it shares the concerns of other organisations including the Commissioner for Older People in relation to the defence on grounds of reasonableness being used by a perpetrator to imply a victim has a mental illness or be used to justify their actions.	See above.
	ICTU agrees with the Belfast and Area Domestic and Sexual Violence and Abuse Partnership when they say that they 'feel the wording is not specific enough to prevent this from happening'. It also notes and agrees with Women's Aid and their insistence that the framing of this clause be explicit in outlining the circumstances where this is appropriate behaviour so that it cannot be used as a defence by perpetrators for domestic abuse. It would be particularly concerned about	

	how it could be used against women with disabilities, older women and women with mental health conditions. It believes that the public and relevant professionals must be assisted in their understanding of what constitutes coercive control. This education is vital if the legislation is to have the desired effect – i.e. the protection of victims and prosecution of perpetrators.	
PCS	Has significant concerns regarding the defence on the grounds of reasonableness stating that the wording is not specific enough to prevent perpetrators from justifying their abuse or blaming the victim. Asks for the concerns raised by Women's Aid, the Belfast and Area Domestic and Sexual Violence and Abuse Partnership, the Commissioner for Older People, Nexus NI and ICTU to be listened to and addressed.	See above.
NICCY	NICCY highlights the importance of robust safeguards being in place in relation to the defence on grounds of reasonableness in order to ensure the defence is not used inappropriately and to further harm victims.	See above.
COPNI	COPNI is concerned that by allowing as a defence 'for A to show that the	See above.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
course of behaviour was reasonable in	
the particular circumstances' (12.1),	
may allow abusive behaviour to go	
unpunished. In particular, it notes the	
phrasing of (12.2 (a)) that 'evidence	
adduced is enough to raise an issue as	
to whether the course of behaviour is	
as described in subsection (1)'.	
COPNI is of the opinion that given the	
power imbalance in many domestic	
situations and in practically all carer	
relationships (materially, financially,	
psychologically and/or physically) a	
codification such as this may be	
problematic. The phrase 'enough to	
raise an issue' seems sufficiently loose	
as to conceivably allow for victim-	
blaming as a means of defence. It	
cannot be the case that the dominant	
person in the relationship need only	
raise a query over the victim's	
behaviour in order to rationalise abuse,	
as such a formulation may allow. As a	
consequence COPNI would be	
supportive of any efforts to tighten up	
this clause while allowing the standard	
reasonable test as a defence but only	
when formulated in a manner which	
recognises the power dynamics of	
many domestic arrangements.	

Belfast DSVP	While Belfast DSVP understands that	See above.
	there is a need to safeguard vulnerable	
	adults or to protect family members it is	
	concerned that the reasonable defence	
	could be used by a perpetrator to imply	
	a victim has a mental illness or be used	
	to justify their actions.	
	The Partnership feels the wording is	
	not specific enough to prevent this	
	from happening.	
SEH&SCT	Both organisations believe that the	See above.
	defence of reasonableness is a	
South Eastern DSVP	concern as it may be used by	
	perpetrators to manipulate victims and	
	to explain their actions as justifiable.	
	For example, many vulnerable victims	
	self-medicate using	
	alcohol/prescription drugs to help	
	them cope with the circumstances they	
	find themselves in and this clause will	
	create greater difficulties and prove a	
	hindrance in tackling Domestic	
	Violence and Abuse. It will give	
	abusers a "let out" clause and this is	
	dangerous.	
NIPB	The Board has identified the absence	See above.
	of a definition of what it is to be	
	'reasonable' for a defence to be	
	provided as an issue and states that	
	the concern is around those who are in	
	care or in caring relationships and	

	those who have disabilities with their physical health and mental health.	
PSNI	The PSNI states that it understands the inclusion of such a defence however notes that the interpretation of what is a reasonable defence would rest with the courts. Advises that Clause 12, whilst framed of itself in 12 (1), appears neither pragmatic nor clear in 12 (2), and indeed does not sit comfortably with 12 (1). 'An issue', within 12(2) may benefit from a definition. Suggests that it may be beneficial to consider including a more general reasonable test as that found within Clause 2 (2) (ii) ie by the creation of a 12 (3) to read something along the lines of 'would be considered by a reasonable person in all the particular circumstances as reasonable'	See above. In terms of the suggested revision to the clause the use of the additional text is not considered to add to the provisions. The use of the term particular circumstances is considered tight in that it must necessarily refer to what happened at the time for a particular reason (and this must be all of the circumstances). It is not considered to further add to the provision to refer to a reasonable person, including given that there are already two references to reasonable.
NIHRC	Advises that the Department needs to be cautious with regard to this clause and ensure that effective safeguards are in place to prevent misuse of this clause by perpetrators, to the detriment of the victim. This will require particular consideration of the potential vulnerabilities of the victim that require	See above.

	anagial protection such as warmen	
	special protection such as women,	
	children and person with disabilities.	
	The Commission states that	
	The Commission states that	
	consideration should be given to	
	whether the burden of proof should	
	instead rest with the defence to prove	
	beyond reasonable doubt that the	
	utilising of the defence is necessary	
	and proportionate and recommends	
	consulting with sector experts and	
	victims on this specific issue.	
Bar of NI	The Bar is of the view that a defence of	See above.
	reasonableness should be available in	
	respect of the offence of abusive	
	behaviour, particularly given the broad	
	nature of the potential scenarios which	
	could be caught under the Bill. The	
	wording of clause 12 is acceptable and it	
	•	
	remains for the prosecution to prove	
	beyond reasonable doubt that the offence	
	has been committed. However, it has	
	questions around the application of	
	this defence as the explanatory	
	memorandum accompanying the Bill	In terms of limited examples in the explanatory
	only gives two examples, including	memorandum of what may be considered
	where the accused acted to prevent a	reasonable this is a short document, intended to
	partner recovering from drug/alcohol	provide an overview; even a more substantive
	addiction from associating with certain	document cannot cover every single eventuality
	persons or frequenting certain places	that may occur. The guidance associated with the
	or where the accused restricted a	offence can provide further information in relation
	relative's freedom of movement for	this.

Education Authority	their own safety due to the effects of suffering from dementia. These two examples both appear to be sensible applications of this defence and it is worth noting that 12(2)(a) requires that the accused adduces evidence to raise this as an issue which may necessitate the provision of expert medical reports in certain circumstances. There are also likely to be more possible examples in which the behaviour could be considered reasonable in the circumstances and we would welcome further guidance on this.Recommends defining the	The guidance associated with the offence can
	circumstances were a reasonable defense is linked to mental health e.g. severe dementia, and what underlying condition does not constitute reasonable defense.	provide further information in relation to types of defence.
Presbyterian Church in Ireland	Notes that guidance will be required for the PSNI, prosecutors and other agencies to ensure that this provision is used within the spirit which it has been intended.	As part of the operationalisation of the offence statutory guidance (which will be published) will be developed. This will be considered by a multi- agency Task and Finish Group involving our key statutory and voluntary sector partners, through which a range of views can be reflected.

CLAUSE 13 – ALTERNATIVE AVAILABLE FOR CONVICTION

EFM

This clause provides that, where the court is not satisfied that the domestic abuse offence has been committed, it can convict the accused of a specified alternative offence of harassment or putting people in fear of violence under the Protection from Harassment (Northern Ireland) Order 1997.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 13	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Men's Advisory Project	Supports this provision but warns that this alternative may be misused and more significant forms of abuse will be reduced to this by prosecutors or the PSNI thus misrepresenting a course of abuse to a single incident of abuse.	It is envisaged that an alternative offence would only be provided for where is it not possible to evidence a personal connection between two individuals (which is a requirement for the offence) and therefore convict of the domestic abuse offence, but it is considered that the behaviour would amount to harassment (or stalking in terms of the new stalking offence in due course). These are both course of behaviour offences (that is two or more incidents). It would be for the court to determine, on conviction, that an alternative offence should be provided for. This would only be considered when all the evidence of the case has been considered.
NIWEP	Agrees with this provision but recommends that where this is used, the reasons why it was deemed that the domestic abuse offence was not proven should be recorded in the conviction. This is important to create clarity for the victim in the specific case, and also to support monitoring	It is envisaged that an alternative offence would only be provided for where it is not possible to evidence a personal connection between two individuals (which is a requirement for the offence) and therefore convict of the domestic abuse offence, but it is considered that the behaviour would amount to harassment (or stalking in terms of the new stalking offence in due course). It is envisaged the number of instances of this would be

and analysis of how the legislation is	low, given that both the police and Public
implemented.	Prosecution Service will have to have be satisfied in
	bringing forward a case that that two individuals are
It is also critical that the alternative is	(or have been) partners, family members or in an
not used too readily, in order to ensure	intimate personal relationship. The reason for
both effective implementation of the	electing for an alternative offence should be set out
legislation and reassure victims and	as part of the case. Furthermore, operationally it
survivors at the symbolic function	would be difficult, and likely prohibitively expensive,
level. This is included in the	to record why the domestic abuse offence was not
recommendations of CEDAW General	proven on an individual case basis. This may also
Recommendation 35 on gender based	be as a result of a jury decision where the offence
violence against women.	is tried at Crown Court. This is an issue that
	would be dealt with as part of the case.

CLAUSE 14 – PENALTY FOR THE OFFENCE

EFM

This clause provides that the maximum penalty on summary conviction (that is in a magistrates' court) is 12 months' imprisonment or a fine up to the statutory maximum (that is, £5,000). Where a case is tried on indictment (that is, in the Crown Court) the maximum penalty is 14 years' imprisonment or a fine or both. The nature of the penalties is intended to reflect the cumulative nature of the offence over time, that it may cover both physical and psychological abuse and also the intimate and trusting nature of the relationships involved.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 14	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Women's Aid Federation NI	Welcomes the range of sentences	A range of work is being undertaken in relation to
	available and ability to increase the	behavioural change programmes. At present work
	sentence due to aggravation.	can be undertaken with individuals by the Probation
		Board for Northern Ireland as part of the sentence
	Notes that there is no court mandated	handed down by a court.
	programmes for perpetrators of	
	domestic violence and abuse and	The Probation Board for Northern Ireland continue
	again there needs to be investment and	to deliver two court mandated programmes as
	work in relation to the rehabilitation of	additional requirements of a licence or order:
	abusers as there is such a rate of	
	reoffending in these cases. Questions	(i) Building Better Relationships – a nationally
	the non-referral to the Women's Safety	accredited group work programme for male
	element of court-mandated perpetrator	perpetrators of violence and abuse within
	programmes for the past 3-4 years via	intimate relationships. This aims to increase
	the Court process and also questions	understanding of motivating factors in domestic
	this major gap in holding perpetrators	abuse and reduce further incidents.
	5 7	(ii) Respectful Relationships Interventions – an
	PSNI domestic abuse incidents year on	intervention delivered one-to-one to develop
	year. Calls for the continuation of such	awareness of what constitutes healthy,
	programmes as the Promoting Positive	unhealthy and abusive relationships.

Dol	ationships programmes that have	
	en piloted.	An important component of both programmes is the Partner Support Worker, who supports the current
Also	o states that the very low attrition	(and if appropriate previous partner) of the
	e in these cases needs to be	participant, assists with safety planning, provides
	iously addressed as does the entire	information about the programme and signposts to
	S process in relation to domestic	partner agencies, such as Women's Aid.
	ence and holding perpetrators to	partiter agencies, such as women's Alu.
	ount.	In the next the Dertner Curnert Merker role for the
	ount.	In the past the Partner Support Worker role for the court programmes was fulfilled by Women's Aid. PBNI now train their own Partner Support Workers and consulted with Women's Aid NI on this at the time of the change.
		A more general pilot of court mandated behavioural change programmes was undertaken in Londonderry Magistrates Court in 2018. Uptake of this was much lower than expected. An evaluation of the programme has been undertaken and the Department is currently next steps.
		In addition, as part of its Problem Solving Justice approach the Department is piloting behavioural change programmes for those that are showing concerning behaviour but have not yet reached the
		criminal justice system. This is the second year that this has been funded across the five trusts in
		Northern Ireland for up to 60 individuals. Women's
		Aid are involved in providing Partner Support
		Workers for these programmes. Further decisions
		on the way forward will be dependent on the
		outcome of evaluations of the piloted programmes.

Men's Advisory Project	Highlights that there are no perpetrator	Work is ongoing with the judiciary around the piloting of listing arrangements at Laganside Magistrates court later in the year, which would enable the clustering of domestic assault cases, accompanied by improved file quality processes and support for high risk repeat victims. There will be an increased focus on ensuring that prosecutions can proceed in the absence of a victim giving evidence. The Department is unable to comment on PPS' process. See above.
Men's Auvisory Project	programmes for females who perpetrate domestic abuse in NI nor are there any court mandated perpetrator programmes. Efforts to improve access to programmes must be made to provide safety and protection to society.	Both the Building Better Relationships and Respectful Relationships Interventions programmes referred to involve group work programme for males, similarly with the pilot behavioural change programmes with the trusts. However, bespoke one to one work can be delivered to female perpetrators subject to supervision.
	Also highlights the necessity of the police to implement Child Contact orders. When ignored or breached, even repeatedly, this is not currently acted upon by the police and is regarded as a civil matter. While understanding the difficulty faced by the police in implementing such an order the abuse of the bond between	Breach of a contact order is not a criminal offence so the police do not have an enforcement role, rather it is for the court that made the order to enforce it. Breach of a contact order is punishable as a contempt of court, although a court may be reluctant to imprison a parent who has breached a contact order out of concern for the welfare of the child. The Gillen Review of Family Justice made a number of recommendations to enhance the

	abild and normal connet continue to be	in a second a second a second se
	child and parent cannot continue to be	powers of courts to deal with breaches of contact
	ignored.	orders, which are being considered by the
		Department and the judiciary.
HERe/Cara-Friend	Believes that there should be sentencing	The issue of sentencing guidelines will be
	guidelines for domestic abuse cases to	considered as part of the work being undertaken on
	ensure consistency across courts.	operationalisation of the Bill and discussions are being held with the Judicial Studies Board in relation to this issue.
		A sentencing guidelines paper on domestic violence and abuse, by His Honour Judge Burgess, is currently available on the 'Sentencing Guidelines for Northern Ireland' section of the Judiciary NI website. In addition, judges are able to draw on sentencing guidelines laid down in previous cases by the Court of Appeal, and can take into account guidelines from the English Sentencing Council (which includes the overarching guidelines on domestic violence).
		Importantly, the Bill provides for a number of statutory aggravators (which can enable the sentence awarded to be increased) which are not available in England and Wales.
	States that any restorative justice must be victim led and non-compulsory with recognition of the power the	The Department considers that there is there is potential for restorative justice and appropriate interventions in custody and in the community to
	perpetrator has exercised over the	contribute to the rehabilitation of offenders who
	victim and how this may impact their	demonstrate this type of abusive behaviour, but it
	response.	requires sensitive handling and significant
		preparation by trained experts to ensure that

Mid and East Antrim Borough Council	Believes that the penalties provided in the Bill are appropriate and adequate but proposes that clause 14(b) be amended to reflect the possibility of an unlimited fine when convicted on indictment to match similar offences to that in this Bill.	 victims are in no way re-victimised by the process. Restorative justice provides a unique opportunity to better meet the needs of, and provide redress for the harm caused to victims of crime while reducing offending. To ensure the right approach is adopted the Department is currently consulting on the development of an adult restorative justice strategy for Northern Ireland (through to mid September). The Department would welcome responses to the consultation from interested parties, including groups and fora that support and represent victims and survivors of domestic violence and abuse. Any future work to develop restorative responses will be undertaken in partnership with key stakeholders, including victims. Involvement of a victim would be their decision. On summary conviction the maximum fine is a level five fine of up to £5000. On conviction on indictment, at Crown Court, a fine can be unlimited. This is the case for the domestic abuse offence, with standard legislative text for fines at this court tier used in the Bill.
NIPSA ICTU Women's Regional Consortium PCS NI	All these organisations welcome the maximum penalties set out in the Bill and believe they reflect the serious nature of domestic abuse.	
	They recommend that sentencing guidelines for domestic abuse cases	The issue of sentencing guidelines will be considered as part of the work being undertaken on

	should be developed to support and encourage consistency across courts. This does not take away from the autonomy of an individual judge but will provide a framework within which autonomous and professional judgement can be most effectively employed.	 operationalisation of the Bill and discussions are being held with the Judicial Studies Board in relation to this issue. A sentencing guidelines paper on domestic violence and abuse, by His Honour Judge Burgess, is currently available on the 'Sentencing Guidelines for Northern Ireland' section of the Judiciary NI website. In addition, judges are able to draw on sentencing guidelines laid down in previous cases by the Court of Appeal, and can take into account guidelines from the English Sentencing Council (which includes the overarching guidelines on domestic violence). Importantly, the Bill provides for a number of statutory aggravators (which can enable the sentence awarded to be increased) which are not available in England and Wales.
NICCOSA	Assumes the maximum penalties will be subject to judicial guidance on sentencing guidelines.	See above.
NIACRO	Recognises that sentencing requires a balance of fairness based on consistency and room for discretion that accounts for mitigating circumstances. Recommends establishing sentencing guidelines to determine the appropriate penalty.	See above.
	Also highlights the need for earlier interventions and notes that there appears to be no programmes	A range of work is being undertaken in relation to behavioural change programmes. At present work can be undertaken with individuals by the Probation

availa	ble to these who engage in	Poord for Northern Ireland as part of the contenes
	ble to those who engage in	Board for Northern Ireland as part of the sentence
	stic abuse behaviour outside of	handed down by a court.
	ory provision for people who	
	nise their need of support to	The Probation Board for Northern Ireland continue
chang	je.	to deliver two court mandated programmes as
		additional requirements of a licence or order:
		(i) Building Better Relationships – a
		nationally accredited group work programme
		for male perpetrators of violence and abuse
		within intimate relationships. This aims to
		increase understanding of motivating factors
		in domestic abuse and reduce further
		incidents.
		(ii) Respectful Relationships Interventions – an
		intervention delivered one-to-one to develop
		awareness of what constitutes healthy,
		unhealthy and abusive relationships.
		A more general pilot of court mandated behavioural
		change programmes was undertaken in
		Londonderry Magistrates Court in 2018. Uptake of
		this was much lower than expected. An evaluation
		of the programme has been undertaken and the
		Department is currently next steps.
		. , , , ,
		In addition, as part of its Problem Solving Justice
		portfolio the Department is piloting behavioural
		change programmes for those that are showing
		concerning behaviour but have not yet reached the
		criminal justice system. This is the second year
		that this has been funded across the five trusts in

Parenting NI	Is concerned that no specific mitigation or exception has been detailed for abuse of a parent by an under-18-year-old child. The effect of this bill on families were abuse exists between a child and a parent must therefore be considered.	Northern Ireland for up to 60 individuals. Further decisions on the way forward will be dependent on the outcome of evaluations of the piloted programmes. The sentence awarded in a particular case (as well as any fine) will be dependent on, and take account of, the particular circumstances of the case.
	Is conscious that the penalties for the abuse are either fines or imprisonment. While these are appropriate and reasonable for adult perpetrators, such potential punishment is unlikely to motivate abused parents of younger children to report this crime or seek help. A child will be unable to pay any fine	As with all other offences, in deciding whether to charge a young person, consideration will be given to the circumstances of the case, whether the test for public prosecution (including a public interest test) is met and what alternative disposals may be available. Youth Justice Agency staff are trained to recognise
	imposed – this money if it were sought would instead likely be from the victim. Equally, while parents will wish for the abuse to end, it is possible that they will prefer to continue to suffer rather than have their child imprisoned.	and respond to issues of domestic abuse in all its forms, whether a child is a victim or perpetrator. They are required to negotiate the procedural and process requirements of both the justice and safeguarding systems as they impact on children and adults, whilst supporting service users to engage with a range of support requirements and
	Parenting NI does not agree that the stipulated penalties are likely to provide better outcomes for families experiencing child-to-parent violence if a child would either be imprisoned or	safety plans. Specialised interventions are delivered as part of community or court-ordered disposals, often in collaboration with other statutory and voluntary organisations.

not face any penalty nor be mandated	The experience in other jurisdictions are that the
to get help/support on threat of	number of young people charged with an offence
penalty. Families would be unlikely to	has been relatively low. We understand that in
see this bill as a safety valve.	Scotland, for example, around 1.5% of those
	reported and prosecuted were under the age of 18
	(around 15 - 20 individuals), while in England and
	Wales we understand that only two individuals
	under the age of 18 have been convicted.
	In terms of those who display harmful behaviour
	their needs should be considered separately from
	the needs of the person being abused. There
	should be a co-ordinated approach by Health and
	Social Care Trusts, the police, Public Protection
	Arrangements NI, the Public Prosecution Service,
	victim support services and youth justice bodies.
	Schools and colleges may need to be involved as
	part of the co-ordinated response to provide
	education and awareness so that relevant
	professionals from this sector can understand the
	risks the young person may pose to other young
	people. This co-ordinated response should include
	working with the young person whose behaviour
	has been harmful and those working with the young
	person who has been harmed.
They recommend that where there is	Children and young people who abuse others
child-to-parent abuse either an	should be held responsible for their abusive
amending clause stipulating that where	behaviour, while being identified and responded to
the offender is (1) the child of the	in a way that meets their own needs as well as
victim and (2) under the age of 18 that	protecting others. Professionals should consider
the penalty be something other than	whether a young person who abuses others should
I the penalty be something other than	whether a young person who abases others should

imprisonment - further su	Ipport would be the subject of a Child Protection Case
need to be provided to th	,
	•
address this abusive beh	
specific sentencing guida	
provided to relevant offic	
children under the age of	
their parents are not to be	
unless they present a rea	
danger that cannot other	wise be and persistent abuse in teenage relationships.
mitigated.	
	The matter of the sentence awarded, including any
	financial penalty, is a matter for the judiciary having
	taken account of the particular circumstances of the
	case. For the Department to stipulate conditions
	associated with this could be seen to interfere with
	the independence of the judiciary.
	The issue of sentencing guidelines will be
	considered as part of the work being undertaken on
	operationalisation of the Bill and discussions are
	being held with the Judicial Studies Board in
	relation to this issue.
	A sentencing guidelines paper on domestic
	violence and abuse, by His Honour Judge Burgess,
	is currently available on the 'Sentencing Guidelines
	for Northern Ireland' section of the Judiciary NI
	website. In addition, judges are able to draw on
	sentencing guidelines laid down in previous cases
	by the Court of Appeal, and can take into account
	guidelines from the English Sentencing Council

Derry City and Strabane District Council	The Council feels that a maximum sentence of 12 months is not a strong enough deterrent for an act that can potentially result in severe mental/physical damage or death and does not agree that 14 years is a long enough sentence for an act of domestic violence murder.	(which includes the overarching guidelines on domestic violence). The maximum sentence of 12 months is that associated with conviction in a summary (magistrates') court. The domestic abuse offence is also triable as an indictable offence at Crown Court, where the sentence could be up to 14 years. The court tier chosen for court proceedings will be dependent on the particular circumstances of the case and nature of the abuse. The maximum penalty for the domestic abuse offence is aligned with other serious offences involving serious violence or rape. It remains open for incidents of domestic abuse to be progressed under other charges where appropriate, for example where this involves a rape. If a murder were to occur (a domestic homicide) this would be treated as such and incur the maximum penalties associated with this; it would not be progressed under the domestic abuse offence. The murder charge could be progressed along with a domestic abuse aggravator that would enable the sentence to be increased, up the maximum available.
	The Council also recommends that if the perpetrator is a repeat offender (be that against the same person or a different person) this should be taken into account and sentencing should be reflective of this.	Given that the domestic abuse offence is based on a course of behaviour this will enable the court to take into account a number of incidents as well as the individual circumstances of the case.
NIPB	The Board understands and supports that the Bill includes maximum penalty in the	

Magistrates' Courts of 12 months imprisonment, and 14 years in the Crown Court. It may also include a fine. The Board understands that the maximum sentences would 'most likely' be applied in cases where there is this type of coercive control in conjunction with physical violence - 'the maximum sentence will be reserved for the most serious cases. It could relate to an offence that is entirely one of psychological abuse, which may have occurred over a significant time- period. However, it is more likely to relate to coercive behaviour that includes both psychological and physical abuse'.	
While this is the case, the Board has expressed concern surrounding the very nature of this sentencing, and would like to draw attention to the possibility of cases where there is no evidence of physical abuse and where coercive control was prominent and how this could lead to homicide.	The sentence granted will ultimately depend on the nature of the offence and the particular circumstances of the case. A case involving a catalogue of domestic abuse would be sentenced according to the particular circumstances of the case. As stated the higher penalties could relate to an offence of psychological abuse, that is there is no evidence of physical abuse. The matter of the sentence awarded, including any financial penalty, is for the judiciary to determine having taken account of the particular circumstances of the case. The issue of sentencing guidelines will be considered as part of the work being undertaken on operationalisation of the Bill

SEHSCT South Eastern DSVP	Both organisations are concerned that sentencing for murder of a partner incurs a lesser sentence than murders not domestically motivated and believes that this warrants further exploration and consideration.	 and discussions are being held with the Judicial Studies Board in relation to this issue. A sentencing guidelines paper on domestic violence and abuse, by His Honour Judge Burgess, is currently available on the 'Sentencing Guidelines for Northern Ireland' section of the Judiciary NI website. In addition, judges are able to draw on sentencing guidelines laid down in previous cases by the Court of Appeal, and can take into account guidelines from the English Sentencing Council (which includes the overarching guidelines on domestic violence). While the Department is unable to comment on the sentence provided in individual cases the sentence granted will ultimately depend on the nature of the offence and the particular circumstances of the case. The judiciary are highly trained and experienced independent professionals whose job is to balance all the relevant factors to arrive at an appropriate sentence. If a murder (a domestic homicide) were to occur following the introduction of the new domestic abuse offence the murder charge could be progressed along with a domestic abuse aggravator that would enable the sentence to be increased, up the maximum available.
Women's Policy Group NI Women's Resource and Development Agency	All these organisations also recommend that sentencing guidelines for domestic abuse cases should be developed to support and encourage	The issue of sentencing guidelines will be considered as part of the work being undertaken on operationalisation of the Bill and discussions are

The Women's Advocacy Project @ Dove House	consistency across courts. This does not take away from the autonomy of an individual judge but will provide a framework within which autonomous and professional judgement can be most effectively employed. In addition, with the introduction of coercive control as domestic abuse it is absolutely imperative that clear guidelines are in place in order to not create a "hierarchy of abuse".	 being held with the Judicial Studies Board in relation to this issue. A sentencing guidelines paper on domestic violence and abuse, by His Honour Judge Burgess, is currently available on the 'Sentencing Guidelines for Northern Ireland' section of the Judiciary NI website. In addition, judges are able to draw on sentencing guidelines laid down in previous cases by the Court of Appeal, and can take into account guidelines from the English Sentencing Council (which includes the overarching guidelines on domestic violence).
		Importantly, the Bill provides for a number of statutory aggravators (which can enable the sentence awarded to be increased) which are not available in England and Wales.
	Recidivism is a real problem with these kinds of offences, short prison sentences and decisions to refer serious cases to lower courts communicates something to the public regarding the severity of the offence and it is regrettable that the system does not priorities true rehabilitation.	As part of its Problem Solving Justice portfolio the Department is piloting behavioural change programmes for those that are showing concerning behaviour but have not yet reached the criminal justice system. This is the second year that this has been funded across the five trusts in Northern Ireland for up to 60 individuals. Further decisions on the way forward will be dependent on the outcome of evaluations of the piloted programmes.
	In the case of the often suggested approach of restorative justice there are	The Department considers that there is there is potential for restorative justice and appropriate interventions in custody and in the community to

	1
serious concerns as it applies to the crime of domestic abuse.	contribute to the rehabilitation of offenders who demonstrate abusive behaviour, but it requires sensitive handling and significant preparation by trained experts to ensure that victims are in no way re-victimised by the process. Restorative justice provides a unique opportunity to better meet the needs of, and provide redress for the harm caused to victims of crime while reducing offending. To ensure the right approach is adopted the Department is currently consulting on the development of an adult restorative justice strategy for Northern Ireland (through to mid September). The Department would welcome responses to the consultation from interested parties, including groups and fora that support and represent victims and survivors of domestic violence and abuse. Any future work to develop restorative responses will be undertaken in partnership with key stakeholders, including victims. Involvement of a victim would be their decision.
For these reasons the organisations believe that mandatory sentences for guilty pleas and when found guilty deserve serious consideration in the absence of a better way to adequately capture the seriousness of the offence and the need for thorough rehabilitation.	It is unclear whether the reference to mandatory sentences is referring to mandatory minimum sentences. If so, in addition to the above the judiciary are highly trained and experienced independent professionals whose job is to balance all the relevant factors to arrive at an appropriate sentence. For sentences in more serious offences, there is also an option for the Director of Public Prosecutions to refer a sentence which is

		considered unduly lenient to the Court of Appeal for consideration. For the legislature to dictate a mandatory minimum sentence would fetter this judicial independence and run the risk of resulting in unfair and undesirable outcomes. In terms of rehabilitation we recognise the unique dynamics and characteristics of domestic violence and abuse as it relates to recidivism. The new offence will provide an opportunity to enhance understanding with regard to this type of offending and further ensure that those involved in the criminal justice response recognise the need for robust assessment and risk management when considering the most appropriate course of action to address domestic abuse and reduce reoffending and re-victimisation. It is considered that there is potential for restorative justice and appropriate interventions in custody, and in the community, to contribute to the rehabilitation of offenders who demonstrate this type of abusive behaviour, but it requires sensitive handling and significant preparation by trained experts to ensure that
		victims are in no way re-victimised by the
Barnardo's	Advises that specialist early	process.
Darnardo S	Advises that specialist early	In terms of those who display harmful behaviour
	intervention support should be	their needs should be considered separately from
	available to young people exhibiting	the needs of the person being abused. There
	abusive behaviours in order to break	should be a co-ordinated approach by Health and
	the cycle before adulthood. Support	Social Care Trusts, the police, Public Protection

	services must be child-centred and trauma-informed, designed to support and protect young people and divert those displaying abusive behaviour from criminalisation. Children displaying harmful sexual behaviour should be treated as children first and foremost and there is a clear need to develop greater understanding of why children offend in this way.	Arrangements NI, the Public Prosecution Service, victim support services and youth justice bodies. Schools and colleges may need to be involved as part of the co-ordinated response to provide education and awareness so that relevant professionals from this sector can understand the risks the young person may pose to other young people. This co-ordinated response should include working with the young person whose behaviour has been harmful and those working with the young person who has been harmed. Children and young people who abuse others should be held responsible for their abusive behaviour, while being identified and responded to in a way that meets their own needs as well as
		protecting others. Professionals should consider whether a young person who abuses others should be the subject of a Child Protection Case Conference if he or she is considered personally to be at risk of continuing significant harm.
NIWEP	States that higher sentences are appropriate in light of the harm caused by domestic abuse and also act as a deterrent.	
	Recommends that capacity building of justice agencies to ensure consistent sentencing is a critical element necessary to ensure the legislative provisions are used appropriately and to their full capacity and that	The issue of sentencing guidelines will be considered as part of the work being undertaken on operationalisation of the Bill and discussions are being held with the Judicial Studies Board in relation to this issue.

sentencing guidelines for domestic abuse cases are developed to provide a framework within which autonomous and professional judgement can be most effectively employed and to support and encourage consistency across courts.	A sentencing guidelines paper on domestic violence and abuse, by His Honour Judge Burgess, is currently available on the 'Sentencing Guidelines for Northern Ireland' section of the Judiciary NI website. In addition, judges are able to draw on sentencing guidelines laid down in previous cases by the Court of Appeal, and can take into account guidelines from the English Sentencing Council (which includes the overarching guidelines on domestic violence). Importantly, the Bill provides for a number of statutory aggravators (which can enable the sentence awarded to be increased) which are not available in England and Wales.
Also recommends the development of offender rehabilitation and appropriate restorative justice. NIWEP supports the inclusion of restorative justice as part of the criminal justice process but not as a separate system. NIWEP would also welcome inclusion of a criterion that the perpetrator is capable of learning and benefitting from restorative justice more profoundly than in terms of escaping prosecution and potentially conviction. This would ideally include a test of some kind and monitoring over time to assess the effectiveness of the approach.	Restorative justice provides a unique opportunity to better meet the needs of, and provide redress for the harm caused to, victims of crime while reducing offending. To ensure the right approach is adopted the Department is currently consulting on the development of an adult restorative justice strategy for Northern Ireland (through to mid September). The Department would welcome responses to the consultation from interested parties, including groups and fora that support and represent victims and survivors of domestic violence and abuse. Any future work to develop restorative responses will be undertaken in partnership with key stakeholders, including victims.

	States that a review of the process and	Victim Personal Statements can be made by
	proceedings to ensure that victim	victims for consideration by the judge. A leaflet
	impact statements are used effectively	issues to advise people of this facility. Support in
	•	
	is required.	completing a statement can be provided by Victim
		Support NI and NPSCC.
Bar of NI	Takes the view that provision in clause	The maximum penalty for the domestic abuse
	14(b) that the maximum penalty for a	offence is aligned with other serious offences
	case tried on indictment of 14 years'	involving serious violence or rape. There is a
	imprisonment or a fine or both is	significant difference between the domestic abuse
	particularly high. The Serious Crime Act	offence and the offence under Section 76 of the
	2015 specifies a term not exceeding five	Serious Crime Act 2015 in that locally the offence
	years. In cases involving serious abuse, it	will include both non-physical abuse as well as
	seems highly likely that conduct will be	physical and sexual violence. In England and
	capable of being prosecuted as a discrete	Wales the scope of their offence is limited to a
	offence of violence or a sexual offence	"fear, on at least two occasions that violence will be
	under existing legislation. Many of these	used". Their offence does not capture physical or
	carry very significant sentences and it is	sexual violence, which is reflected in the maximum
	there that the gravity will be reflected.	five year sentence. The maximum sentence of 14
	Whilst the Bar appreciates that the nature	years locally is considered to be appropriate, given
	of the penalties is intended to reflect the	the overarching nature of the offence, and is in line
	cumulative nature of the offence over	with other serious offences that may include
	time, it is difficult to envisage a course of	violence.
	behaviour amounting to abuse which	
	would not include violent or sexual	The Department would concur that in cases
	offences and yet might warrant a 14-year	involving serious abuse, the conduct may be
	prison sentence. It is also hard to	prosecuted as a discrete offence of violence or a
	envisage the PPS deciding not to	sexual offence under existing legislation (and could
	prosecute an allegation of rape or serious	be aggravated because it involves domestic
	violence as a discrete offence. However,	abuse). However, were the domestic abuse
	the Bar notes that Part Two of the Bill	offence to be used, covering both physical and non-
	goes on to provide that any such offence	physical abuse, it is important that the maximum
		sentence available reflects this.

could be aggrevated because it also	
could be aggravated because it also	
involves domestic abuse.	
	The Department considers that there would be
The Bar questions why the Department	nothing to prevent the court doing this, or the Public
did not consider including a provision	Prosecution Service putting this forward, as part of
to enable the court to consider making	the case – particularly where an alternative offence
a restraining order when the court	of harassment would be imposed - without it being
considers it appropriate akin to Section	5
5 and Section 5A of the Protection from	
Harassment Act 1997.	Articles 7 and 7A of the Protection from
	Harassment (Northern Ireland) Order 1997 (the
	local equivalent of Sections 5 and 5A of the
	Protection from Harassment Act 1997) provide that
	"a court when sentencing or otherwise dealing with
	a person convicted of an offence may make an
	order [that is a restraining order] under this article
	[that is article 7]. The linkage to specified offences
	under Articles 4 and 6 of the 1997 Order was
	removed in 2009, providing greater scope as to
	when a restraining order could be imposed and not
	limiting the provision to when a harassment offence
	or offence of putting a person in fear of violence
	was imposed by the court. As a result the court
	already has the power to make a restraining order
	on conviction for any offence (which would include
	the new domestic abuse offence) and not just on
	conviction for an offence under the 1997 Act, where
	the necessary conditions are met that there is
	conduct that amounts to harassment or will cause a
	fear of violence.

Ulster University – Dr McGinn and Dr Lagdon	States that judicial penalties should not make allowances for rehabilitation efforts and research supports that the likelihood that perpetrators of domestic violence will change is low. Argues that the new order should expressly state that engagement with therapy or behaviour change programmes is not permitted as grounds for leniency in either family or criminal courts.	 It is the judge alone who decides on the individual sentence given. They are guided by a number of considerations: the maximum sentence they can give; whether the defendant pleaded guilty or not; the level of sentences in similar cases in the past, that is 'case law'; any available sentencing guidance; any mitigating or aggravating factors; the circumstances set out in background reports; any Victim Impact Report, which is prepared by an expert, for example a psychologist; and any Victim Impact Statement made by the victim of the crime. The sentence granted will ultimately depend on the nature of the offence and the particular circumstances of the case.
	Also argues that judicial penalties should be designed primarily for the purpose of specific and general deterrence and incapacitation to visit further abuse upon their victims and custodial sentences are more often the correct answer in the prosecution of domestic violence perpetrators. Responding to domestic abuse with the seriousness it deserves will also project a clear message at a societal level that such	Fairness requires sentencing to respect the rights of victims, offenders and their families. There are a number of purposes of sentencing including some form of penalty or loss to the offender, representing retribution for society; protection of the public; deterring further offending; changing an offender's behaviour to prevent future offending and reduce crime; and acknowledging the harm caused. Sentences may also aim to provide a restorative outcome.

abusive behaviour is not and should not be tolerated.	More generally a key focus of the work of the Department is in the field of Problem Solving Justice, a new approach aimed at tackling the root causes of offending behaviour and reducing harmful behaviour.
	The penalties associated with the Bill are intended to reflect the seriousness of domestic abuse, with a maximum penalty of up to 14 years, taking account of the particular circumstances of the case.

CLAUSE 15 – AGGRAVATION AS TO DOMESTIC ABUSE

EFM

Clause 15 provides that any offence (other than the domestic abuse offence) may be aggravated because it involves domestic abuse. The aggravation could be specified alongside charges for all sorts of offences, for example criminal damage, assault, grievous bodily harm, threats to damage property or threats to kill, etc.

Subsections (3) and (4) require that, where the charge as well as the aggravation is proved, the court must state on conviction that it is aggravated and take the aggravation into account when determining the sentence, as a factor which increases the seriousness of the offence. The court is also required to state how the aggravation has affected the sentence and record the conviction in a manner which shows that the offence was aggravated by reason of involving domestic abuse.

 Subsection (5) makes it clear that if the aggravation is not proved, but the charge is proved, conviction is as if the aggravation were not referred to alongside the charge.

 Organisation
 Comments/Issues relating to Clause 15
 Department of Justice Comments/ Position

Organisation	Comments/1354C5 relating to Olduse 15	Department of Dustice Comments, 1 Osition
Women's Aid Federation	Recommends including repeat	The domestic abuse offence is a course of
	offences to take into account those	behaviour offence, which will allow a pattern of
	serial perpetrators of domestic	abusive behaviour to be criminalised. If a number
	violence and abuse offences on not	of offences were brought forward at the same time
	just one woman but several different	by different individuals these would be grouped
	women.	together for the purpose of charging. If the reports are brought forward at different times they would be treated individually. As part of the sentencing it will be for the judge to take account of the particular circumstances of the case.
		The offending history of the defendant would be an aggravating factor in determining an appropriate
		sentence in the case. Any relevant previous
		convictions are taken into account by the court

Has concerns regarding how this evidence would be gathered in relation to children and young people and the evidence of the impact and how that evidence could be challenged in court – Women's Aid does not wish any victim of domestic violence and abuse to be challenged in court and subject to revictimisation and is therefore looking for assurances that this would be completed in a child centred way with formal protocols in place with regard to gathering of evidence. More detailed measures need to be put in place in relation to this process.	 when sentencing, with repeat offending therefore recognised in the sentence. This is one of the most common aggravating or mitigating factors the court will always consider. The prosecutor is required to advise the court of relevant record. There is no further evidence of that previous offending required. The involvement of a child in terms of the giving of evidence should only be utilised where considered necessary. The Victim Charter (for which the police and Public Prosecution Service are service providers) states that in providing services under the Charter, where the victim is a child or young person, the best interests of the child or young person will be a primary consideration and will be assessed on an individual basis. It also states that a child sensitive approach will be adopted, taking due account of their age, maturity, views, needs and concerns. The Charter states that a child or young person under 18 will be presumed to have specific protection needs and should receive the highest level of support and protection as they move through the criminal justice system. Under the Charter young people are entitled to automatically be considered as eligible for special measures by the police and Victim and
---	--

		 Witness Care Unit as part of their needs assessment; have their statement audio-video recorded by the police, where this is appropriate; have access to a victim support service provider and be provided with a Young Witness Pack by that service provider; practice using the live link TV facility before the trial, when they are to use this to give evidence, where possible; have the Victim and Witness Care Unit take appropriate steps to help them get the support they need as a result of the needs assessment process; and speak to someone specially trained to listen to them and help then get over the crime, at any time during the investigation (and trial). This could be therapy or counselling. When giving evidence a person under 18 is automatically eligible for special measures (including video recorded police statement and giving evidence by live link. This would also include pre-recorded cross examination ahead of trial when introduced (likely for sexual offences for under 13s in the first instance)).
Men's Advisory Project	Supports the inclusion of a generic aggravator across all aspects of the law. States that it is important that this	Provision is made in the Bill, in relation to both the domestic abuse offence and aggravated offences (that is any other offence that involves domestic
	aggravator is recorded throughout the entire process from initial police report to resolution in court to enable the use	abuse) that a victim will automatically be eligible for consideration of special measures. Final decisions

	of special measures for those giving evidence and offer mechanisms of support and protection to those facing abuse.	on the granting of special measures are a matter for the judiciary.
	In cases where a generic aggravator is sought and either accepted or rejected at sentencing stage there should be an obligation on the trial judge to specify whether the aggravator is being applied and for them to provide reasons for their decision-making. This information should be collated to ensure a better understanding of the wider pattern of abuse, to ensure that the courts use the aggravator when it is available and to address any inequality men face as victims of domestic abuse.	 Where a general aggravator applies a decision will have to be taken as to whether or not the offence is aggravated as part of the conviction process. Where an offence (including the domestic abuse offence) is aggravated the Bill requires the court to state on conviction that the offence is aggravated, record it in a way that shows that it is aggravated, treat the fact that the offence is aggravated as a factor that increases the seriousness of the offence and, in imposing sentence, explain how the fact that the offence is so aggravated affects the sentence imposed. Data will be collected in relation to the domestic abuse offence and aggravators. Operationally it would be difficult, and likely prohibitively expensive, to record why the domestic abuse offence was not proven. This is an issue that would be dealt with as part of the case
Victim Support NI	Also supports the inclusion of a generic aggravator within the law and	The aggravator would be applied from police through to court stage.
	recommends that, for the purposes of monitoring and better understanding the prevalence of domestic abuse- motivated crime in NI, an obligation	Where a general aggravator applies a decision will have to be taken as to whether or not the offence is aggravated as part of the conviction process.

	should be created for all legal practitioners to record the domestic abuse aggravator throughout the investigative, pre-trial and trial process. In cases where a generic aggravator is sought and either accepted or rejected at sentencing stage, there should be an obligation on the trial judge to specify whether the aggravator is being applied and give reasons for their decision, including written published reasons in cases where it is decided that this aggravator be removed.	Where an offence (including the domestic abuse offence) is aggravated the Bill requires the court to state on conviction that the offence is aggravated, record it in a way that shows that it is aggravated, treat the fact that the offence is aggravated as a factor that increases the seriousness of the offence and, in imposing sentence, explain how the fact that the offence is so aggravated affects the sentence imposed. Data will be collected in relation to the domestic abuse offence and aggravators. Operationally it would be difficult, and likely prohibitively expensive, to record why an aggravator is removed. This is an issue that would be dealt with as part of the case.
Bar of NI	The Bar considers that it is sufficient for the court to state on conviction that the offence was aggravated, record the conviction in a way that shows that the offence was aggravated and take the aggravation into account in determining the appropriate sentence under 15(4)(a), (b) and (c). It is of the view that the requirement under 15(4)(d) to indicate precisely how the offence affected the sentence is not necessary as it could disturb the judiciary's carefully weighted	The Department considered carefully the obligation that should be placed on the judiciary, taking into account the independence of the judiciary and the need not to interfere with this. It is for this reason that the provisions require the judiciary to simply explain how the fact that the offence is so aggravated affected the sentence imposed. This will not affect judicial discretion and it will be for the judiciary to determine what is appropriate to be provided, as well as the sentence awarded, given the particular circumstances of the case.

assessment as to the starting point of a sentence in any case involving domestic abuse as an aggravating factor. It will also remain important for the sentencing judge to be able to have the flexibility and discretion to depart from any guidelines based on the circumstances of an individual case and where there are justifiable reasons
for doing so.

CLAUSE 16 – WHAT AMOUNTS TO THE AGGRAVATION

EFM

Clause 16 sets out the conditions required for the domestic abuse aggravator to apply. This requires that a reasonable person would consider that the offence would be likely to cause the accused's partner or a connected person to suffer physical or psychological harm (including fear, alarm and distress). A further condition is that the accused either intended the offence to cause their partner/connected person to suffer physical or psychological harm, or was reckless as to whether or not this would be caused.

Subsection (3) provides that the offence itself does not have to have been committed against the accused's partner/connected person, rather it can be against a third party with the purpose of abusing their partner or a connected person. Also harm does not have to have been caused to the partner/connected person as a result of the offence, rather that a reasonable person would consider that harm would be likely to be caused. As such, the aggravation could be in effect where, for example, the accused commits criminal damage against the friend of their partner, or a connected person, with the intent of causing psychological harm to their partner or a connected person. Subsection (4) ensures that evidence of actual harm remains relevant in the case.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 16	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Bar of NI	The Bar's comments on the reasonable person test, the definition of psychological harm and recklessness are also relevant to this section of the Bill.	These issues have been covered earlier in the document under the relevant clauses.
	In addition, the Bar notes that 16(3) again gives a very broad scope to this clause by providing that the offence itself does not have to have been committed against the accused's partner/connected person as it can be committed against a third party with the purpose of abusing their partner or a connected person. Also notes the example provided in the explanatory memorandum that the aggravation could	The offence and associated aggravation is to ensure that justice cannot be evaded where a person makes use of another person to abuse an individual, for example through the use of children or another person to abuse them, or where abusive behaviour is directed toward another person in order to subject the individual to abuse. It is considered important that the offence can capture both direct and indirect abusive behaviour where the intention is to subject the individual to abusive

be in effect where an accused commits criminal damage against the friend of their partner, or a connected person, with the intent of causing psychological harm to their partner or a connected person. Beyond this the Bar is generally unclear as to the specific scenarios which it is envisaged would fall within this and requests further clarity on the necessity of 16(3) being included within the Bill.	behaviour. The absence of this provision would mean that a person would be able to continue to subject someone to abusive behaviour where they ensured that this was not carried out directly against the individual.
More broadly, for the purposes of Chapter 2 and indeed the earlier sections of the Bill the Bar considers that it might be helpful for the legislation to include a clear definition of domestic abuse. The Bar understands that the 'Stopping Domestic and Sexual Violence and Abuse Strategy' published jointly by the Department of Justice and the Department of Health in 2016 provides a non-statutory definition of Domestic Abuse as "threatening, controlling coercive behaviour, violence or abuse (psychological, virtual, physical, verbal, sexual, financial or emotional) inflicted on anyone (irrespective of age, ethnicity, religion, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation or any form	The Department considered, in conjunction with core statutory and voluntary sector partners, whether to include a statutory definition of domestic abuse in the Bill ahead of it being finalised. Following this it was agreed that given the detail set out in the Bill, in relation to what constitutes abusive behaviour (and therefore domestic abuse), that a standalone definition was unnecessary. Furthermore, to provide for a definition in the Bill would not materially change the provisions or serve a legislative purpose, given that any such provision would be likely to simply state domestic abuse means abusive behaviour as set out in Clause 2. In addition, as part of the operationalisation of the offence, and the development of the statutory guidance (which will be published), consideration will be given to the content of the guidance. This will include examples of what is deemed to abusive behaviour (and therefore domestic abuse) under

of disability) by a current	ar formor the provisions of the Pill. The guidenes will be
intimate partner or family	
	Group involving our key statutory and voluntary
This would give further c	ertainty and sector partners, as is the case with all major
clarity to the circumstance	ces in which policies taken forward by the Department in this
this legislation can be ap	propriately area, through which a range of views can be
used. The draft Domestic	Abuse Bill reflected.
currently being considered	ed at
Westminster provides a s	statutory In terms of Section 1(3) of the Westminster
definition of domestic ab	use at section Domestic Abuse Bill the local Domestic Abuse Bill
1(3) which is helpful as it	outlines that also sets out what is deemed to be abusive
behaviour is abusive if it	consists of behaviour (through Clause 2), covering similar
any of the following: (a) p	bhysical or areas albeit using more generic provisions. It is
sexual abuse; (b) violent	or threatening considered that the local Bill will cover the same
behaviour; (c) controlling	g or coercive aspects, albeit the terminology is not the same.
behaviour; (d) economic	abuse; (e)
psychological, emotional	or other
abuse.	

CLAUSE 17 – EXCEPTION REGARDING THE AGGRAVATION

EFM

Clause 17 provides that an offence cannot be aggravated if the partner/connected person is under 18 and the accused has parental responsibility for them. As set out at clause 11, it is considered that there are other provisions that deal with, and should more appropriately be used for, direct abuse of a child or young person by their parent or other carer.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 17	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Men's Advisory Project	Highlights the necessity for the Bill to ensure that children are recognised and protected as victims of domestic abuse where there is abuse within their family.	The Department gave careful consideration to the scope of the domestic abuse offence in order to ensure that children could be captured within it, in their own right, where they are in a relationship or are a family member (except where parental responsibility applies, in order to prevent criminalisation of this as a domestic abuse matter) and that aggravation related to a child could be reflected while preventing criminalisation of parental responsibility. Having considered the matter further, and taking account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an

NIHRC	Highlights that Article 3(1) UN CRC requires that the best interests of the child are a primary consideration and states that the Department should be satisfied that in providing for this exception that the best interests of the child are a primary consideration and that it contains sufficient safeguards to ensure that this exception cannot be misused in cases of family breakdown and disputes around child contact, where the child	offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying. No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation and our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16, except where parental responsibility applies, while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence does not apply to family members. See above. When determining an application for contact with a child, the court's paramount consideration is the child's welfare and in considering the child's best interests, the court is required to consider any risk of harm to the child.
	may be used as a weapon in such cases.	
Victim Support NI	In line with comments on Clause 11, recommends that child legislation is examined to ensure that there is legal parity for child victims of domestic abuse whose abuser is someone with parental responsibility for them and if	See above.

	auch neutrining as not evict this should be	
	such parity does not exist this should be	
	addressed by legislative provisions.	
Children's Law Centre	CLC is challenged as to the rationale and justification for this clause and strongly advocates for its removal from the Bill.	The Department gave careful consideration to the scope of the domestic abuse offence in order to ensure that children could be captured within it, in their own right, where they are in a relationship or are a family member (except where parental
	See comments under clause 11.	responsibility applies, in order to prevent criminalisation of this as a domestic abuse matter) and that aggravation related to a child could be reflected while preventing criminalisation of parental responsibility.
		Having considered the matter further, and taking account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying.
		No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation and our provisions in relation to the offence and children

NICCY	Highlights its concerns regarding the	 go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16, except where parental responsibility applies, while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence does not apply to family members. Where there are issues regarding safeguarding and child protection these would be addressed through other means, offences and sanctions. The Department has considered the Bill and is content that it is Human Rights Compliant. The Department gave careful consideration to the
	exceptions set out in clauses 11 and 17 relating to where a person has parental	scope of the domestic abuse offence in order to ensure that children could be captured within it, in
	responsibility for a child affected by the offences within the Bill and requests	their own right, where they are in a relationship or
	that consideration is given to whether	are a family member (except where parental responsibility applies, in order to prevent
	there is a necessity for such exclusions.	criminalisation of this as a domestic abuse matter) and that aggravation related to a child could be
	See comments under clause 11.	reflected while preventing criminalisation of parental responsibility.
		Having considered the matter further, and taking account of the concerns expressed, the Department is having discussions with colleagues in the Department of Health around a possible amendment to child protection provisions (contained in health legislation but amendment of
		which could be brought forward through the Domestic Abuse Bill subject to agreement) to

make it explicit that where a child is ill treated, that this would also include non-physical abuse. Such provision would make clear that it would be an offence whether the suffering or injury caused to a child was physical or psychological in nature, for example isolation, humiliation or bullying.
No other jurisdiction locally provides for criminalisation in relation to parental responsibility under domestic abuse legislation and our provisions in relation to the offence and children go further than other jurisdictions already provide for. In England and Wales the coercive control offence is available for victims under the age of 16, except where parental responsibility applies, while in Scotland and the Republic of Ireland the offence does not apply to family members.
Where there are issues regarding safeguarding and child protection these would be addressed through other means, offences and sanctions.

CLAUSE 18 – MEANING OF A PERSONAL CONNECTION

EFM

This clause defines what two people are personally connected for the purposes of Chapter 2. Subsection (2) provides that two people are personally connected if they are, or have been, married or in a civil partnership or they live together (or have lived together) as if spouses of each other. Two people are also personally connected if they are or have been in an intimate personal relationship with each other or are family members. The term "intimate personal relationship" is intended to cover relationships between two individuals (including young/teenage and same-sex relationships), although the relationship need not be sexual or long-term.

Subsection (3) sets out that a family member covers a person's parent, grandparent, child, grandchild or sibling. A family member also covers the parent, grandparent, child, grandchild or sibling of the person that they are in a relevant relationship with. Subsection (4) defines that two people are in a relevant relationship if they are married or are in a civil partnership, or they live together as if spouses. Subsection (5) makes provision for the inclusion of half-blood relationships, relationships by affinity and stepchildren when considering family membership.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 18	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Bar of Northern Ireland	The Bar notes that this clause brings a very wide range of personal connections within the scope of the Bill which goes beyond partners or ex-partners.	Locally the scope of the domestic abuse offence in wider than Scotland given that we cover both partners/formers partners etc. as well as family members. This is to reflect the scope in the cross Departmental seven year domestic and sexual
	It appears that much of the Bill is based almost entirely on the Scottish model under the Domestic Abuse (Scotland) Act 2018 except for this clause which instead adopts section 76 of the Serious Crime Act 2015 in England and Wales and the associated list of relatives contained within section 63(1) of the Family Law Act 1996. In effect this	violence and abuse strategy. To do otherwise would be contrary to the position adopted in that Executive strategy. This also reflects the current police position whereby family members are deemed to include mother, father, brother, sister, son, daughter, grandparents, in-laws or stepfamily.

Bill takes what constitutes abusive behaviour under the Scottish legislation and the low level of psychological harm required for an offence restricted only to partners and ex-partners and merges it with the wide ambit of the English legislation for a whole range of family members. While the Bar can understand the rationale behind the inclusion of this on the basis of a desire to ultimately offer protection to a wide range of family members alongside the recognition that family dynamics are often diverse.	In considering the scope of family members the Department was keen to ensure that the range was comprehensive but not so broad, covering all possible family members, that it would negatively and adversely impact upon what society and the courts consider to be domestic abuse, in the context of committing an offence and the seriousness associated with this. To include virtually any extended family member could negatively impact of what is considered to be domestic abuse, given the very serious charges that may be associated with this. The Bill does not replicate section 76 of the Serious Crime Act, which would encapsulate a much wider range of family members including generally further removed family members such as cousins.
However, in terms of the practical operation of this clause there is a risk that a very broad spectrum of scenarios involving family disagreements could be unintentionally criminalised given that the Bill is not restricted to partners and ex-partners. The Bar queries whether the criminal law is the most appropriate way in which to deal with these extended family relationships and if this could be better addressed in other ways, such as through public education.	The offence is not intended to criminalise normal friction that may occur within a relationship or family. The crux of the offence is that there has been criminally abusive behaviour which meets the conditions set out in the Bill, which operates on the basis of a number of checks and balances. The behaviour must in the first instance occur on two or more occasions, be considered abusive (with a range of effects set out), would be considered by a reasonable person to be such, would be likely to cause the person to suffer physical or psychological harm and the offender intends to cause harm or is reckless as to this. All

to	uggests consideration could be given o whether the offence should instead e defined more tightly to include	of these conditions have to occur for the offence to be carried out.
pa	artners, ex-partners and being ggravated where offending involves	See comments above in relation to the scope of the offence.
cl	hildren (as per clauses 8 and 9).	

There were no issues raised in relation to Clause 19

CLAUSE 20 – HOW NOTICE IS TO BE SERVED

EFM

This clause repeats the provision at clause 7 for the purpose of the aggravator. This provides for the service of notices where a relationship is challenged under clause 19. It sets out the process to be applied and the meaning of key terms and their application.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 20	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Women's Aid Federation	Takes issue in relation to Clause 20(C) with	We understand that the concern around the
	sending the notice by post to the person	service of notices relates to current provisions
	at the person's proper address. This has	relating to protection orders etc. and notification of
	been a major issue for many years and	this to victims.
	is not secure and safe for the victim and	
	survivor to know if the notice has been	The provisions in the Bill around the service of
	served. How is this proved as service?	new notices related to those circumstances where
		the personal relationship between two individuals
		is being challenged and for the service of notices,
		primarily between legal representatives. It is
		considered that this standard form of service
		should not prove problematic in this regard.

CLAUSE 21 – NO RIGHT TO CLAIM BY JURY

EFM

This clause amends Article 29(1) of the Magistrates' Courts (Northern Ireland) Order 1981, the effect of which is to prohibit those accused of the domestic abuse offence before a magistrates' court from the right to elect for trial by jury at Crown Court. This currently covers offences such as intimidation, making or possessing petrol bombs, possession of offensive weapon with intent to commit an offence as well as unnecessary suffering and fighting in relation to the welfare of animals.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 21	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
NIHRC	The Commission advises that, in line with	The purpose of this clause is to ensure that the
	Article 6 ECHR and Article 14 UN ICCPR,	criminal justice system is not use to further
	clause 21 should only be utilised in	abuse individuals through electing for trial at
	exceptional circumstances and	Crown Court (which will be even more arduous
	recommends that it is amended to reflect	for victims over magistrates' court), given the
	this by referencing necessity and	abusive nature of the underpinning offence,
	proportionality, with the legitimate aim of	where both court tiers may otherwise be
	protecting the victim, as guiding	available. This type of provision currently applies
	principles for when this clause can be	for a range of other offences such as
	utilised.	intimidation, making or possessing petrol bombs,
		possession of offensive weapon with intent to
		commit an offence as well as unnecessary
		suffering and fighting in relation to the welfare of
		animals. This does not prevent a case being tried
		at Crown Court where it is considered that the
		offence is serious enough to be tried on
		indictment.
Evangelical Alliance	Requests clarification of the rationale	The purpose of this clause is to ensure that the
	behind this clause and questions if it is	criminal justice system is not use to further
	because prosecutions for domestic	abuse individuals through electing for trial at
		Crown Court (which will be even more arduous

violones are difficult to achieve with a	for visting over peristrates' court) diver the
violence are difficult to achieve with a	for victims over magistrates' court), given the
jury.	abusive nature of the underpinning offence,
	where both court tiers may otherwise be
While wanting to advocate strongly for	available. This type of provision currently applies
measures that would help to increase	for a range of other offences such as
conviction rates for crimes involving	intimidation, making or possessing petrol bombs,
domestic violence, states that it seems	possession of offensive weapon with intent to
unusual not to have the option of a jury trial	commit an offence as well as unnecessary
in what remains a serious criminal charge	suffering and fighting in relation to the welfare of
with a huge social stigma, with the only other	animals.
example they can think of is the use of	
Diplock courts in Northern Ireland in relation	This does not prevent a case being tried at
to terrorism which has been controversial in	Crown Court where it is considered that the
terms of human rights since their	offence is serious enough to be tried on
introduction. While wanting to protect the	indictment.
victim from the ordeal of trial as much as	
possible and increase conviction rates	
for these crimes maintaining both actual	
and perceived access to justice and	
fairness is important for everyone in	
wider society.	

CLAUSE 22 – SPECIAL MEASURES DIRECTIONS

EFM

This clause amends Part 2 of the Criminal Evidence (Northern Ireland) Order 1999.

Subsection (2) amends Article 5(4) (witnesses eligible for assistance on grounds of fear or distress about testifying) of the 1999 Order to ensure that the complainant of a domestic abuse offence, as well as any other offence (for example, criminal damage, assault, grievous bodily harm, threats to damage property or threats to kill, etc.) where there is a specification that it is aggravated by reason of involving domestic abuse, is eligible for assistance, such as the use of live links or screens at court, in relation to those proceedings, unless they have informed the court that they do not wish to be eligible for such assistance. Subsection (3) amends Article 13(4)(a) of the 1999 Order (evidence given in private) to ensure that where proceedings relate to a domestic abuse offence, or any other offence where there is a specification that it is aggravated by reason of involving domestic abuse, a special measures direction may provide for the exclusion of persons from court when the witness is giving evidence. This clause is tied to Clause 24 which sets out the meaning of an offence involving domestic abuse.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 22	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Women's Aid Federation	Welcomes the provision to provide automatic	In terms of criminal courts the granting of special
	eligibility for special measures in domestic	measures is not assured until the point that a
	violence and abuse cases in the criminal	judge decides that they are to be granted (there
	cases.	may of course separately be operational issue on
		the day). While the new provisions provide that
	Highlights that this problem is most acute	a victim of domestic abuse will automatically be
	within the family court where access to	eligible for consideration for special measures,
	special measures is so poor that	final decisions will continue to remain with the
	survivors are being attacked, abused,	judiciary.
	harassed and left too frightened to	
	effectively advocate for the ongoing	In terms of special measures at family court
	safety of their child. States that there	some special measures can be directed by a
	needs to be a guarantee of special	court hearing family proceedings on a case-by-
	measures for victims and survivors of	case basis, such as the screening of witnesses

	domestic violence and abuse in the family courts – failure to ensure parity in the court systems will leave survivors at continued risk of harm and an inconsistent approach to safety between the courts. There are many examples where special measures have been assured but on the day of court they are unavailable.	in court or an intermediary to facilitate communication, while court rules include provision for the giving of evidence by video link. However, the Department is considering amending the Bill to require court rules to enable a court hearing family proceedings to make a special measures direction in relation to a party or witness who is a victim of domestic abuse and requiring a court to assume their vulnerability, so
		that the court will be required to consider whether it is necessary to make a direction. The Department is also considering an amendment to the Bill to require court rules to enable a court hearing civil proceedings to make a special measures direction in relation to a witness who is a victim of certain offences (which would be specified in secondary legislation) where the court is satisfied that their vulnerability is likely to diminish the quality of their evidence or otherwise affect their participation in the proceedings.
		As the making of court rules is a matter for the statutory rules committees chaired by the judiciary, the Minister has written to the Lord Chief Justice to seek his views.
Women's Regional Consortium	The Consortium states that victims must be made aware that they can avail of special measures when going to court and this information should be delivered consistently by an agency of the criminal	It is envisaged that the new provisions, which provide that a victim of domestic abuse will automatically be eligible for consideration for special measures, should reduce this occurring. Work will also be undertaken with criminal justice

	justice system rather than women hearing about special measures for the first time from Women's Aid. The Consortium also states that it is not just about providing information but also ensuring that the special measures are actually put in place when requested by a witness as many women arrive at court to find that the special measures they were promised have not been made available.	agencies to ensure that there is increased awareness of this. In terms of criminal courts the granting of special measures is not assured until the point that a judge decides that they are to be granted (there may of course separately be operational issues on the day). While the new provisions provide that a victim of domestic abuse will automatically be eligible for consideration for special measures, final decisions will continue to remain with the judiciary.
Men's Advisory Project	MAP is of the view that complainants under this law should be eligible for special measures as a matter of course and this should also apply in cases where domestic abuse is an aggravator for a generic offence.	Provision is made in the Bill, in relation to both the domestic abuse offence and aggravated offences (that is any other offence that involves domestic abuse) that a victim will automatically be eligible for consideration of special measures. Final decisions on the granting of special measures are a matter for the judiciary.
	MAP also recommends that special measures are introduced in all family and civil cases where someone has been alleged to be a victim of domestic abuse. However, the wish to protect victims should not prevent an accused from being able to mount a robust defence and there must be equality of arms within the court with regard to legal representation and anything a complainant relies upon	In terms of special measures at family court some special measures can be directed by a court hearing family proceedings on a case-by- case basis, such as the screening of witnesses in court or an intermediary to facilitate communication, while court rules include provision for the giving of evidence by video link. However, the Department is considering amending the Bill to require court rules to enable a court hearing family proceedings to make a special measures direction in relation to a party

	in court may be used in cross examination to ascertain clarity or facts.	 or witness who is a victim of domestic abuse and requiring a court to assume their vulnerability, so that the court will be required to consider whether it is necessary to make a direction. The Department is also considering an amendment to the Bill to require court rules to enable a court hearing civil proceedings to make a special measures direction in relation to a witness who is a victim of certain offences (which would be specified in secondary legislation) where the court is satisfied that their vulnerability is likely to diminish the quality of their evidence or otherwise affect their participation in the proceedings. As the making of court rules is a matter for the statutory rules committees chaired by the
Victim Support NI	Victim Support also strongly recommends that special measures are introduced in all family and civil cases where someone has been shown to be a victim of domestic abuse and the other party to proceedings is their abuser. Is of the view that the issue of extending special measures to civil and family courts invokes the same arguments, the same safety concerns and the same logic as ending cross-examination of domestic abuse	judiciary, the Minister has written to the Lord Chief Justice to seek his views. See above.

	victims and that it is in the public interest for these issues to be dealt with together in the interests of economic efficiency and victim wellbeing. Recommends that an amendment to provide for this is made to the Bill.	
NICCY	In relation to special measures directions, NICCY has called for the Barnahus approach, which was established in Iceland, to support child victims of sexual offences and operates in a wide number of countries, to be implemented in Northern Ireland for children who are subject to sexual abuse. NICCY notes that once established this could be extended to support child victims and witnesses of domestic abuse as occurs in a number of other jurisdictions. Barnahus seeks to ensure a child centred response to victims of abuse by providing child protection, medical, therapeutic and justice processes within a single child friendly location which supports children to give their best quality evidence while minimising the need for multiple interviews and mitigating against delays in procedures.	The Department of Justice has completed an initial scoping study of the Barnahus (Children's House) model. The Department will also establish a Working Group by August 2020, in partnership with other criminal justice organisations and the voluntary sector, to consider how we can work together to deliver similar benefits to child victims of sexual abuse as those delivered through the Barnahus model with the aim of preventing re-traumatisation or re-victimisation of the child during their journey through the criminal justice system.
NIHRC	NIHRC welcomes the provision that victims will automatically be eligible for consideration of special measures when giving evidence as provided for in clause 22.	The use of special measures will be considered as part of the needs assessment process for victims and witnesses going through the criminal justice system, taking account of their individual circumstances. This is intended to ensure that their needs are identified and appropriate steps

The Commission recommends that the	taken to respond to these (with decisions on the
principle of reasonable accommodation	granting of special measures taken by the judge)
is inserted into clause 22 and	
implemented in consultation with the	Article 5(3) of the Criminal Evidence (Northern
individual victim, when determining wha	t Ireland) Order 1999 also provides that in relation
special measures are appropriate in each	h to the use of special measures, and determining
case.	whether a witness is eligible, that the court must
	consider any views expressed by the witness
	(including victim).

There were no issues raised in relation to Clauses 23 and 24

CLAUSE 25 – GUIDANCE ABOUT DOMESTIC ABUSE

EFM

This clause provides that the Department of Justice in Northern Ireland may issue guidance about Part 1 of the Bill (that is the domestic abuse offence and aggravation) or any other matters as to criminal law or procedure relating to domestic abuse in Northern Ireland, to whoever it considers appropriate. The Department may also revise guidance and must publish the guidance or any revisions to it. A person exercising public functions to whom the guidance relates must have regard to it in the exercise of those functions.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 25	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
Women's Aid Federation	All these organisations have requested	As part of the operationalisation of the offence,
Men's Advisory Project	clarity in relation to this guidance and	and the development of the statutory guidance
Women's Policy Group	assurances that both voluntary sector	(which will be published), consideration will be
Women's Regional	organisations and statutory partners will	given to its content and will include examples of
Consortium NI	be involved in the drafting of the	abusive behaviour. This will be considered by a
NIWEP	guidance.	multi-agency Task and Finish Group involving
		our key statutory and voluntary sector partners,
		as is the case with all major policies taken
		forward by the Department in this area, through
		which a range of views can be reflected.
NICCOSA	Would welcome the opportunity to	See above.
	consider any rules or guidance issued	
	before the legislation is enacted.	
PSNI	Highlights that from an operational	See above. PSNI will be critical to the
	perspective the PSNI will rely on	development of the guidance, including input
	accompanying statutory guidance.	from officers on the ground.
	Guidelines will greatly assist the PSNI if they	
	provide clarity in the definition and examples	
	of offences, which will be the foundation	
	used to shape officer training which in turn	

	will be pivotal for successful enforcement of	
	the legislation.	
Victim Support NI	Recommends that statutory guidance will	See above.
	be necessary to underpin and address	
	the added complexities and intentions of	
	the legislation. Such guidance would also	
	serve as a particularly useful training	
	tool. It is important that such guidance is	
	drafted with input from expert domestic	
	abuse practitioners.	
NIACRO	Statutory guidance that sets forth types	See above.
	of evidence that investigators and	
	prosecutors can use to prove domestic	The Public Prosecution Service will also develop
	abuse has occurred will be needed as	guidance for prosecutors.
	coercion and emotional abuse are	
	historically difficult to prosecute when	
	reduced to "he said/she said" stalemate	
	arguments.	
The Bar of NI	States that it will be necessary for the	See above.
	Department to publish guidance on the	
	law and procedure relating to domestic	The Department also recognises the importance
	abuse and notes that further guidance	of training and awareness raising, including
	and training will be important for a wide	making use of the guidance. A multi-agency
	range of criminal justice professionals in	Task and Finish Group will consider how best
	order to ensure an effective justice	this can be progressed. This will include both
	system response following the reporting	statutory as well as voluntary and community
	of an offence.	sector partners.

CLAUSE 26 – PROHIBITION OF CROSS-EXAMINATION IN PERSON

EFM

Clause 26 inserts the following new Articles into the Family Law (Northern Ireland) Order 1993 to prohibit perpetrators of abuse from cross-examining their victims in person in certain circumstances in family proceedings, and vice versa, and give courts discretion to prohibit cross-examination in person in other circumstances where it would affect the quality of the witness's evidence or cause them significant distress. It also imposes a duty on courts to appoint a qualified legal representative to conduct cross-examination on a party's behalf, where that party is prohibited from cross-examining in person, there is no satisfactory alternative means available for the witness to be cross-examined or the evidence to be obtained, and it appears that no other qualified legal representative is to act for the person.

New Article 11A defines the meaning of 'family proceedings' and 'witness' for the purpose of the inserted Articles, and provides that the Department of Justice may by regulations alter the former definition.

New Article 11B provides that, in family proceedings, no party to the proceedings who has been convicted of, or given a caution for, or is charged with, a specified offence may cross-examine in person the (alleged) victim of that offence. It also provides that the (alleged) victim may not cross-examine in person the (alleged) perpetrator. The prohibition will not apply to spent convictions, unless evidence in relation to the conviction is admissible in, or may be required in, the proceedings under Article 8(2), (3) or (4) of the Rehabilitation of Offenders (Northern Ireland) Order 1978. If cross-examination takes place in breach of this automatic prohibition, the validity of a decision of the court is not affected if the court was not aware of the conviction, caution or charge when the cross-examination took place.

New Article 11B(5) defines the meaning of 'caution' and 'conviction' and provides that a 'specified offence' is one specified in regulations made by the Department of Justice. New Article 11B(6) makes clear that the prohibition applies even where a conviction has been discharged (either absolutely or conditionally). New Article 11B(7) defines 'offence' and "provision" for the purposes of the Article.

New Article 11C provides that, in family proceedings, no party to the proceedings against whom an 'on-notice protective injunction' is in force may cross-examine in person a witness who is protected by the injunction. It also provides that a party who is protected by such an injunction may not cross-examine in person a witness against whom the injunction is in force. If cross-

examination takes place in breach of this provision, the validity of a decision of the court is not affected if the court was not aware of the protective injunction when the cross-examination took place.

New Article 11C(4) and (5) provide that a 'protective injunction' is one specified in regulations made by the Department of Justice; and that a protective injunction is 'on-notice' in one of two instances: if the court is satisfied that there has been a hearing at which the person against whom the injunction is in force has had a chance to ask for it to be set aside or varied; or if the injunction was made at a hearing and the court is satisfied that both the person protected by it and against whom it is in force, had been informed about the hearing.

New Article 11D provides that, in family proceedings, where specified evidence is adduced that a party to the proceedings has been abusive towards a witness to whom that party is personally connected, that party may not cross-examine the witness in person. It also provides that, where specified evidence is adduced that a witness has been abusive towards a party to the proceedings, to whom the witness is personally connected, that party may not cross-examine the witness in person.

New Article 11D(3) and (4) provides that 'specified evidence' is evidence specified, or of a description specified, in regulations by the Department of Justice. New Article 11D(5) provides that the meaning of 'abusive behaviour' and 'personal connection', provided for in clauses 2, 4 and 5 of this Bill, applies to this Article.

New Article 11E provides that, in family proceedings, in addition to the absolute prohibition on cross-examination in person under new Articles 11B–11D, a court has discretion to prohibit cross-examination in person by giving a direction to that effect. Such a direction can be given if it appears to the court that either the 'quality condition' or the 'significant distress condition' is met and it would not be contrary to the interests of justice to give the direction. The 'quality condition' is met if the quality of evidence given by the witness is likely to be diminished if the cross-examination is conducted by the party in person and is likely to be improved if a direction is given. The 'significant distress condition' is met if cross-examination in person is likely to cause significant distress to the witness or the party, and that distress is likely to be more significant than would be the case if the witness were crossexamined by a person other than the party. A direction under this provision can be made on an application by a party to the proceedings or of the court's own motion.

New Article 11E(5) sets out factors that the court must consider when deciding whether or not the 'quality condition' or 'significant distress condition' is met. This covers views expressed by the witness or the party; the nature of the questions likely to be asked; any finding of fact that has been made about the party's or witness's behaviour; how the party or witness is acting; and any relationship between the witness and the party. The list is not exhaustive.

New Article 11E(6) and (7) define what is meant by the quality of a witness's evidence.

New Article 11F provides more detail in relation to directions made under new Article 11E. This covers how long a direction may last and the circumstances in which a court may revoke a direction. The court is required to state its reasons for giving, refusing, revoking, or refusing to revoke a direction.

New Article 11G provides for alternatives to cross-examination in person where a party to family proceedings is prohibited from doing so. First, the court must consider if there is a satisfactory alternative means for the witness to be cross-examined, or of obtaining evidence that the witness might have given under cross-examination. If not, the court must invite the party to arrange, within a specified time, for a qualified legal representative to cross-examine the witness on the party's behalf, and to notify the court of the arrangements. If the party does not make such an arrangement within the specified time or the court has not received any notification of such an arrangement, the court must consider if it necessary in the interests of justice, to appoint a qualified legal representative to cross-examine the witness of the party. A qualified legal representative appointed by the court is not responsible to the party other than acting in the interests of the party in accordance with the provision. New Article 11G(8) explains what is meant by 'cross-examination' and 'qualified legal representative'.

New Article 11H requires the Department of Justice to pay the fees, costs and expenses properly incurred by a qualified legal representative appointed under new Article 11G, and that the Department may specify in regulations the sums or rates payable.

New Article 11I provides that the Department of Justice may issue, and subsequently revise, guidance about the role of a qualified legal representative appointed under new Article 11G. It also requires the qualified legal representative to have regard to such guidance and for the Department to publish the guidance and any revisions to it.

New Article 11J provides that regulations under new Articles 11A–11I are subject to negative resolution other than regulations under new Article 11A(2) to amend the definition of 'family proceedings', a draft of which must be laid before and approved by the Assembly.

Organisation	Comments/Issues relating to Clause 26	Department of Justice Comments/ Position
NIHRC	The Commission welcomes Clause 26. It	The Department together with partners have been
	highlights that some recommendations	working to develop a phased implementation plan
	from the Gillen Review may also be	to give effect to the 253 recommendations
	relevant to court proceedings in domestic	contained in Sir John Gillen's Review into the law
	abuse cases and continues to call on	and procedures in serious sexual offences in

	the Department to progress implementation of the Gillen Report Recommendations. The Commission also recommends that the Department considers facilitating, where necessary, pre- recorded cross-examination outside court settings for vulnerable individuals and includes provision for this within clause 26.	Northern Ireland. The Implementation Plan was published on 9 July 2020 and is available at <u>www.justice-ni.gov.uk/publications/gillen-review- implementation-plan</u> . This plan sets out a range of areas where work is being taking forward to address the concerns raised in Sir John's Review. Work has commenced in relation to establishing Remote Evidence Centres in Northern Ireland on a phased basis. Inclusion of appropriate live link facilities that can accommodate the introduction of pre-recorded cross examination is being considered as part of this work. Legislative provision is already in place for both pre-recorded cross examination and evidence by live link in the Criminal Evidence (Northern Ireland) Order 1999. Work is underway in relation to how best pre-recorded cross examination can be piloted, likely to involve sexual offence cases for those under the age of 13 in the
Women's Aid Federation	Delighted that the Bill includes a ban on cross-examination however the prohibition will only apply where there is a criminal conviction or court order in place and, in other cases, will rely on judicial discretion. Given the current low reporting to police of domestic violence and abuse this is concerning and something that needs to be considered. Recommends that prohibition should be extended to direct cross-	first instance. The absolute prohibition on cross-examination in person will not only apply where there is a criminal

	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
examination in any family proceedings	conviction or court order in place but also where
in which allegations of domestic	there is other evidence of domestic abuse, to be
violence or abuse are being	specified in regulations made by the Department
determined, or where either party has	(New Article 11D to be inserted in the 1993 Order
admitted or found to have perpetrated	refers). It is anticipated that the types of evidence to
domestic violence and abuse to assure	be specified would need to be sufficiently objective
safety in relation to cross examination.	and robust to justify an absolute bar, whilst at the
	same time protecting as many victims as possible.
Notes that the prohibition will not apply	The Department intends to consult on the other
to spent convictions. The rehabilitation	types of evidence of domestic abuse which should
period (the amount of time that must pass	lead to an absolute prohibition before making
between conviction/disposal before an	regulations under this provision.
offence becomes spent) depends on the	
method of disposal. If a defendant gets	The provision in the Bill is intended to be consistent
probation (which is often the case) then	with existing provision in the Rehabilitation of
the rehabilitation period can be as little as	Offenders (Northern Ireland) Order 1978 in relation
1 year which is concerning.	to when evidence of spent convictions can be
	placed before a court. Under the 1978 Order, spent
In relation to 11D of the insert to the	convictions are admissible in proceedings in
Family Law (Northern Ireland) Order 1993	relation to children, including an application for a
it prohibits cross examination between	residence or contact order under the Children
persons involved in abusive behaviour.	(Northern Ireland) Order 1995. Where an absolute
What this means is unclear as it states	prohibition does not apply, the court has a
that the "specified evidence" adduced	discretionary power to prohibit cross-examination in
to prove the behaviour will be set out	person.
in regulations made by the Department	F
of Justice. Cross-examination should	The types of evidence of domestic abuse (in
be prohibited in cases where there is a	addition to a relevant conviction, charge, caution or
domestic violence history whether	protective injunction), which will lead to an absolute
reported to the authorities or not and	prohibition, will be specified in regulations. The
Women's Aid wants to know what the	regulations will not be drafted until the Bill becomes
	legislation.
	····

	Regulations will be and whether they have been drafted.	The Department intends to consult on the types of evidence which should lead to an absolute prohibition before making any regulations under this power. However, the types of evidence that might be specified include, for example, a letter from a health professional or from an organisation providing support services to victims of domestic abuse.
Men's Advisory Project	Supports the proposed changes. Understands that Regulations will be brought forward regarding 'specified evidence' and states that should not be based on situations where men are currently discriminated against or inappropriately represented or supported e.g. evidence of abuse via the presence of a MARAC case – as MAP are not funded to represent men at MARAC often men who face significant abuse do not have an opportunity to avail of this service. MAP also highlights that some victims of domestic abuse are knowingly wrongly accused of abuse by the complainant and this can cause them to feel overwhelmed due to the unfairness of a system which is further abusing them. In this case legal representation should be provided to ensure that there is parity of arms and in the interests of justice.	As noted above, it is intended that the types of evidence to be specified in regulations, which would trigger an automatic prohibition on cross- examination in person, will be consulted on before any regulations are made. The Department recognises that the protection of victims needs to be balanced with the need to ensure fairness in the proceedings for all parties. It is anticipated that the types of evidence specified in regulations would need to be sufficiently objective and robust to justify an absolute bar, whilst at the same time protecting as many victims as possible.

Belfast Area DSVP NIPSA ICTU	All these organisations welcome the prohibiting of cross examination by the alleged perpetrator and recognise that many perpetrators try to use family and criminal proceedings to further abuse their victims – often dragging out divorce proceedings, child contact etc. They want to see the prohibition extended to direct cross-examination in any family proceeding in which allegations of domestic abuse are being determined or where domestic abuse has been admitted and/or found.	The provision in relation to the prohibition of cross- examination in person in clause 26 will apply in all family proceedings. An automatic prohibition on cross-examination in person will apply where a party has been convicted of, given a caution for, or is charged with a relevant offence, or has had a relevant protective injunction made against them. It will also apply where there is other evidence of domestic abuse, to be specified in regulations. The other types of evidence which will lead to an automatic prohibition will be specified in regulations and the Department intends to consult before making any regulations under this power. In cases where an automatic prohibition does not apply, the court will have a discretionary power to prohibit cross-examination in person. Taken together this provision will ensure that as many victims as possible are protected from being cross-examined by perpetrators in person.
Women's Policy Group NI	Welcomes this provision to ban cross examination of victims by unrepresented perpetrators in court. States that this practice has allowed the continued control	

and abuse of victims, diminished their ability to give evidence and retraumatise them for too long. Is concerned that this ban will only apply where there is a criminal conviction or court order in place and will be subject to the judge's discretion. Suggests that this is when training across the whole CJS, including judges, is necessary to ensure that they are acutely aware of how domestic abuse presents and ho perpetrators use court systems to the advantage and calls for the extension of the ban on cross examination to an proceedings in family court where allegations of domestic abuse are being considered or where the party has been found to have perpetrated of admitted to perpetrating domestic abuse.	The automatic prohibition on cross-examination in person will apply more widely than where there is a relevant conviction, caution, charge or on-notice protective injunction. It will also apply where there is other evidence of domestic abuse, which types of evidence will be specified in regulations to be made by the Department.
Also notes that a person convicted, cautioned or charged with an offence may not cross-examine victims in person. Is deeply concerned that this will not apply to spent convictions considering the reoffending patterns perpetrators of domestic abuse, and the rate of under-reporting associated with this crime.	 (Northern Ireland) Order 1978 in relation to when evidence of spent convictions can be placed before a court. Under the 1978 Order, spent convictions are admissible in proceedings in relation to children, including an application for a residence or

This Bill prohibits cross-examination in	
person of complainants in proceedings	
involving domestic abuse in the criminal	
court when the person is charged with th	e Clause 26 does provide for an automatic prohibition
offence as per clause 23 but the same	on cross-examination in person where a party has
automatic bar is not afforded to the	been charged with (or convicted of, or cautioned
family court. The criteria used in the	for) a relevant offence – see new Article 11B to be
family court is the same evidence	inserted in the Family Law (Northern Ireland) Order
required for legal aid in England and	1993.
Wales which is very restrictive. The B	
believes that this defeats the purpose	
that the legislation sets out to achieve	
by not appreciating that many acts of	domestic abuse to be specified in regulations made
domestic abuse, violence and coerciv	5
control are not reported to the PSNI	consult on the types of evidence of domestic abuse
and are not prosecuted through the	that should trigger the automatic prohibition before
criminal courts for many reasons. In	making any regulations.
the family court when children are	making any regulations.
involved, there is the principle of no	The Department considers that the protection of
delay and therefore many family cases	
proceed to hearing before the outcom	
of criminal proceedings therefore the	It is anticipated that the types of evidence to be
victim in both proceedings	specified in regulations need to be sufficiently
automatically gets protection from	objective and robust to justify an absolute bar,
cross-examination in person in the	whilst at the same time protecting as many victims
criminal court but has to make an	as possible.
application to the family judge to	
exercise their discretion in the family	The court's discretion to prohibit cross-examination
court if no criminal proceedings have	in person will apply in cases where an absolute
taken place. The Bar fails to see the	prohibition does not apply.
rationale for the difference in treatmer	
between the courts when the purpose	

of this part of the Bill is to protect Article 6 rights to a fair hearing of both complainant and defendant as well as ensuring the victim is not subjected to further abuse.	
The Bar believes that there should be an automatic bar once an allegation of domestic abuse has been made in all family proceedings.	
Recommends that consideration is given to a statutory scheme of special measures for vulnerable witnesses to support them in giving evidence in the family courts which does not presently exist unlike in the criminal courts. Judges and legal practitioners are already trying to address this as much as possible by improvising with the facilities already available in the family courts. Whilst the content of the Bill around the prohibition of cross- examination is welcome, it is unfortunate that it does not include proposals for special measures in the family courts. This is a matter which the Bar raised in response to the consultation exercise in September 2019 but it has not been addressed to date.	The Department is considering an amendment to the Bill to make provision requiring court rules to enable a court to make a special measures direction in family proceedings in relation to a party or witness who is a victim of domestic abuse and to assume their vulnerability, so that the court will be required to consider whether it is necessary to make a special measures direction. As noted at clause 22 above, the Minister has written to the Lord Chief Justice to seek his views.

Antiple 440. The Devictor that it is Now Antiple 440(4) to be incompared in the 40	
Article 11C – The Bar states that it is New Article 11C(4), to be inserted in the 199	13
unclear as to what an 'on-notice Order, provides that the types of protective	h (h (d) a a
protective injunction' means and if it injunction which will trigger an automatic pro	
means an inter partes non-molestation will be specified in regulations. These would	
order or county court injunction then a non-molestation order or a protection from	
this should be clarified. Agrees that harassment order, provided the party who is	•
Article 11C will be an important provision to the order was given notice of the applicat	ion and
given that it will ensure that, in family had the opportunity to contest it.	
proceedings, no party to the proceedings	
against whom an 'on-notice protective New Article 11C(5) to be inserted in the 199	
injunction' is in force may cross-examine defines what is meant by an "on-notice" pro	
in person a witness who is protected by injunction. This is where the court is satisfie	
the injunction. Indicates that it is also there has been a hearing at which the perso	
important that it provides that a party against whom the injunction was made has	had a
who is protected by such an injunction chance to ask for it to be set aside or varied	; or if
may not cross-examine in person a the injunction was made at a hearing and the	e court
witness against whom the injunction is is satisfied that both the person protected by	/ it and
in force. Notes that Article 11C(4) and (5) against whom it is in force had been informed	d about
provide that a 'protective injunction' will be the hearing.	
one specified in regulations made by the	
Department of Justice and would New Article 11C(2) to be inserted in the 199	3 Order
welcome consideration being given to already provides that a party to the proceed	ings,
the bar to cross-examination in person who is protected by an on-notice protective	_
being extended to those who have injunction, may not cross-examine in persor	ı a
obtained an ex-parte non-molestation witness against whom the injunction is in for	ce.
order and are about to contest the inter Where an application for a non-molestation	
partes application. being contested (whether or not this follows	the
making of an ex parte order), one of the oth	
grounds for an automatic prohibition on cros	
examination in person may apply. Alternativ	
party may apply to the court for a direction t	-

	prevent cross-examination in person or the court may make such a direction of its own motion.
Article 11D – The Bar notes that it will be necessary for the Department to describe 'specified evidence' in further detail in Regulations.	The Department intends to consult before making any regulations under this power.
Article 11G - Welcomes Article 11G which gives the court the ability to consider whether it is necessary in the interests of justice for the witness to be cross- examined by a qualified legal representative appointed by the court to represent the interests of the party, if there is no other satisfactory alternative. However, would highlight that the Bill does not fully recognise the marked increase in the number of personal litigants in the family courts and the impact that of this. There has been a growing concern amongst family barristers for some time that some litigants have chosen to act as personal litigants because they have realised that they can exploit their Article 6 rights within the court system and continue to act in a controlling and manipulative manner against their former partner whilst representing themselves. These litigants fail to	Northern Ireland Courts and Tribunals Service has produced guidance to assist personal litigants in court. It is for the court hearing the proceedings to address any unacceptable behaviour by a personal litigant. As is the case in criminal proceedings, the role of a legal representative appointed by the court is limited to carrying out cross-examination on behalf of a person prohibited from doing so in person. The provisions are intended to prevent an abuser from using cross-examination to perpetuate the abuse.

	with a secondable standards of	The Development for the factor of the second states
	with acceptable standards of ur which damages the family	The Department intends to issue guidance on the role of a legal representative appointed by the court
justice s	system and often has a	and will engage with the legal profession when
	int impact on the other parties	drafting the guidance.
-	oceedings. We would query	5 5
•	it might be difficult for a legal	A legal representative appointed to carry out cross-
	ntative to be instructed solely	examination is not acting for the party as a privately
•	uct the cross-examination in	instructed lawyer, rather is appointed by the court
	and therefore they may need	and will be responsible to the party only for cross-
	volved throughout the case,	examining the witness and not more generally as in
	ng on the particular	a normal lawyer-client relationship.
circums		
More bro	adly, in the interests of justice	The provisions are intended to prevent an abuser
	ration should be given not only	from using cross-examination (when they are
	-examination which forms part	challenging the case against them rather than
	ill but also examination-in-	presenting their own case) to perpetuate the abuse.
chief, fo	r example, where an allegedly	While, the Department understands that it is
abusive	party calls the child of the	relatively rare for a child to give evidence as a
	ship to give evidence in their	witness of fact in family proceedings, there are
favour.		other ways in which a court can protect a
		vulnerable witness.
Article 12	II - It will be essential that the	New Article 11I to be inserted in the 1993 Order,
Departm	ent issues more detailed	provides for the Department to issue guidance on
guidanc	e for legal representatives	the role of a court-appointed legal representative,
appointe	ed under Article 11G in	which they must have regard to. The Department
connect	ion with the role that they	will engage with the legal profession when drafting
should p	play in family proceedings as	the guidance.
per Artic	cle 11I.	-

There were no issues raised in relation to Clauses 27 and 28