

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

Report on Invest NI: A Performance Review

Together with the Minutes of Proceedings of the Committee Relating to the Report
and the Minutes of Evidence

Ordered by The Public Accounts Committee to be printed 24 April 2013
Report: NIA 109/11-15 (Public Accounts Committee)

REPORT EMBARGOED
Embargoed until 00:01am on
Wednesday, 22 May 2013

Powers and Membership

Powers

The Public Accounts Committee is a Standing Committee established in accordance with Standing Orders under Section 60(3) of the Northern Ireland Act 1998. It is the statutory function of the Public Accounts Committee to consider the accounts, and reports on accounts laid before the Assembly.

The Public Accounts Committee is appointed under Assembly Standing Order No. 56 of the Standing Orders for the Northern Ireland Assembly. It has the power to send for persons, papers and records and to report from time to time. Neither the Chairperson nor Deputy Chairperson of the Committee shall be a member of the same political party as the Minister of Finance and Personnel or of any junior minister appointed to the Department of Finance and Personnel.

The Committee has 11 members including a Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson and a quorum of 5.

The membership of the Committee since 23 May 2011 has been as follows:

- Ms Michaela Boyle³ (Chairperson)
- Mr John Dallat (Deputy Chairperson)
- Mr Trevor Clarke⁷
- Mr Michael Copeland
- Mr Sammy Douglas⁸
- Mr Paul Girvan
- Mr Ross Hussey
- Mr Chris Hazzard
- Mr Dathí McKay⁶
- Mr Adrian McQuillan¹
- Mr Seán Rogers⁵

1 With effect from 24 October 2011 Mr Adrian McQuillan replaced Mr Paul Frew

2 With effect from 23 January 2012 Mr Conor Murphy replaced Ms Jennifer McCann

3 With effect from 2 July 2012 Ms Michaela Boyle replaced Mr Paul Maskey

4 With effect from 1 July 2012 Mr Conor Murphy resigned from the Public Accounts Committee

5 With effect from 10 September 2012 Mr Seán Rogers replaced Mr Joe Byrne

6 With effect from 11 September 2012 Mr Dathí McKay was appointed to the Public Accounts Committee

7 With effect from 1 October 2012 Mr Trevor Clarke replaced Mr Alex Easton

8 With effect from 1 February 2013 Mr Sammy Douglas replaced Mr Sydney Anderson

9 With effect from 15 April 2013 Mr Chris Hazzard replaced Mr Mitchel McLaughlin

Table of Contents

List of abbreviations used in the Report	iv
Report	
Executive Summary	1
Summary of Recommendations	3
Introduction	5
Performance Against Targets	7
Job Quality, Retention and Sustainability	10
Addressing Disadvantage and Social Mobility	13
Performance Validation and Benchmarking	15
Appendix 1:	
Minutes of Proceedings	19
Appendix 2:	
Minutes of Evidence	31
Appendix 3:	
Correspondence	63
Appendix 4:	
List of Witnesses	83

List of abbreviations used in the Report

the Committee	Public Accounts Committee
C&AG	Comptroller and Auditor General
DCA	District Council Area
DEL	Department for Employment and Learning
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
IDB	Industrial Development Board
NISRA	Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency
PfG	Programme for Government
R&D	Research and Development
SFA	Selective Financial Assistance

Executive Summary

Introduction

1. Invest NI was established in April 2002 as Northern Ireland's main economic development organisation. Between April 2002 and March 2011, Invest NI spent almost £1.5 billion, and it estimates that this has resulted in the promotion of 42,600 new jobs, safeguarding of 19,400 jobs and planned investment of £5.5 billion in the local economy.
2. Invest NI is a key influencer in developing the local economy, which is also the top priority of the Programme for Government (PfG). However, it is also the case that Invest NI operates in a challenging and competitive environment, and that measuring its performance is complex, due to the range of differing targets and indicators reported.
3. As well as measuring the extent to which these targets are achieved, the Committee is aware that a number of other factors must be considered to fully gauge the strength of Invest NI's performance. Most significantly:
 - Invest NI's performance for jobs, salaries and investment has, to date, been reported on the basis of initial promises made by investors at the start of a project. Invest NI has only recently established systems which will facilitate measurement of actual outcomes in the future;
 - *Additionality* (that is, paying the minimum assistance necessary to ensure that an investment project proceeds) and *deadweight* (that is, where a project would have occurred anyway without assistance) are key challenges for economic development organisations;
 - The extent to which new jobs created by Invest NI's supported companies are counter-balanced by jobs lost, as well as the quality and duration of jobs secured are key value for money considerations; and
 - The degree to which Invest NI's programmes are helping reduce the historic productivity gap between Northern Ireland and the rest of the United Kingdom.

Overall Conclusions

4. In its 2008-11 Corporate Plan period, Invest NI achieved all nine of its key performance indicators, which represented an improvement on previous performance against its targets. Performance was particularly strong in the areas of job quality and encouraging businesses to spend on Research and Development (R&D). The signs are that this improvement is being sustained in the current Corporate Plan period (2011-2015). This is encouraging, particularly as it has been achieved against the background of a severe economic downturn. However, before the Committee could give a more fulsome endorsement of Invest NI's performance, long standing issues around target-setting and a lack of independent validation of performance data must be resolved.
5. The Committee was surprised that a key 2008-11 Programme for Government target to halve the productivity gap between Northern Ireland and the rest of the UK by 2015 was discontinued in the current Programme (2011-2015), seemingly because it was not on course for achievement. This is a measure which helps provide strong evidence that the work of Invest NI is having a meaningful impact on improving the local economy.
6. In 2000, the Westminster PAC recommended that IDB (Invest NI's predecessor) reported figures for job creation and duration as standard. Although IDB implemented this recommendation, it was not sustained within Invest NI, which reported on jobs "promoted" instead (that is, those promised by the investor at the outset of a project). Performance reporting for job sustainability has been limited and fragmented. The Committee considers

- the fundamental test of Invest NI's performance to be actual jobs on the ground, as well as how long these are sustained. While the Committee welcomes Invest NI's assurance that it has recently implemented systems which will assist future tracking of jobs created, it will be some years yet before meaningful data becomes available. The Committee considers this progress to be very belated.
7. Since its establishment, some of Invest NI's targets have been set at lower levels than previous performance achieved, and some have been significantly over-achieved. While the Committee welcomes positive outcomes, these can be under-mined if the perception is that targets are "soft".
 8. The Committee heard evidence from Invest NI on the beneficial impact which lower Corporation Tax would have on the Northern Ireland economy. The Committee endorses Invest NI's view, and would urge the Executive to continue its efforts aimed at devolving Corporation Tax powers to the Assembly.
 9. The Committee welcomes the fact that Invest NI established formal job quality targets in the 2008-11 period. Furthermore, performance in this area improved significantly in this period, with 75% of jobs promoted having salaries above the Northern Ireland private sector average, compared to earlier performance of only 50%. However, performance reporting in this area to date has been based on investors' promises rather than actual outcomes.
 10. In the future, Northern Ireland's entitlement to 100% assisted area status could be withdrawn by the Westminster government, and the EU could also remove special Regional Aid status. These developments would curtail significantly Invest NI's ability to offer assistance, particularly to larger companies. The Department and Invest NI have known for some time now that there may be changes on these fronts. The Committee is therefore concerned that there is little tangible evidence that they have been active in developing alternative strategies for promoting economic development.
 11. From 2008-11, Invest NI comfortably over-achieved its target to encourage 70% of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) projects to locate within 10 miles of a disadvantaged area (actual performance was 92%). However, the Committee considers this to be a weak target, particularly as it provides no measurement of how many people living in disadvantaged areas gain employment in supported projects.
 12. The completeness and accuracy of Invest NI's performance data is fundamental to demonstrating the value and impact of its activities. In response to the Barnett Review's conclusion that no organisation should have primary responsibility for reporting on itself, the Department assumed responsibility for reporting Invest NI's performance in 2011-12. However, the Committee has seen little evidence of meaningful independent validation of Invest NI's performance data. This is a key weakness.
 13. The Committee is a firm advocate of benchmarking, and considers this to be a key tool for driving improved performance. However, Invest NI has never undertaken a comprehensive or meaningful benchmarking exercise with other economic development agencies. Whilst somewhat belated, the Committee welcomes Invest NI's plans to commence ongoing benchmarking of its efficiency and effectiveness in the near future.

Summary of Recommendations

Recommendation 1

Now that Invest NI has developed systems for measuring outcomes, the Committee recommends that formal targets are established for job creation, sustainability, job quality and funds invested. Performance should be reported annually from 2014-15 on the basis of actual outcomes.

Recommendation 2

The Committee recommends that Invest NI introduce a formal mid-term review process for its Corporate Plan targets, which would allow for these to be uplifted in circumstances where these have been achieved or substantially met at an early stage in the Corporate Plan period.

Recommendation 3

The Committee recommends that DETI should set a fair but challenging target which measures Invest NI's contribution to improving local productivity. The Committee is also strongly of the view that a target for closing the productivity gap with the rest of the UK needs to be re-introduced into the next Programme for Government.

Recommendation 4

The Committee recommends that Invest NI works collaboratively with the Department and DEL to identify the growth sectors of the future which need to be targeted to help strengthen the local economy, and to make available appropriate training to skill the Northern Ireland workforce in these areas.

Recommendation 5

The Committee recommends that Invest NI measures and reports key quantitative outcomes achieved through the operations of its "virtual" small business unit, including jobs created and increases in sales and exports. This will help the Department and Invest NI assess whether current arrangements are meeting the needs of the sector, or whether a dedicated small business unit as envisaged by the Barnett report would better serve this purpose.

Recommendation 6

There is a real prospect of Northern Ireland losing both its 100% assisted area status in the future and its EU special Regional Aid status post 2013, and therefore being unable to offer assistance to large companies. In light of this, the Committee recommends that the Department and Invest NI develop clear alternative strategies and measures for promoting economic development in Northern Ireland as a matter of urgency.

Recommendation 7

The Committee considers that Invest NI's current target for promoting inward investment in disadvantaged areas needs to be strengthened significantly. Notwithstanding difficulties in obtaining data, the Committee recommends that Invest NI sets a target which measures the number of people living in disadvantaged areas who obtain employment in assisted projects and reports performance on this basis.

Recommendation 8

The Committee recommends that Invest NI consider redressing the geographic imbalance in financial assistance offers made to investing companies. Invest NI should develop a dispersal strategy, working closely with stakeholders including local councils and the Planning Service to improve their propositions and infrastructures for potential investing companies.

Recommendation 9

The Committee recommends that the Department commissions independent validation of Invest NI's performance data on an annual basis in order to provide assurance as to its completeness and accuracy.

Introduction

14. The Public Accounts Committee (the Committee) met on 13 February 2013 to consider the Comptroller and Auditor General's report '*Invest NI: a performance review*'. The main witnesses were:
- Mr David Sterling, Accounting Officer, Department of Enterprise, Trade and Development;
 - Mr Alastair Hamilton, Chief Executive, Invest NI;
 - Mr Mel Chittock, Head of Finance and Operations, Invest NI;
 - Mr Kieran Donnelly, Comptroller and Auditor General; and
 - Ms Fiona Hamill, Treasury Officer of Accounts.
15. Invest NI was established in April 2002 as Northern Ireland's main economic development organisation. Between April 2002 and March 2011, Invest NI spent almost £1.5 billion on its various programmes to promote economic development. This significant spend highlights just how important it is for Invest NI to demonstrate strong value for money outcomes from its assistance programmes. Invest NI estimates that its work has resulted in the promotion of 42,600 new jobs, safeguarding of at least 19,400 jobs and planned investment of £5.5 billion in the local economy.
16. Invest NI is a key influencer in developing the local economy, which is also the top priority of the Programme for Government (PfG). However, the environment in which it operates is challenging and competitive. Measuring its performance is far from straightforward due to the range of differing targets and indicators reported. To date, Invest NI has measured its achievements through a framework of targets over three Corporate Plan periods (April 2002 - March 2005, April 2005 - March 2008 and April 2008 - March 2011).
17. As well as measuring the extent to which these targets are achieved, other important factors must be considered to reach an overall conclusion on the strength of Invest NI's performance. For example, targets need to be stretching and challenging, and actual outcomes should also be measured. Steps must also be taken to ensure that levels of *additionality* (that is, paying the minimum assistance necessary to ensure that an investment project proceeds) are high and that *deadweight* (that is, where a project would have occurred anyway without assistance) is minimised. Other key indicators include the extent to which new jobs created by Invest NI's supported companies are negated by jobs lost, as well as the quality and duration of jobs secured. Ultimately, the Committee considers one of the strongest measures of the impact of Invest NI's programmes to be the extent to which these help reduce the historic productivity gap between Northern Ireland and the rest of the United Kingdom.
18. The Westminster Public Accounts Committee reported on the performance of the Industrial Development Board (IDB) (Invest NI's predecessor) in securing inward investment into Northern Ireland in May 2000¹, and arrived at some important conclusions and recommendations, which included:
- a substantial number of jobs promised were not actually created, and a significant proportion of those created were of limited duration. As IDB had to carry out a special exercise to calculate levels of job creation and duration, PAC recommended that performance in these areas be reported as standard in future; and
 - when assessing the impact of its inward investment programme on disadvantaged areas, it was not enough to record only the location of projects. Instead, the acid test was to measure the extent to which jobs were going to people who actually lived in these areas.

1 Eighteenth Report – The Industrial Development Board for Northern Ireland: Inward Investment (HC 66) 25 May 2000.

19. The Comptroller and Auditor General's report assessed Invest NI's performance against its targets from its establishment in 2002 to March 2011, as well as considering wider aspects of performance in areas including job creation, quality and sustainability; support for Research and Development (R&D); and the promotion of economic development in disadvantaged areas. The C&AG also benchmarked Invest NI's performance with other economic development agencies up to 2008 and reviewed Invest NI's change management programme.

20. In taking evidence, the Committee explored four broad themes:
 - performance against targets;
 - job quality, retention and sustainability;
 - addressing disadvantage and social mobility; and
 - performance validation and benchmarking.

Performance against targets

Invest NI's performance has improved since 2008

21. In its 2008-11 Corporate Plan period, Invest NI achieved all nine of its key performance indicators. Performance was particularly good in respect of job quality (5,636 jobs with salaries above the Northern Ireland private sector average were promoted against a target of 5,500) and R&D (£327 million of business expenditure on R&D was secured compared to the target of £120 million). The Committee welcomes this performance, which has been achieved against the background of a severe economic downturn. This is a considerable improvement on the 2002-08 period, when a significant proportion of targets were not met. Evidence provided to the Committee suggests that a strong performance is being sustained in the latest Corporate Plan period (2011-15), particularly in respect of job quality, R&D investment by businesses, and in processing times for assistance applications.

Performance is still not measured on the basis of actual outcomes

22. Most of Invest NI's key performance indicators have been reported on the basis of promises by investors or anticipated results at the start of projects, rather than actual outcomes achieved. This has been the case for jobs, investment secured and job quality. In addition, there has only been informal and fragmented performance measurement on job sustainability. This is a matter of concern to the Committee.
23. In 2000, the Public Accounts Committee in Westminster examined the performance of IDB (Invest NI's predecessor) in promoting inward investment in Northern Ireland. The Westminster Committee concluded that a significant proportion of jobs promised at the outset of projects were not actually created, and recommended that performance for jobs created be reported as standard as future. Although this recommendation was implemented by IDB, it was not sustained by Invest NI after its establishment. Instead, Invest NI has reported on the basis of jobs "promoted" (those promised by the investor at the outset of a project). The Committee was unconvinced by the Department's argument that Invest NI's focus on productivity and wealth creation was the reason behind the lack of performance reporting and formal targets for jobs created. The Committee consider the fundamental test of Invest NI's performance to be the delivery of sustainable jobs on the ground.
24. The Department accepted that it should be doing more to measure job creation and report on this important outcome. The Committee was told that Invest NI had implemented systems recently which will allow better measurement of job creation. However, the lag effect associated with economic development projects means that it will take several years before meaningful job creation data becomes available. The Committee considers it unacceptable that it has taken Invest NI over a decade to establish systems to measure actual outcomes. In the Committee's view, this important element of performance measurement should have been given a much greater priority before now, especially given that Invest NI spent almost £520 million on Selective Financial Assistance grants between 2002 and 2011.
25. The systems being introduced should eventually bring much greater clarity on how many jobs "promoted" are actually created. Analysis in the C&AG's reported suggested a 75% conversion rate, but also concluded that this is likely to be lower owing to some jobs not having been sustained. Evidence provided to the Committee indicated that of 2,400 jobs "promoted" under Invest NI's Jobs Fund, 1,021 had been created (that is a 42.5% conversion rate). This reflects the fact that this programme is still in its early stages. The Committee expects Invest NI to report back on progress and hopes to see the conversion rate increase as time goes on.

Recommendation 1

Now that Invest NI has developed systems for measuring outcomes, the Committee recommends that formal targets are established for job creation, sustainability, job quality and funds invested. Performance should be reported annually from 2014-15 on the basis of actual outcomes.

Ongoing scrutiny is required to ensure that targets are stretching and challenging

26. Achieving targets can only be regarded as a successful outcome if they are set at appropriately challenging levels. A number of Invest NI's early targets were over-achieved significantly, and in some instances, subsequent targets were set at lower levels than previous performance. In Invest NI's 2008-11 Corporate Plan period, the target for 6,500 new "promoted" inward investment jobs, was 25% lower than the 8,692 jobs "promoted" between 2005 and 2008. Whilst this target was set against a difficult economic background, the Committee is unconvinced that it was sufficiently challenging.
27. The Committee was told of challenge processes, involving review by the Department and the Office of the First Minister and Deputy First Minister, which aims to ensure that Invest NI's targets are stretching. As a result of this process, the Committee was informed that the proposed target for "promoted" jobs in the current Programme for Government (2011-15) was uplifted from 21,000 to 25,000. However, given the examples highlighted by the C&AG of insufficiently challenging targets which were ultimately adopted, the Committee is not convinced that this challenge process has been applied consistently. Invest NI told the Committee that there are currently no mid-term reviews which would permit Invest NI's Corporate Plan targets to be uplifted should these have been achieved, or be on course for achievement at an early stage.

Recommendation 2

The Committee recommends that Invest NI introduce a formal mid-term review process for its Corporate Plan targets, which would allow for these to be uplifted in circumstances where these have been achieved or substantially met at an early stage in the Corporate Plan period.

There are currently no targets to measure Invest NI's performance in narrowing the productivity gap between Northern Ireland and the rest of the UK

28. The Committee was told that an important goal from the 2008-11 Programme for Government of halving the productivity gap between Northern Ireland and the rest of the UK was not carried forward into the current PfG period. The Department explained that in view of the contraction of the local economy in recent years by around 11.4% (compared to 2.9% for the UK), a decision had been taken to re-direct the focus which was applied previously to improving productivity in favour of replacing the jobs which had been lost in the local economy.
29. In the Committee's view, the extent to which productivity levels are improved is one of the strongest indicators that the work of Invest NI is having a meaningful impact on improving the local economy. The Committee accepts that Invest NI cannot be held solely responsible for closing the productivity gap with the rest of the UK, but given the significant budget allocated to it, it is a key influencer in this regard. Despite all the welcome individual successes from Invest NI's work which were highlighted to the Committee, it is of great concern that the local economy has contracted by such a margin. Furthermore, the Committee does not accept the principle that a target should be abandoned because it is not on course for achievement.

Recommendation 3

The Committee recommends that DETI should set a fair but challenging target which measures Invest NI's contribution to improving local productivity. The Committee is also strongly of the view that a target for closing the productivity gap with the rest of the UK needs to be re-introduced into the next Programme for Government.

Invest NI said the ability to vary Corporation Tax levels would boost the Northern Ireland economy

30. The Committee heard evidence from Invest NI that if the Assembly were able to lower Corporation Tax levels, this would assist significantly in bringing more jobs and higher quality investment projects to Northern Ireland. Invest NI told the Committee that the vast majority of projects that it attracts to Northern Ireland are "cost centre" projects, that is, they are not influenced by tax levels. Invest NI also acknowledged that currently, it is unable to compete for higher quality "profit centre" projects because it does not have the necessary Corporation Tax proposition for these. Invest NI informed the Committee that lowering Corporation Tax could help secure an additional 7,000 jobs annually for Northern Ireland from foreign investors.
31. The Committee endorses Invest NI's view that the local Assembly having the power to lower Corporation Tax rates would be beneficial to the economy and urges the Executive to continue pursuing this matter with the UK Treasury.

Invest NI needs to learn lessons from past investments offers for projects which did not come to Northern Ireland

32. The Committee were keen to know how Invest NI learned lessons from projects which Invest NI had sought to bring to Northern Ireland, but which were ultimately not secured. However, Invest NI did not convince the Committee in its efforts to demonstrate that it takes this issue seriously. Learning lessons from past activities is a vital process for all organisations. In the Committee's view, Invest NI must do more in this area.

Job quality, retention and sustainability

A review of Invest NI's Selective Financial Assistance (SFA) programme is long overdue

33. The SFA programme is Invest NI's main tool for attracting investment to Northern Ireland. Up to March 2011, £519 million had been spent on this programme. Despite the significant expenditure on this programme, the only evaluation of it occurred in 2004. This showed additionality² levels of 50%. In other words, 50% of the jobs from this programme would have been secured without the financial assistance which had been paid out by Invest NI. The Committee does not regard this as a good value for money outcome.
34. During the course of the C&AG's examination, Invest NI commenced an updated review of its Selective Financial Assistance programme, including measurement of levels of additionality and deadweight³ between 2004 and 2010. The Committee was told by the Department that this review would be completed by the end of March 2013. The Committee welcomes this review but considers it to be more than overdue. The Department acknowledges that it has not produced any estimates of additionality since those undertaken in 2004. In the Committee's view, the performance of SFA should have been subject to much more detailed and regular scrutiny to determine whether it was delivering value for money, and whether performance in important indicators such as additionality and deadweight had improved.

Invest NI has not consistently measured jobs created and jobs lost

35. The Committee is concerned at the lack of clarity with regard to net job movement within Invest NI's client companies (that is, the extent to which jobs created are counter-balanced by jobs lost). The C&AG's report noted that total jobs increased by only 328 between 2002-03 and 2006-07 (28,873 jobs were gained and 28,545 lost). This was clearly a poor outcome, given that over £360 million was spent on SFA in this period. The Committee is also aware of unvalidated figures compiled by Invest NI, which showed net employment in assisted companies between 2007-08 and 2009-10 to have reduced by 5,800.
36. The Committee asked Invest NI for updated analysis of net job movement between 2002 and 2011. The figures indicated a net increase of 14,107 jobs between 2005 and 2011 (with 34,389 jobs created and 20,282 lost). However, these figures provide an incomplete picture of performance, as they take no account of projects supported prior to 2005, within which the Committee understands thousands of jobs were subsequently lost. The Committee considers that analysis of jobs created and lost within all client companies since 2002 would provide the best measurement for net job movement.
37. The Committee also asked for details of jobs created and lost within the five largest recipients of Invest NI assistance between 2002 and 2008 (which received a combined £144.5 million during this period). Invest NI could not supply figures for job losses within these companies during this period. Given the large amounts of grant aid provided to these projects, the Committee considers that tracking and measurement of such basic outcomes by Invest NI should have been much stronger.
38. The Committee has been critical of Invest NI's limited use of clawback powers in the past when projects have not delivered the outcomes expected. Invest NI told the Committee that practically all offers of support now contained clawback provisions. Between 2008 and 2012 it initiated clawback proceedings in 260 cases and recovered nearly £25 million as a result. This is welcome. The Committee noted that Invest NI also wrote off more than £12 million of invoices for clawback as unrecoverable debts in this period. At 31 December 2012, another £16 million had yet to be recovered. In the Committee's view, the proportion of this debt

2 Additionality – The minimum financial assistance necessary to ensure that an investment project proceeds.

3 Deadweight – Where a project would have been occurred without assistance.

that has not been recovered is too high. The issue of clawback is an area that will require continued attention from Invest NI.

The quality of jobs promoted by Invest NI has improved in recent years

39. The Committee welcomes the marked improvement in the quality of jobs secured by Invest NI in recent years. Up to March 2008, only 50% of newly promoted jobs had salaries above the Northern Ireland private sector average, with a high proportion of jobs being in the low value “call centre” sector. In the 2008-11 period, when Invest NI became one of the first economic development agencies to introduce formal job quality targets, almost 75% of jobs promoted met this criteria and over 44% of jobs had salaries which were at least 25% above the Northern Ireland private sector average. However, the Committee is again aware that these results are on the basis of investors’ promises, rather than actual outcomes.
40. The duration of jobs is another key performance indicator for economic development agencies. Evidence provided to the Committee suggested that whilst Invest NI has monitored job duration at an individual project level, it has not yet formally measured or reported performance in this area. The Committee heard assurances that the new systems introduced recently to track actual outcomes will facilitate better measurement of job sustainability.

Invest NI needs to ensure the availability of appropriate skills to attract future growth sectors

41. The Committee was told that the Assured Skills Programme which was jointly developed by Invest NI and the Department for Employment and Learning (DEL) aims to provide an assurance to potential investors that if they locate in Northern Ireland, they will be provided with a workforce which has the skills set required for their particular project. The Committee regards this programme as a useful tool in assisting Invest NI’s efforts to secure inward investment. However, the Committee is not convinced that the Department, Invest NI and DEL have integrated fully in a concerted approach to economic development. It is the growth sectors of the future such as ICT, pharmaceuticals and the creative industries which must be targeted if the local economy is to make a decisive leap forward over the next 10 years, and any longer-term training and skills programmes will need to focus on these. Such a programme will be particularly relevant should powers on Corporation Tax be devolved to the Northern Ireland Assembly, as Invest NI will be better equipped to compete for more higher-value and higher-skilled projects.

Recommendation 4

The Committee recommends that Invest NI works collaboratively with the Department and DEL to identify the growth sectors of the future which need to be targeted to help strengthen the local economy, and to make available appropriate training to skill the Northern Ireland workforce in these areas.

The work of Invest NI’s “virtual small business unit” is not being measured effectively

42. The Committee welcomes Invest NI’s widening focus on all businesses in the economy rather than on a select client group. This inevitably requires a focus on small businesses which are the backbone of the Northern Ireland economy. The Committee is unconvinced that the establishment of a “virtual” small business unit by Invest NI will provide sufficient focus to this key business sector. While the Committee saw evidence that Invest NI has assisted a significant number of small businesses in some form, the extent of support provided, and the outcomes achieved from this were unclear. The Committee asked for a summary of the key performance indicators of the “virtual” small business unit, but was not provided with any evidence, or meaningful performance measurement in this area. The Committee considers that reporting of outcomes for small businesses assisted by Invest NI should be more transparent.

Recommendation 5

The Committee recommends that Invest NI measures and reports key quantitative outcomes achieved through the operations of its “virtual” small business unit, including jobs created and increases in sales and exports. This will help the Department and Invest NI assess whether current arrangements are meeting the needs of the sector, or whether a dedicated small business unit as envisaged by the Barnett report would better serve this purpose.

Addressing disadvantage and social mobility

DETI and Invest NI need to consider alternative economic development strategies in view of the potential loss of Northern Ireland's special area status

43. Witnesses told of a number of issues which have the potential to impact significantly on Invest NI's current assistance programmes:
- Northern Ireland's entitlement to 100% assisted area status may be withdrawn by the Westminster government;
 - the latest EU draft Regional Aid Guidelines could result in the region's special status being removed post 2013; and
 - the latest EU guidelines may also result in the ability to offer any support to companies employing more than 250 employees being removed across Europe.

The Committee is mindful that such developments would significantly curtail Invest NI's ability to offer Selective Financial Assistance, which has historically been its main means of promoting economic development in Northern Ireland.

44. The Department and Invest NI have known for some time now that there may be changes on these fronts. The Department has continued to lobby both the Westminster government and the EU for the retention of special status for Northern Ireland, but there are no guarantees that these efforts will be successful. The Committee is concerned that it has seen little tangible evidence that the Department and Invest NI have been working to develop alternative strategies for promoting economic development.

Recommendation 6

There is a real prospect of Northern Ireland losing both its 100% assisted area status in the future and its EU special Regional Aid status post 2013, and therefore being unable to offer assistance to large companies. In light of this, the Committee recommends that the Department and Invest NI develop clear alternative strategies and measures for promoting economic development in Northern Ireland as a matter of urgency.

Invest NI's current target for promoting economic development in disadvantaged areas is weak

45. In its first two Corporate Plan periods, Invest NI came very close to meeting its target of locating 75% of Foreign Direct Investment projects in disadvantaged areas (performance achieved was 74.3% between 2002 and 2005, and 69% between 2005 and 2008). However, this target was subsequently changed to "encourage" 70% of FDI projects to be located "within ten miles" of a disadvantaged area. The Committee considers this to be a significant weakening of the target. Indeed, given the demographics of Northern Ireland, it is difficult to imagine how this target could fail to be achieved, and the ease with which it was met in the 2008-11 period strongly supports this conclusion (actual performance was 92%). The weakness of the measure is starkly illustrated by the fact that a project located in the North Down "Gold Coast" would contribute to meeting the target, as it is within 10 miles of disadvantaged areas in East Belfast.
46. While the Committee recognises that Invest NI cannot force investors to locate in particular areas, it considers the most meaningful performance measure in this area to be the extent to which people living in disadvantaged areas secure employment in Invest NI supported projects. The Committee is unconvinced by evidence presented to it that the gathering of such data is not feasible, due to data protection issues. In the Committee's view, capturing this information will provide a tangible measure of success in promoting economic development in deprived areas.

Recommendation 7

The Committee considers that Invest NI's current target for promoting inward investment in disadvantaged areas needs to be strengthened significantly. Notwithstanding difficulties in obtaining data, the Committee recommends that Invest NI sets a target which measures the number of people living in disadvantaged areas who obtain employment in assisted projects and reports performance on this basis.

The Department and Invest NI need to do more to assess the impact of welfare reform on disadvantage

47. The Department told the Committee that it had undertaken some work on the likely impact of welfare reform on levels of disadvantage in Northern Ireland. It was subsequently unable to provide any evidence of this. Instead, it merely highlighted that responsibility for the production of social deprivation statistics in Northern Ireland lay with the Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA) and asserted that it is for that body to consider the impact of welfare reform on spatial deprivation. This is unacceptable. An understanding of the impact of welfare reform on the levels and patterns of deprivation in Northern Ireland will be important for Invest NI's future plans to address this issue. In the Committee's view, that planning should not wait, and it is now essential that the Department and Invest NI work closely with NISRA to take this forward.

Despite Invest NI's efforts there remains an uneven distribution of investment across Northern Ireland

48. There is significant disparity between assistance levels received by individual district council areas (DCA's). For example, excluding Belfast, the six DCA's in receipt of the highest levels of assistance⁴ by Invest NI between 2002-03 and 2010-11 were allocated £381 million, which was over nine times greater than the £41 million allocated to the six DCA's with the lowest levels⁵. Whilst the Committee accepts that the choice of location is largely driven by investors' needs, it is concerned that the level of disparity is so marked. In its view, this highlights the need for a more joined up approach. Invest NI should work closely with stakeholders, in particular with local councils and with the Planning Service, to remove potential barriers to investment and develop the propositions that local areas can offer to investors.

Recommendation 8

The Committee recommends that Invest NI consider redressing the geographic imbalance in financial assistance offers made to investing companies. Invest NI should develop a dispersal strategy, working closely with stakeholders including local councils and the Planning Service to improve the propositions and infrastructures on offer to potential investors.

4 Craigavon, Derry, Antrim, Newry and Mourne, Newtonabbey and Lisburn.

5 Moyle, Ballymoney, Banbridge, Carrickfergus, Down and Omagh.

Performance validation and benchmarking

Invest NI's performance data has not been subject to independent or external validation

49. The quality, completeness and accuracy of Invest NI's performance data is fundamental to demonstrating the value and impact of its activities. In response to the Barnett⁶ conclusion that no organisation should have primary responsibility for reporting on its own performance, the Department assumed responsibility for reporting Invest NI's performance in 2011-12.
50. While the Committee welcomes this development, it considers that the process of self-assessment by Invest NI was permitted to continue for too long. The Committee is concerned that Invest NI's performance data has been subject to little or no external validation. Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency statisticians and economists work in both the Department and Invest NI. The Committee welcomes this but does not consider that this provides the required level of assurance which would be gained from external and independent validation. The Committee cannot agree with the Department's argument that it does not consider it necessary to commission independent consultants to validate outputs. Indeed, the Committee is of the view that the Department appears to be resisting independent validation of performance, which represents best practice.

Recommendation 9

The Committee recommends that the Department commissions independent validation of Invest NI's performance data on an annual basis in order to provide assurance as to its completeness and accuracy.

Invest NI has not benchmarked its performance since its establishment, and has only recently committed to doing so

51. The Committee is a firm advocate of benchmarking, and considers that when undertaken properly it can be a key tool for driving improved performance. However, it is clear that Invest NI carried out little in the way of comprehensive or meaningful benchmarking of its performance against other economic development agencies prior to the publication of the C&AG's report in March 2012. Instead, it was the C&AG's review which took the initiative in this respect. The Committee is firmly of the view that Invest NI has primary responsibility for benchmarking its performance and considers it disappointing that it was not more pro-active in this regard, in view of the large sums of public money allocated to it.
52. The Committee welcomes Invest NI's plans to commence ongoing benchmarking of its efficiency and effectiveness in the near future. However, the Committee also wishes to emphasise the importance of future benchmarking taking account of all key areas and indicators, including those in which Invest NI's comparative performance is weak as well as strong. Indeed, it is through focusing on areas of weakness that benchmarking can provide the greatest value.

6 The 'Barnett' report or the Independent Review of Economic Performance was commissioned by the Minister for Enterprise, Trade and Investment and published in September 2009.



Northern Ireland
Assembly

Appendix 1

Minutes of Proceedings of the Committee Relating to the Report

Wednesday, 23 January 2013

Room 144, Parliament Buildings

Present: Ms Michaela Boyle (Chairperson)
Mr John Dallat (Deputy Chairperson)
Mr Sydney Anderson
Mr Trevor Clarke
Mr Michael Copeland
Mr Paul Girvan
Mr Daithí McKay
Mr Mitchel McLaughlin
Mr Seán Rogers

In Attendance: Miss Aoibhinn Treanor (Assembly Clerk)
Mr Phil Pateman (Assistant Assembly Clerk)
Miss Maria Magennis (Clerical Supervisor)
Mr Darren Weir (Clerical Officer)
Ms Tara Caul (Assembly Legal Services)

Apologies: Mr Ross Hussey
Mr Adrian McQuillan

2:03pm The meeting opened in public session.

4. Briefing on Northern Ireland Audit Office Reports on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'

The Committee considered the above report on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'.

Mr Kieran Donnelly Comptroller and Auditor General; Mr Neil Gray, Director; Mr Alan Orme, Audit Manager; and Joe Campbell, Audit Manager briefed the Committee on the report.

2:36pm The meeting went into closed session after the C&AG's initial remarks.

3:13pm Mr Copeland left the meeting.

3:18pm Mr McKay left the meeting.

3:35pm Mr McKay entered the meeting.

3:39pm Mr McKay left the meeting.

3:49pm Mr McKay entered the meeting.

The witnesses answered a number of questions put by members.

[EXTRACT]

Wednesday, 30 January 2013

Room 144, Parliament Buildings

Present: Mr John Dallat (Deputy Chairperson)
Mr Sydney Anderson
Mr Trevor Clarke
Mr Michael Copeland
Mr Paul Girvan
Mr Ross Hussey
Mr Daithí McKay
Mr Mitchel McLaughlin
Mr Adrian McQuillan
Mr Seán Rogers

In Attendance: Miss Aoibhinn Treanor (Assembly Clerk)
Mr Phil Pateman (Assistant Assembly Clerk)
Miss Maria Magennis (Clerical Supervisor)
Mr Darren Weir (Clerical Officer)

Apologies: Ms Michaela Boyle (Chairperson)

2:03pm The meeting opened in public session.

2:07pm The meeting went into closed session.

2:07pm Mr McKay left the meeting.

6. **Further Briefing on NIAO Report on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'**

The Committee considered a Clerk's brief detailing proposals emerging from a recent study visit to Tullylagan on the Committee's process and approach to inquiries.

3:38pm Mr McKay entered the meeting.

Agreed: The Committee agreed to the Tullylagan proposals subject to one amendment, and agreed to continue with development work in principle and subject to review.

3:58pm Mr Copeland and Mr McLaughlin left the meeting.

[EXTRACT]

Wednesday, 6 February 2013

Room 29, Parliament Buildings

Present: Ms Michaela Boyle (Chairperson)
Mr Sydney Anderson
Mr Trevor Clarke
Mr Michael Copeland
Mr Paul Girvan
Mr Ross Hussey
Mr Daithí McKay
Mr Mitchel McLaughlin
Mr Adrian McQuillan
Mr Seán Rogers

In Attendance: Miss Aoibhinn Treanor (Assembly Clerk)
Mr Phil Pateman (Assistant Assembly Clerk)
Miss Maria Magennis (Clerical Supervisor)
Mr Darren Weir (Clerical Officer)

Apologies: Mr John Dallat (Deputy Chairperson)

2:02pm The meeting opened in public session.

2:04pm The meeting went into closed session.

3. Preparation Session on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'

The Committee explored core issues arising from the Audit Office report in preparation for its forthcoming evidence session on 13 February 2013.

2:29pm Mr Anderson left the meeting.

2:39pm Mr Clarke left the meeting.

2:41pm External advisers entered the meeting.

2:52pm Mr Hussey left the meeting.

2:55pm Mr Clarke entered the meeting.

2:56pm Mr Hussey entered the meeting.

3:10pm Mr Copeland left the meeting.

3:14pm Mr Copeland entered the meeting.

3:34pm The meeting went into open session.

3:35pm Mr McKay left the meeting.

[EXTRACT]

Wednesday, 13 February 2013

The Senate Chamber, Parliament Buildings

Present: Ms Michaela Boyle (Chairperson)
Mr Trevor Clarke
Mr Michael Copeland
Mr Ross Hussey
Mr Daithí McKay
Mr Mitchel McLaughlin
Mr Adrian McQuillan
Mr Seán Rogers

In Attendance: Miss Aoibhinn Treanor (Assembly Clerk)
Mr Phil Pateman (Assistant Assembly Clerk)
Miss Maria Magennis (Clerical Supervisor)
Mr Darren Weir (Clerical Officer)

Apologies: Mr John Dallat (Deputy Chairperson)
Mr Sammy Douglas
Mr Paul Girvan

2:05pm The meeting opened in public session.

4. **Evidence on the Northern Ireland Audit Office Report ‘the Police Service of Northern Ireland: Use of Agency Staff’.**

The Committee took oral evidence on the above report from:

- Mr David Sterling, Accounting Officer, Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment (DETI);
- Mr Alastair Hamilton, Chief Executive, Invest NI (INI); and
- Mr Mel Chittock, Executive Director of Finance and Internal Operations, Invest NI (INI).

2:58pm Mr Copeland left the meeting.

3:15pm Mr Hussey left the meeting.

3:19pm Mr Hussey entered the meeting.

3:43pm Mr Hussey left the meeting.

3:46pm Mr Clark entered the meeting.

The witnesses answered a number of questions put by the Committee.

Agreed: The Committee agreed to request further information from the witnesses.

4:14pm Mr Rogers left the meeting.

4:15pm The meeting went into closed session.

[EXTRACT]

Wednesday, 20 February 2013

Room 29, Parliament Buildings

Present: Ms Michaela Boyle (Chairperson)
Mr John Dallat (Deputy Chairperson)
Mr Trevor Clarke
Mr Michael Copeland
Mr Paul Girvan
Mr Daithí McKay
Mr Mitchel McLaughlin
Mr Adrian McQuillan
Mr Seán Rogers

In Attendance: Miss Aoibhinn Treanor (Assembly Clerk)
Mr Phil Pateman (Assistant Assembly Clerk)
Miss Maria Magennis (Clerical Supervisor)
Mr Darren Weir (Clerical Officer)

Apologies: Mr Sammy Douglas
Mr Ross Hussey

2:02pm The meeting opened in public session.

3:17pm The meeting went into closed session.

5. Issues Paper on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'

The Committee considered and developed an issues paper relating to the evidence session held on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'.

[EXTRACT]

Wednesday, 20 March 2013

Room 29, Parliament Buildings

Present: Ms Michaela Boyle (Chairperson)
Mr John Dallat (Deputy Chairperson)
Mr Trevor Clarke
Mr Michael Copeland
Mr Paul Girvan
Mr Ross Hussey
Mr Daithí McKay
Mr Adrian McQuillan
Mr Seán Rogers

In Attendance: Miss Aoibhinn Treanor (Assembly Clerk)
Mr Phil Pateman (Assistant Assembly Clerk)
Miss Maria Magennis (Clerical Supervisor)
Mr Darren Weir (Clerical Officer)

Apologies: Mr Sammy Douglas
Mr Mitchel McLaughlin

2:03pm The meeting opened in public session.

1. Apologies

Apologies are listed above.

2:05pm The meeting went into closed session.

6. Inquiry on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'

Correspondence from DETI

The Committee noted correspondence from Mr David Sterling, Accounting Officer, Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment providing additional information sought following the evidence session on 13 February 2013.

3:50pm Mr Clarke declared an interest stating that he is a Councillor for Antrim Borough Council.

The Committee noted correspondence from Mr David Sterling, Accounting Officer, Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment providing an overview of the 48 personal objectives of the Chief Executive of Invest NI.

3:56pm Mr Copeland and Mr Girvan entered the meeting.

[EXTRACT]

Wednesday, 17 April 2013

Room 29, Parliament Buildings

Present: Ms Michaela Boyle (Chairperson)
Mr John Dallat (Deputy Chairperson)
Mr Trevor Clarke
Mr Paul Girvan
Mr Ross Hussey
Mr Daithí McKay
Mr Seán Rogers

In Attendance: Miss Aoibhinn Treanor (Assembly Clerk)
Mr Phil Pateman (Assistant Assembly Clerk)
Mrs Danielle Saunders (Clerical Supervisor)
Mr Darren Weir (Clerical Officer)

Apologies: Mr Michael Copeland
Mr Sammy Douglas
Mr Chris Hazzard
Mr Adrian McQuillan

2:02pm The meeting opened in public session.

2:21pm The meeting went into closed session.

6. Consideration of Draft Committee Report on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'

The Committee considered its draft report on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'.

Paragraphs 14 - 25 read and agreed.

5:05pm Mr Clarke left the meeting.

Recommendation 1 read and agreed.

Paragraphs 26 – 27 read and agreed.

Recommendation 2 read and agreed.

Paragraphs 28 – 29 read and agreed.

5:08pm Mr Clarke entered the meeting.

Recommendation 3 read and agreed.

Paragraph 30 read and agreed.

Paragraph 31 read, amended and agreed.

Paragraphs 32 – 41 read and agreed.

Recommendation 4 read and agreed.

Paragraph 42 read and agreed.

Recommendation 5 read and agreed.

Agreed: The Committee agreed to defer consideration of paragraphs 43 – 45 and recommendation 6 with suggested amendments until 24 April 2013.

Paragraphs 45 and 46 read and agreed.

Recommendation 7 read and agreed.

Agreed: The Committee agreed to defer consideration of paragraphs 47 – 48 and recommendation 8 with suggested amendments until 24 April 2013.

Paragraphs 49 and 50 read and agreed.

Recommendation 9 read and agreed.

Consideration of the Executive Summary

Paragraph 1 – 13 read and agreed as per the main report.

[EXTRACT]

Wednesday, 24 April 2013

The Senate Chamber, Parliament Buildings

Present: Ms Michaela Boyle (Chairperson)
Mr John Dallat (Deputy Chairperson)
Mr Trevor Clarke
Mr Michael Copeland
Mr Sammy Douglas
Mr Paul Girvan
Mr Chris Hazzard
Mr Daithí McKay
Mr Seán Rogers

In Attendance: Miss Aoibhinn Treanor (Assembly Clerk)
Mr Phil Pateman (Assistant Assembly Clerk)
Mrs Danielle Saunders (Clerical Supervisor)
Mr Darren Weir (Clerical Officer)

Apologies: Mr Ross Hussey
Mr Adrian McQuillan

2:07pm The meeting commenced in closed session.

Consideration of Draft Committee Report on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'

The Committee gave consideration to a second draft of sections of its report on 'Invest NI: A Performance Review'.

Paragraphs 43 – 45 read and agreed

Recommendation 6 read and agreed.

Paragraphs 47 - 48 read and agreed.

Recommendation 8 read, amended and agreed.

Agreed: The Committee ordered the report to be printed.

2:15pm The meeting commenced in open session.

[EXTRACT]



Northern Ireland
Assembly

Appendix 2

Minutes of Evidence

13 February 2013

Members present for all or part of the proceedings:

Ms Michaela Boyle (Chairperson)
 Mr Trevor Clarke
 Mr Michael Copeland
 Mr Ross Hussey
 Mr Daithí McKay
 Mr Mitchel McLaughlin
 Mr Adrian McQuillan
 Mr Sean Rogers

Witnesses:

Mr David Sterling *Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment*
 Mr Mel Chittock *Invest NI*
 Mr Alastair Hamilton

Also in attendance:

Mr Kieran Donnelly *Northern Ireland Audit Office*
 Ms Fiona Hamill *Department of Finance and Personnel*

1. **The Chairperson:** We are considering the Audit Office's report from the Comptroller and Auditor General (C&AG), 'Invest NI: a performance review'. Does any Committee member have a declaration of interest to make? No?
2. I welcome Mr David Sterling, accounting officer in the Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment (DETI), Mr Chittock and Mr Hamilton. David, will you introduce your team, please?
3. **Mr David Sterling (Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment):** Yes. Alastair Hamilton is the chief executive of Invest NI and Mel Chittock is its head of finance and operations.
4. **The Chairperson:** You are very welcome. I have a number of questions, so, if you will allow me, I will get into that.
5. Paragraph 1.8 of the C&AG's report tells that, over 12 years ago, the Westminster

Public Accounts Committee (PAC) recommended to DETI that the data on job creation and duration should be reported as standard in the future. That recommendation was accepted by the Department. However, paragraph 3.22 tells us that there is still no formal performance reporting for those key areas. Why has the recommendation not been implemented?

6. **Mr Sterling:** I will kick off on that. The recommendation was made back in 2000. It is perhaps not entirely clear from the report, but the Industrial Development Board (IDB), in the following two annual reports that it produced, reported on jobs created. As to how things have changed since then, I will probably need to explain a little bit of the background and the historical context. In 2000 or thereabouts, a new economic strategy called Strategy 2010 was produced. Strategy 2010 concluded that the IDB, and subsequently Invest NI, should focus very much on wealth creation rather than job promotion. We need to understand the context at the time. There had been steady growth in the economy in Northern Ireland from the early 1990s, and there had been strong growth in employment and the number of jobs. The conclusion of Strategy 2010 was that the big challenge was to improve living standards, and that required that we improve our productivity. That required that we get more people into work and that we seek to develop higher-value jobs. In other words, we needed to do something to create higher-value employment, and that would be the way of raising living standards.
7. For the first two Invest NI corporate plans, there were no specific job creation targets. In fact, there were no job targets at all in those two corporate plan periods. Again, over that period, there continued to be steady economic growth, up until 2007-08. In the third

- Invest NI corporate plan, which ran from 2008 to 2011, there was still a strong focus on productivity. At that stage, there was a job promotion target to promote 6,500 jobs across the period. That obviously coincided with a severe downturn in the economy. In the current — the fourth — corporate plan for Invest NI, one of the job targets requires that Invest NI create as well as promote jobs.
8. Therefore, we have now reached a point at which we are measuring the promotion of certain jobs, but we are also measuring the creation of jobs that have been developed through the jobs fund. I do, however, accept the recommendation in the Audit Office report that we should be doing more to measure job creation and report on that. It is an important outcome. There are particular challenges around measuring job creation, because creation can sometimes take three years beyond the point at which a letter of offer is issued, but Invest NI has now introduced the systems that will allow us to do that. That is something that we will be moving towards over the next few years. I am not sure whether Alastair or Mel wants to pick up on that.
9. **The Chairperson:** You have said that it is good practice to accept the recommendations, but they were not implemented. Will you just touch on that briefly?
10. **Mr Sterling:** As I said, the recommendation was implemented at the time, because there was a report on the number of jobs created in the IDB's two annual reports subsequent to that, but we then moved into a period in which the first two corporate plans for Invest NI did not have job targets, either for promotion or creation. We then moved back to having job targets in the third corporate plan, but I accept that they were job promotion targets. Now, in the current and fourth Invest NI corporate plan, we have a mix of measurement of jobs promoted and some jobs created in the jobs fund area. We are now in a position in which we have the systems in place to allow better measurement of job creation,
- albeit there are difficulties associated with job creation.
11. **The Chairperson:** Now that the period of growth is over, is that what you have done? Have you still more to do?
12. **Mr Sterling:** It is more the case that we are moving to that position. There was never any deliberate intention not to implement the recommendation of the Westminster PAC back in 2000. That is one point that I want to make absolutely clear. I also want to make clear that we are committed to reporting openly and transparently on our performance. We accept that reporting on the jobs that have been created is an important part of our accountability mechanisms. There have been difficulties with that, and there will continue to be difficulties because of the time lag between an offer being made on a project and the jobs being created. For that reason, our view is that the best balanced position is to monitor and measure jobs promoted and, to the greatest extent possible, jobs created.
13. **The Chairperson:** Invest NI spent almost £520 million on selective financial assistance between 2002 and 2011. Should the development of more robust performance measurement methods for job creation and duration not have been a major priority for Invest NI during that time?
14. **Mr Sterling:** I am not sure that I can go back over exactly what happened at that time. However, what I will say is that we are entirely determined now to evaluate what we achieved in the past, learn the lessons from that and apply what we learn to the way in which we do things in future.
15. We have a selective financial assistance evaluation under way. We had hoped that that would be completed in December, but it is due to be finished in March. We will apply the lessons from that to the way in which we apply selective financial assistance in future.
16. **The Chairperson:** Will you talk us through the evaluation that is under way at the minute?

17. **Mr Sterling:** The evaluation is being conducted by a firm of experts in the area along with Aston Business School, which is part of Aston University.
18. **The Chairperson:** Who are the experts?
19. **Mr Sterling:** SQW is a firm of consultants that has expertise in the area. Aston Business School has particular expertise in the area. The reason for the delay in the completion of the evaluation is that we have been doing some work with the Scottish Government, which have got involved in the evaluation.
20. That is a positive development, because it means that we are now able to take account of what the Scots are doing with their regional assistance, which is similar to our selective financial assistance. The methodology that the advisers are using has been agreed and is being adopted by the Scottish Government as well. The evaluation will take three months longer, but the outcome will be much better and more robust.
21. **The Chairperson:** When will the performance element be introduced?
22. **Mr Sterling:** We will get the evaluation at the end of March. We will have to analyse it and decide what changes to our procedures may or may not be needed.
23. **The Chairperson:** Do you have anything to add to that, Mr Hamilton?
24. **Mr Alastair Hamilton (Invest NI):** I will just make a point about the link between the jobs offered and the jobs created, and the budget for selective financial assistance around that, which was your final point. Although there are differences between jobs promoted and jobs created, I would be careful that we do not take that into a budgetary debate; that is, that we pay for jobs that are promoted but not created.
25. Apart from those projects where jobs were created and then subsequently, in the economic downturn, some of those jobs were removed — we pursue those through clawback, because we have provisions to do that — we do not pay for the vast majority of the difference between promoted and created jobs. We pay for jobs as they are created, so if a company says that it is going to create 200 jobs but creates only 170, it will be paid for only 170.
26. **The Chairperson:** I have one more question. A key goal in the 2008-2011 Programme of Government was to halve the private sector productivity gap between the North and the rest of the UK by 2015. Is that still a Programme for Government goal for your organisation?
27. **Mr Sterling:** No, it is not. You will appreciate that that particular goal was determined probably in the autumn of 2007. You will recall that when the Executive returned in May of that year, an early challenge was to create a new Programme for Government, which was developed in the autumn.
28. At that time, although we saw the collapse of Northern Rock in the autumn, there was still no sense that the financial crisis that we have had to deal with over the past five years was going to be as severe as it was. It was at that stage, when there had been strong growth in the economy for perhaps 15 years, with 150,000 extra jobs having been created in the Northern Ireland economy in that period, that the focus was very much on improving productivity.
29. Over the past five years, we have seen a 15% drop in output in Northern Ireland. We are now back to something like 2003 levels of output, and we have seen the Northern Ireland economy contract by around 11.4%. In the same period, the UK economy has contracted by around 2.9%. We are now in a period in which the priority has changed again, and although there is still a long-term goal of rebalancing our economy, growing our private sector and improving living standards, we still face a short-term challenge, which is to replace the number of jobs that have been lost over the past five years.

30. That is why the focus is now on a balanced approach to rebuilding and rebalancing the economy.
31. **The Chairperson:** Therefore, there is still a job of work to do before you reach that long-term goal.
32. **Mr Sterling:** There is a job of work to do. That job has been set out for us in the Programme for Government and the economic strategy, which were signed off by the Executive in March last year.
33. **The Chairperson:** The C&AG's report covers the performance of Invest NI up until March 2011. How have you been performing against your key performance targets for your current corporate planning period?
34. **Mr Sterling:** The performance is good. Alastair, are you happy enough to answer?
35. **Mr A Hamilton:** I will make a couple of points. Although the report covers the performance up until 2011, the benchmark element, which no doubt we will discuss today, stops at 2008, because the Audit Office was unable to get benchmarking data with other agencies for the period 2008-2011. I will give you two answers to the question, one of which is to give you an update since 2008, which is not benchmarked in the report. Foreign direct investment (FDI) job quality has gone up by 67%. FDI cost per job has gone down by 63%. Processing times for our casework have gone down by 47%. The R&D performance of companies in Northern Ireland that we support has gone up by 111%. Our support to local companies in Northern Ireland has gone up by 30%. Our customer satisfaction has gone up by nine percentage points, and our customer dissatisfaction has gone down by 12 percentage points.
36. I will give you the results of the first year of the current corporate plan period, which was 2011-12. That information was presented to the Committee for Enterprise, Trade and Investment at the end of last year. There are 25 targets, and we did not meet two at the end of last year. One of them — perhaps we will have a discussion about this —
- was for our performance management system.
37. Before I go into that, I should say that the key performance indicators now, in line with the report, encompass not just the targets that we were in given in the Programme for Government and the investment strategy but touch on the three other quadrants of the balanced scorecard that the Audit Office refers to in the Transform project. The information that I am about to give you concerns our organisational development. We set a new performance management system, and we wanted to get 95% of our people to have a job plan and an end-of-year review. In the first year that it was introduced, we achieved 83%. We did not get to 95%. To date, we are at 99%, so that has been recovered in the current year.
38. The second area in which we did not perform concerned business starts. Again, we may get into that conversation. There was a legal process last year that prevented us from delivering a business start programme. Against the target of 1,625 jobs, we delivered 1,323. That is the second area. In the rest of the targets, we are on or ahead of target at the end of the first year.
39. **The Chairperson:** I understand that you have personal targets that are additional to the corporate ones, and personal responsibility for all those targets. Do you have any business targets that are not in the public domain? How do those relate to the particular targets in the C&AG's report? Do they overlap?
40. **Mr A Hamilton:** I do not know, David, whether you want to pick up on that. One of my personal targets is the delivery of those key performance indicators. Those are all subsumed into one. The rest of them cover areas such as communication, external representation, stakeholder management and a whole lot of such areas.
41. **Mr Sterling:** Obviously, we want to be helpful to the Committee today. However, it would be only fair to say that any

- debate about the chief executive's personal targets may not be appropriate for this Committee, especially given that the Committee for Enterprise, Trade and Investment is in ongoing discussion with us about some of the issues as well. With respect, I suggest that we do not get into discussion about the chief executive's personal targets.
42. **The Chairperson:** OK. It is just that the permanent secretary's objectives were published back in June. It is in the interests of the public that those targets be out there in the public domain. You can understand why I asked the question.
43. **Mr Sterling:** Yes. I would make clear — it is the same for Alastair and me — that we have business objectives that will largely reflect the objectives of the organisation because, in a sense, we are both accountable for the performance of our organisations. However, we have certain personal targets that are unique and personal to ourselves.
44. **The Chairperson:** Is it not in the public's interest to know all the targets?
45. **Mr Sterling:** It is a debatable point. You could end up very quickly in a discussion about what may or may not be personal data, and rather than get into a detailed discussion about that, I suggest that, if it is helpful to the Committee, I could write to you and set out what the particular issues and considerations are in this regard.
46. **The Chairperson:** You will understand why I am asking. The concern was that business targets may not be in the public domain. That is what we want clarified.
47. **Mr Sterling:** The business targets, whether for me or for Alastair, will be related to the key objectives for our organisations, and all our key objectives hinge around the delivery of Programme for Government commitments and economic strategy targets. There may be things that are at a slightly lower level than the headline Programme for Government commitments, but you should be able to trace all business objectives back to those Programme for Government commitments and economic strategy targets.
48. **Mr Copeland:** David, I have a very simple question. Does anything, within the time frame that we are discussing or currently, allow you to estimate a percentage of jobs promoted as actual jobs on the ground that have been created?
49. **Mr Sterling:** The Audit Office report has an assessment that 75% of jobs promoted are created, and that is based on historical analysis, etc. It will always be very difficult to come up with a precise measure because, when you think of it in simple terms, if Alastair issues a letter of offer to a company today, it is likely that it could take three years for that company to implement and deliver the project. Circumstances change, and, as Alastair said, a company may decide for very good reasons that it does not need to do everything that was in its business plan and, for that reason, will not draw down as much of the money that was in the letter of offer and may not create as many jobs as were there. Getting some form of metric that measures that accurately is very difficult.
50. **Mr Copeland:** Members will be aware that we recently looked at a bioscience project in Belfast that either you or your predecessors invested quite heavily in. At any stage, were there numbers in the "jobs promoted" column as a result of that venture?
51. **Mr Sterling:** We are going back a year now, but I do not believe so. I do not believe that we ever got to a stage where there was a letter of offer that had a jobs element.
52. **Mr Mel Chittock (Invest NI):** The bioscience institute was funded by way of investment; it was not an employment grant. So, there were no jobs related to that project.
53. **Mr Copeland:** So that project did not figure anywhere in your jobs promoted?

54. **Mr Chittock:** No. At the end of each year, we spend a bit of time validating the offers issued in a previous year, and, if some projects have not proceeded, we will remove them from our “jobs promoted” analysis.
55. **Mr Copeland:** So no portion of their business case indicated the likely employment prospects that would be derived?
56. **Mr Chittock:** In the original business case, there were a number of measures that said what it expected to generate by way of spin-out companies, which, in turn, would have led to employment. However, they were not grant-aided directly through the bioscience institute to create jobs. So, we did not have a “jobs promoted” number.
57. **Mr Copeland:** The jobs promoted are directly linked to funding from you. In other words, if you do something that occasions jobs somewhere else — say 10 jobs in a company that is promoted by you that actually become real jobs, and that creates 15, 20 or 30 in the wider economy, do those not figure in your report?
58. **Mr Chittock:** We do not count those unless we have assisted the jobs directly.
59. **The Chairperson:** Thank you. We are slightly going off the rails here.
60. **Mr McKay:** You mentioned briefly the issue of clawback. Can you give us an overview of clawback and how often it is being implemented? In the conditions of offer where clawback has been built in, has that remained virtually the same since 2002 or has it been improved? Just a general overview of that.
61. **Mr Chittock:** I do not have the precise numbers in front of me. With regard to the process by which we monitor letters of offer, if there is a default against a letter of offer and jobs have not been delivered to 80% of the targets originally specified, we will seek clawback of moneys paid against that project. If the company fails or goes into administration, again we will trigger clawback. We take a very proactive —
62. **Mr McKay:** That is 80% of what, sorry?
63. **Mr Chittock:** If a company’s promoting, say, 100 jobs and it fails to deliver at least 80% of those jobs, we will consider the merits of that case to determine whether to seek clawback.
64. **Mr McKay:** If it fails to create or promote 80% of the jobs.
65. **Mr Chittock:** We monitor the projects as time goes by so that we are able to say at a particular point in time on an individual letter of offer the number of jobs that have been delivered by that project.
66. **Mr McKay:** That have been created?
67. **Mr Chittock:** Yes.
68. **Mr McKay:** Could we get some of the details?
69. **Mr Chittock:** Yes, I have analysis that shows the level of clawback and the number of clawback actions that we take on a per annum basis. The clawbacks are processed through the finance team and managed by the finance team for recovery.
70. **The Chairperson:** Thank you. I will go to members now, on performance against targets.
71. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** Good afternoon. I am very anxious to explore the performance against targets, the statistics and the setting of those targets and the processes involved. We have had some discussion already about jobs promoted. What categories are included in that? How do you quantify a target or an outcome in jobs promoted? How have you done that historically and how is that pointing us towards the future?
72. **Mr Sterling:** If you take the current corporate plan as an example, the main job target that Invest NI has is to promote 25,000 jobs during the current four-year corporate plan period. The way that worked was that there were discussions, negotiations and bargaining, if you like, between Invest NI, the Department and the Office of the

- First Minister and deputy First Minister (OFMDFM). That was during the period when the Programme for Government was being developed. There is an interaction between the Department and Invest NI. It is all about us looking at past performance and current economic circumstances and determining what we believe is a fair but challenging target. You will appreciate that there is a lot of negotiation around that. Also, when we are engaged with OFMDFM, which is developing the overall Programme for Government, it will add an additional challenge function. The way in which that challenge function happens can be seen in the change from the draft Programme for Government to the final Programme for Government. The draft Programme for Government had a target of 21,000 jobs, and the final Programme for Government increased that target to 25,000 jobs, and that was largely due to pressure from Ministers. That increased the requirement on Invest NI over the four-year period. That particular target is broken down into four areas, which, I am sure, you can pick up on. It is attracting new inward investment, growing our own local companies, new business starts, and then obviously we have the jobs fund now, which has a specific target to help rebuild the economy and deal with unemployment.
73. In the inward investment, jobs starts and growing local companies area, the targets all relate to promoting jobs. However, in the jobs fund area, most of the target is to create jobs, and that reflects the need to do things quickly. I do not have the figures to hand, but, so far, 2,400 jobs have been created through the jobs fund in the current corporate plan period.
74. **Mr A Hamilton:** Just to clarify: promoted 2,400, created 1,021.
75. **Mr Sterling:** Sorry, it promoted 2,400 and created 1,021. That is within the jobs fund area.
76. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** You can see that it can be quite difficult to measure performance against the type of criteria that you described. We are very positive about and supportive of the efforts that are being made and how incredibly difficult it is in the wider economic sphere. Nothing has arisen in our discussions so far to warrant this, but just in case any impression is given that there is resistance or opposition to risk-taking, I can assure you that we know how difficult and challenging that particular project is.
77. On the basis that we have to invest, develop networks and contacts, and compete in very difficult circumstances, it becomes all the more important that people can actually deal with what matters to them, and that is the number of jobs delivered. However, there has to be an appreciation, particularly as we get closer to the centre of these things, of how those deliveries were achieved and the difference between sustaining or providing financial assistance to existing enterprise so as to continue to secure not just existing jobs but the potential for growth in that particular sector.
78. New jobs and investment, and the difficulties that those throw up, mean that people need to be able to measure the quite vast sums of money involved against actual jobs on the ground. I think, Mr Sterling, in your answer to the Chair you spoke about being able to take a view over a longer period, which is appropriate, of course. You also talked about the lag between promoting jobs, establishing contacts, letters of offer and the investment actually materialising.
79. Over the longer period, however, we can begin to benchmark ourselves between the concepts of job promotion and job creation. I would like you to comment on that very long intro.
80. **Mr Sterling:** We are very keen to benchmark ourselves. It has actually got more difficult since the Audit Office completed the report, because the Audit Office —
81. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** It is their fault, then.
82. **Mr Sterling:** I would never say that. However, the Audit Office benchmarking looked at Scottish Enterprise, IDA

- Ireland, Enterprise Ireland and the regional development agencies (RDAs) in England and Wales. They have been done away with, so we cannot benchmark ourselves against the RDAs. So there are a smaller number of bodies working in this area against which we can compare ourselves. Again, the Audit Office report recognises —
83. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** Sorry, I accept that this is my fault entirely but I want to benchmark between jobs promoted and jobs created.
84. **Mr Sterling:** Sorry, forgive me, I misunderstood you. I will hand over to Alastair here, but I think —
85. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** I am arguing that over a longer period of time, it is possible to answer that question.
86. **Mr Sterling:** I agree, and as I think I said earlier, we need to move to a position where we are measuring both. Job promotion gives you a ready metric for how you are doing in generating new job opportunities, if you like, that you expect to be delivered. Measuring job creation gives you a better measure of the outcome of the agency.
87. **Mr A Hamilton:** It is probably worthwhile to set in context why there are various steps in getting to that ultimate conclusion. Not that I want to compare where we are today with where we were in 2000 when the Westminster PAC recommendation was made, but the recommendation was made against the IDB, which was dealing with a smaller number of larger offers. Therefore, you could manually calculate every year what was offered and what was created. Now, because the Local Enterprise Development Unit and the smaller business elements have been subsumed, it is the volume of offers that causes the difficulty in tracking.
88. We now issue an average of 4,000 offers a year. On average, we have a rolling stock of 7,000. As the propensity is not to ask this question at just the end of the financial year but to ask it at interim points as people go along, the only way for us to deal with this is a systems-based solution, and it has taken us two years to get that systems-based solution in place. On 1 April last year, we introduced the offers and claims management system. That is the system through which we will be able to press a button and give you the answer that you want, which is the difference between promoted and created.
89. There are three dimensions to this. One is tracking. If you said that in a financial year you have promoted 5,000 jobs, how many of those 5,000 have been created? There is a difference between that and saying, in any financial year, how many jobs did you create? In the first one, you have to track from an absolute letter of offer to an absolute conclusion, whereas in the second one you just add up the aggregate, irrespective of what year the promotion of those jobs related to.
90. The third piece is to be able to track through that the number of projects that overachieve. In response to the point that was just made, there are quite a few. We made a letter of offer to a company for 100 jobs, and it ended up creating 120. We only paid for 100, and there were 120, so it is about tracking that as well.
91. The challenge in all of this is that there is a five-year period for the delivery of those projects — perhaps three years to deliver and a two-year control period. If you take a realistic example, a company may employ five people against a letter of offer of 20, and, in a fortnight's time, one of those five people might leave and go to join another company. That post has been created, but the person is not there and the company is not paying a salary, but it still intends to go and replace that job. When you add that across 4,000 letters of offer, it becomes a very complex thing to do, and a systems-related way is the only way to do it.
92. I have said before that we have clearly shown that we want to move so that we are not only measuring inputs, but measuring outputs, which we are now doing for quite a few things. Ultimately,

- the ambition is to measure outcomes. That is very clearly embedded in our last corporate plan, but, for example in R&D, we now have an outcome measure, which is the percentage of R&D investment in companies as a percentage of gross value-added (GVA). That is an outcome, as opposed to how many new companies we introduced to R&D. We want to do the same on the jobs side.
93. We have a system in place that will do the measurement of the jobs created across our entire base. The problem is that I cannot retrospectively put all 7,000 letters of offer into that system. So, as new letters of offer have gone in from 1 April last year, those will become stock that we can report on, and, as the old letters of offer get flushed out and the new ones from 1 April forward become our entire base, I will be able to give you an accurate answer. To answer your point, it will take a little bit of time for that system to become relevant to the point that we can press a button and give you an answer, but it is the ambition. We now have the systems in place, and we will be able to do it.
94. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** OK. I suppose you will not quibble with the fact that the principle that was laid down in the Westminster PAC report applies to the new structures and that wider context that you described very well. It should still be possible to produce headline statistics in respect of jobs that were created, jobs that were lost in the economy over that same period and jobs that were assisted. Those are very specific and focused points of information that people are interested in. I accept what you have described about the reporting over a period of time. However, this has been a long-standing quibble that has detrimentally affected the work and the perception of Invest. I regret that because I know that there are a lot of very talented people working very hard on all our behalfs in that regard.
95. The idea of outcomes is precious; let us absolutely defend that. However, in the limited amount of benchmarking performance against targets that we can develop representing the context of dramatically changing economic circumstances, let me just say as an aside, because it is something that I want to come back to, that if we were to look at some of the targets that were set in the current period, which coincides with the disastrous global economic downturn, we would see targets being achieved. We did not have that reflection of performance at times when there was much more money about and the economy was facing into growth. That is where people start to get worried and, perhaps, a bit cynical about the information that is given. However, I think that people need to know how many jobs were lost in the economy, how many new jobs were created, and how many of them were outside FDI or were local indigenous companies, perhaps with the support and guidance of Invest, which was able to open up new markets and new job opportunities. That type of hard information is valuable in building up the stock of investment.
96. **Mr A Hamilton:** This is obviously a challenge. While that system has been building up, we have been trying to take a temperature check across the client base of companies that we interact with to do exactly the thing you asked for and get a net position. I cannot tie this back to letters of offer, but the piece of work David referred to from Aston Business School looked at employment across the 2,500 companies that we interact with. That showed that, for the period 2005 to 2011, net employment went up by 34,389 jobs, net of losses of 20,282, which gives a net increase of 14,107 jobs, which is a 17.6% increase in employment in the companies we work with. I cannot tie it to letters of offer; I am just giving you the base. That starkly sits alongside the fact that, in the companies that we do not work with the non-assisted companies that relative 17.6% increase went to minus 7.8%. So, the employment levels in companies we did not work with dropped by almost 8%, while the levels in the companies we did work with went up by 18%. I am just giving you a base view.

- I cannot tie this to letters of offer or to direct intervention.
97. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** It would be very helpful if you could give us a note on that. That is precisely the information we would like to develop to help people understand what is going on.
98. Following through on the discussion of the letters of offer, I am not so certain that that gives a lot of assurance, even though I think that it is an absolutely necessary and essential part of the process you are involved in. You have to cast your net as widely as you can, attempt to deliver on the targets you have set yourselves and look for as many clients as possible to help you deliver them. Of course, they do not all work out. That is part of the, if you like, muscle and sinew of what Invest NI does on our behalf, but the outputs are the jobs that are created. Another part of that that is of some relevance is the jobs that are not delivered or created and how much useful information we can glean from, say, a lessons-learned process.
99. The Audit Office's report recognises performance on your part against targets, but also the benchmarking indicates the difficulties you have had with competition from the South and the investment they were able to attract that we were not. Have you drawn definitive conclusions as to what made the difference? Was it skills, fiscal advantage or — it probably is — a combination of both? Have you informed the Department and the Executive about those issues to help them formulate policy responses?
100. **Mr A Hamilton:** The very big answer to that question is yes. The feedback to the Department, the Assembly and the Executive is that one of the key tools that we would really love to have to try to address some of those things is lower corporation tax. I categorise this into two groups, so that we can simplify it a bit. The vast majority of the projects that we draw to Northern Ireland are cost centre projects. They are projects for which we can provide skills and accommodation and all the support that we currently give through our intervention to those companies, but they are not sensitive to tax. They are not sales operations; they are cost centres. The tools that people have in the Republic of Ireland and elsewhere in Europe are tax beneficial. Therefore, they seek out profit centres and companies who want to set up that can manage their sales operations through that facility and benefit from the tax differential between them and other member states of the EU.
101. The answer to the question is yes, but we do not waste our time chasing projects that are profit centres, because we do not have the proposition for it. People have asked me in the past whether I have lost many projects to the Republic of Ireland or elsewhere. The answer is no, because we do not chase those. If we had lower corporation tax, I could add a whole lot more projects on to my list that we could chase. We chase the projects that we have the possibility of landing.
102. Going back to the start of your question and the slippage between the projects that we thought we could get and maybe not deliver, once you get into an analysis of this, the vast majority of the slippage between offered and created is down to — the 2002 annual report mentioned a project for 870 jobs that did not happen at all. A letter of offer was given to the company, it promised that it was going to set something up, and that did not happen. It is more likely that that, albeit dated, calculation is contributing to the 75% than lots of small slippages of 10% and 15% on projects.
103. To answer your question, we monitor every project from the point that a letter of offer is issued either to a point that that project is not delivered at all — that is very rare — or to a point where, as David said, a company cannot get to the point of delivery. We have seen a fair bit of that in the current economic climate, where people cannot get to the ultimate point they wanted to, bearing in mind that offers may have been made prior to 2007. In those circumstances, we collate that information and feed it back

- to our sales teams so that they have the benefit of it when they are negotiating letters of offer.
104. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** OK. The point about the fiscal policies has obviously been reinforced, and a lot of work has been done on that corporation tax issue. That seems to have come to a juddering halt since the report was handed to the British Government, but, hopefully, that will get picked up again.
105. An issue that the Assembly might have a more direct impact on — this relates to investment that slipped away and went somewhere else — is the skills gap or capacity. Have you drawn any specific conclusions from your analysis of that? Have DETI and the Department for Employment and Learning (DEL) been asked to prepare a joint strategy based on that? It is not apparent to me, as someone who has been interested in this topic, that there is a joined-up approach or that we have a corporate plan to develop the necessary skills.
106. **Mr Sterling:** I think that that is a misperception now, actually. There is very good working and very good collaboration between our two Departments and between Invest NI and DEL. Indeed, I am sure that Alastair would affirm that one of the strengths in our offer is the assured skills programme, whereby, if we are talking to new inward investors, we can offer to ensure that, when they locate here, they will be provided with the people with the skills that they need. That is said in very simple terms, but it is a very powerful part of our offer.
107. Going back to one of your earlier points, when the current economic strategy was being developed, we looked at what works in other similar economies elsewhere in the world. Ireland and Singapore have been successful on the back of low corporate tax and a regulatory regime that is easy for companies to locate in, and Finland and Sweden are very strong on investing in research and development and innovation. In all those areas, the key thing that makes the other things work
- is an investment in education and skills. Without people with the requisite skills, you are not going to attract anybody here in the first place.
108. I have been in the Department for four and a half years, and the level of co-operation between the Departments is better than it has been at any time in those four, nearly five years. Indeed, DEL has now summarised all of its various programmes in one handy booklet and, in fairness, it has a range of job support or employability programmes that are as good as anything you will see anywhere.
109. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** I was aware of the assured skills programme. That deals with an aspect of it. However, if we are shaping the economy we expect to see in 10 years' time and targeting particular sectors, I am not certain that I see joined-up government in addressing that. The assured skills programme tells me that we talk to a client who gives you a job specification or skills set they require and that you make a commitment to deliver it. I just wonder whether that applies to degree courses and whatever. I do not think it does. There may be a short-term response — as far I am concerned, it is 100% — but that might confine the type of economy we can get to a lower-value economy in which we can do a quick turnaround to train and skill people up, as opposed to developing the type of modern economy we are looking for.
110. **Mr Sterling:** I would not want to suggest that there is not a huge challenge there; we are not in any way complacent.
111. Let us say that things go well and we were able to set our own corporation tax rate from 2016. We would have a huge job to do between now and then to, on the one hand, make sure that Invest NI is pursuing the different type of firm that Alastair talked about, and, on the other, make sure that we have the people with the right skills.
112. This week, the Minister for Employment and Learning announced a new approach to apprenticeships. I think that that sits well in the Department

- for Employment and Learning's suite of forms of assistance. That new set of programmes is just another way in which DEL is responding to the challenges in the economy.
113. Nevertheless, I would not want to downplay the fact that there are difficulties. The fact that we have a skilled workforce has helped us to secure the many new financial services companies and legal services companies that have arrived here in the past few years, and the fact that we have skills has allowed our advanced manufacturing sector to grow.
114. All those are good things, but, again, there are certain sectors where there are shortages. At a time when we have 60,000 or 70,000 people unemployed, it is disappointing that there are people who say that they cannot plug certain skills gaps. However, those are being attended to.
115. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** OK. I will finish now, Chairperson, and I thank you for your indulgence. I am interested in the targets, and I am looking at the key performance indicators in the current corporate plan. Who sets those targets?
116. **Mr Sterling:** I will go back to the point that I made earlier. If you look at the Programme for Government, you will see that there are 82 commitments. DETI has 13, five of which are Invest NI targets. The five key Programme for Government targets include having 25,000 new jobs and securing total investment of £1 billion. There are also issues on access to finance, securing private sector investment in R&D and growing manufacturing exports. I think that those five key targets hit the really important challenges that face us in the economy. In other words, we need to create jobs and encourage firms to be more innovative and to invest in R&D. More than anything, however, we need to encourage people to export, because we are too small an economy to be self-sufficient. So, the core targets for Invest NI come from the Programme for Government.
117. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** OK. I have no quibble at all with the targets as they are described and set out. My problem is with the targets, because you have absolutely smashed them in some instances. I wonder just how challenging they were to start with.
118. **Mr Sterling:** The performance in 2008-2011 was very good, and all targets were met. The trouble is that people will always say that the targets were set too softly. I will say again that I arrived in the Department shortly after the targets had been set. It was clear that they were still very challenging, even at that time, when we did not realise how badly the economy was going to turn out.
119. The fact that Invest NI delivered on its targets in a downturn is, I think, a tribute to the organisation. I would not say that that suggests that the targets were soft in the first place, because a tremendous amount of work went in to delivering them. If you look at the current corporate plan period, you will see, as I mentioned, that the Executive stiffened the jobs target and the export and investment target between the draft Programme for Government and the final document.
120. So, I think that the challenges in the current Invest NI corporate plan are greater than those in the previous corporate plan.
121. **Mr A Hamilton:** The Audit Office report stated:
"The evidence from the 2008-11 Corporate Plan suggests that Invest NI's targets were set at appropriately challenging levels, particularly with regard to the weak economic climate."
122. I re-emphasise David's point about the existing targets. Three of them were up during that consultation period, and they will be very challenging to meet. Like the previous corporate plan, where the targets were set prior to the economic downturn, the current corporate plan was set at a point where all the economic views around the world were that we were going to recover. Unfortunately, we have not recovered, but those targets remain. I would not

- suggest that we change them; I think that they are the appropriate targets in our current corporate plan to drive forward on.
123. Whenever a big project comes along, it can have a disproportionate impact on performance. In the corporate plan period 2008-2011, there was a positive example of that, in that there was a large R&D project on the CSeries side that massively distorted R&D performance positively. Those things will always happen, and, to go back to the original point, I think that we are in a really good place with the targets across the board. We now have complete alignment between the Programme for Government, the Executive strategy and the targets that are in my plan. You can draw a causal line between the targets in those other two documents, which you were not necessarily able to do in the past.
124. I want to assure you that, although the targets are published for our corporate plan, if we overachieve in year 1, as we have done in some areas, I will uplift the targets from an operational point of view in the operating plan for the following year, even though they may not be published. So, I will run with a separate set of targets internally that may be more challenging than those that I have displayed, because of the —
125. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** I was actually heading into mid-term reviews, Alastair. The current corporate plan's business expenditure on R&D, which I absolutely endorse, shows that, in year 1, you achieved 56% of your overall target and that by 2010-11 you had exceeded the target by a significant amount. We set out to achieve £120 million according to the out-turn, but, up to 2010-11, we had £327 million. Does that indicate that the target was challenging?
126. **Mr A Hamilton:** That was the example that I quoted. The reason for the substantial overperformance was down to one project. Normally, our R&D projects could run —
127. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** In 2009-2010, it was £149 million. Is that the year that you had the big one?
128. **Mr A Hamilton:** Yes.
129. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** In a previous year, you had achieved £67 million out of a target of £120 million.
130. **Mr A Hamilton:** I do not have the details with me, but that must have been the previous year.
131. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** In year 3, you almost achieved the target again, because your overall target was £120 million and you got £111 million.
132. **Mr A Hamilton:** You may want to get back to the bigger debate, which is about whether we should have the ability to do mid-term reviews. I would welcome that. We do it physically in our organisation, but there is no process at the minute for mid-year reviews in either the Executive strategy or the Programme for Government.
133. **Mr Sterling:** Ministers decided in the previous Programme for Government period — the 2008-2011 corporate plan period — that they would not reset objectives, even in the wake of the downturn. They felt it better to leave the targets as formulated in 2007 and then to allow people to explain underperformance, if that happened.
134. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** I have two points to make. The first is a request for some kind of paperwork to set out the process, including any review, because that would be helpful in compiling the report.
135. I have a final question. Is there any external validation process for targets and performance against those targets? If so, what data are gathered to provide the evidence base to enable that process?
136. **Mr Sterling:** There is. This answer maybe also deals with one of your earlier points. The monthly employment statistics that the Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA) produces locally, although they

- are also produced nationally, show overall movement in employment and unemployment in the local economy. So, you will see how much employment rose or fell each month. Obviously, there is no link to Invest activity, but it is a good measure of what is happening in the economy.
137. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** We need to establish the link with Invest for this report.
138. **Mr Sterling:** Absolutely. I understand that.
139. From the point of view of external validation, the Independent Review of Economic Policy (IREP) report, which is Richard Barnett's independent review of economic policy, recommended that Invest should not report on itself. The Minister accepted that. Since then, we have been doing a piece of work with a focus on selective and financial assistance to try to link the data that we have on Invest activity with the data that are available on business activity generally. That has been a very complex piece of work, and we are working through it now. It will provide us with a way of validating the impact that Invest NI activity has on the economy, but it is highly complex.
140. Another way that we get some assurance about the quality of information is to rely, obviously, on the fact that national statistics provide us with indications of levels of exports, investment in R&D and that sort of thing. NISRA statisticians and economists work in the Department, and some are also embedded in Invest NI. Obviously, they are required to adhere to professional standards. So, a combination of those things provides that validation.
141. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** It does not sound like an external validation.
142. **Mr Sterling:** It is not entirely external. Alongside it, we have the evaluation into selective financial assistance, which I mentioned. External people have done the data-linking work. Professor Mark Hart, who is an expert in that area, led the team that looked at that. We have not engaged consultants to validate the output, because we do not believe that that is necessary. Indeed, I am not sure that that is what the recommendation implied. As I said, we are doing a variety of things to satisfy ourselves that the figures are valid.
143. **The Chairperson:** Just before I let in Mr McKay, can you tell me whether Invest NI has had to turn away any investors because of a gap in the skills sector?
144. **Mr A Hamilton:** No. Going back to Mr McLaughlin's point, you will hear existing investors say that brand new investors are brought here and that they struggle with skills. However, the programmes that we discussed are absolutely about doing that. I do not want to labour it too much, but the assured skills is a key way, albeit that it is in a small part of the overall strategic piece, for us to be able to assure those investors — that is what it is about — that, if they come here, through the Department for Employment and Learning, the universities and the colleges, we can provide them with the people that they need. So, we have not lost any projects as a result of a lack of skills.
145. **Mr McKay:** This is just a brief point. Corporation tax was mentioned. A number of months ago, when FG Wilson pulled out and a number of jobs were lost, I remember hearing you, Alastair, on the radio. You spoke quite well about the need for lower corporation tax and the benefits that it would bring. Where are we now with corporation tax? I know that you cannot say how many jobs would be created, but if the British Government announced tomorrow that powers were to be devolved, what range of jobs does Invest NI project could be created over the next number of years? If that became a reality, is it embedded in the corporate plan in any way?
146. **Mr A Hamilton:** In the current corporate plan period, we have made the assumption that we will not have the tool at our disposal. Even if an announcement were made tomorrow, it will take a period of time to —

147. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** I think that you said that the process could take up to 2016.
148. **Mr A Hamilton:** The assumption in our current plan, which is for 2011-15, is that corporation tax will not feature. We have made assumptions about regional aid, but we may discuss that later. However, corporation tax is not in the current plan.
149. We have made a commitment on the number of additional jobs that corporation tax would deliver on the basis that it would ramp up to the extent that we would double foreign direct investment. I cannot remember the exact length of time that it would take to get to that point; I think that it is over eight or nine years. We have made a commitment that, if we have corporation tax at our disposal, we could double FDI numbers in Northern Ireland.
150. **Mr McKay:** What are those numbers?
151. **Mr A Hamilton:** Around 6,500 or 7,500 has been the target that has been flown over the past —
152. **Mr McKay:** Extra jobs?
153. **Mr A Hamilton:** We are talking about an extra 7,000 jobs.
154. Obviously, there is a balance to be struck. We cannot do a whole lot of preparation work until we are clear that we will get the tool. We will have two or three years of a window of opportunity between a decision being taken and the tool being available. It is quite interesting, because, recently, even just the discussion that has been taking place in here and elsewhere has stimulated — this shows that the world is a very small place — a conversation in our main inward investment markets to the extent that I have trained my sales people in the US around the tax discussion. We have never had to do that before, because we were seeking only the cost centres. Even though we do not have the tool, they have now been trained to be able to have that conversation with people.
155. If the decision were taken, our first step would undoubtedly be taken in marketing and sales to pump-prime companies. Although it would mean a two-year lag for us, there would be a lag of a year and a half for companies in making a decision, making the investment plans, getting them approved by their board and ultimately coming. So, those two things are quite good, in that they are aligned to that timeline.
156. The first issue would be in marketing and sales to maximise the pipeline development, and the second would be on the development of that pipeline. So, where are those opportunities, what is our market intelligence on those companies and how do we get access to them?
157. **Mr Sterling:** Just to be clear, the economic strategy to which the Executive signed up last year explicitly said that it does not assume that corporation tax powers will be devolved but that if they are, Ministers will look at the targets again. So, they may raise targets, maybe not in this period but in the next.
158. **Mr McKay:** The Finance Committee looked at air passenger duty and produced a report on it. Pricewaterhouse-Coopers (PwC) also majored on that subject and said that it is vital to the local economy. Do you have a view on that? I suppose that companies, regardless of the tax on flights, look at other factors. There is a view that Dublin Airport is more likely to have new flights opening because of issues such as air passenger duty. If air passenger duty were to be brought on to a level playing field with the rest of the island or abolished, what potential would that have to create jobs through ensuring that there is access between here, the US, the Middle East, and so on?
159. **Mr A Hamilton:** We were strongly supportive of the steps that were taken initially — and, hopefully, ultimately — in devolving air passenger duty and removing that differential. One of our biggest selling messages, particularly in America, is the access. I do not want

- to get into a debate on differentials between our airports, but a big element of the growth of the financial services sector in Belfast is that people can get off a plane from London and be in their office in Belfast 10 minutes later.
160. The situation is similar for the transatlantic flight. A massive selling point with that is that people can get an overnight flight from Newark and be in Northern Ireland at 9 am or 10 am and can get into their office and do a day's work. A journey by car or a connecting flight from London or elsewhere, for example, are factors that just add complications. So, I am a very strong supporter of maintaining that flight. We have attracted companies that use it very heavily, and I was keen to make sure that we retained it.
161. The next stage concerns the second part of your question. You asked what more we could do if we had that tool. This report refers to the emerging markets, particularly those in the Far East, which you referenced in your question. I would be keen to see whether we could get a direct flight from here to the Middle East that would not only service the Middle East but would be a stepping-on point for us into the Far East in areas that we are developing strongly, particularly on the trade side. David, you may want to refer to that from a policy point of view. If that lever were there, it would be a big asset to us, and I would be very keen to see it.
162. **Mr Sterling:** We did not fight for the power to set our own rate of air passenger duty just to protect the Newark flight, albeit that that was very important. We did that very much with a view to improving connectivity to North America and, as Alastair said, to the Middle East. Now that we have the power in place, we are doing what we can to encourage operators.
163. **Mr McKay:** Has there been any progress on the Canadian routes?
164. **Mr Sterling:** I do not have anything concrete to say on that, but we are hopeful that there will be something there.
165. **Mr McQuillan:** This has been a very interesting discussion so far. How could you know that Invest NI is creating a lasting impact on the whole economy?
166. **Mr Sterling:** That is a good question. Alastair quoted the statistic that showed that those firms that Invest NI assisted between 2005 and 2011 saw their employment grow by 17.6%, whereas non-assisted firms saw their employment drop by 7.8%. So, that is one indicator.
167. Rather than quote statistics, however, I will paint you a picture. If you look at the successful sectors in Northern Ireland, you will see Citibank, the New York Stock Exchange and the Chicago Mercantile Exchange in our financial services sector. Those companies are here because of the support that Invest NI gave, and they have grown or we expect them to grow. In other words, they have not just come and remained static.
168. The ICT sector is doing incredibly well. For example, Electronics, Communications and Information Technology and the Centre for Secure Information Technologies in the science park in Belfast, are world leaders in the development of security software. Again, they are supported by Invest NI. We now have a legal services sector that includes Allen and Overy, Herbert Smith, and Axiom. A cluster of global legal companies has relocated here. Some are growing, the others we expect to grow and we hope to encourage more to come. That is helping to use the very good law graduates that come out of our universities.
169. Our agrifood sector has benefited from huge support from Invest NI. The sector has a £4 billion turnover, 20% of all Northern Ireland manufacturing exports, employs 50,000 people, with 18,000 of them in processing. Again, that is all supported by Invest NI.
170. In our advanced engineering sector, Bombardier and Wrightbus are examples of companies that have benefited from Invest NI support. I am probably stealing

- one of Alastair's better lines, but in R&D, a very small investment in resin transfer infusion some years ago has led to hundreds of millions of pounds of investment in the Bombardier plant. Again, that is a sign of the impact that an astute investment can make.
171. In the pharmaceutical sector, Almac is a world leader in its area. Just last Friday, Alastair and I met a group of people, whom I will describe as unsung heroes, from the materials handling sector in Tyrone. I did not realise that 150 small and large engineering companies employ thousands of people in that part of the world. Those companies together supply 60% of the screening equipment that is used in mining around the world. Again, many of them have benefited from selective financial assistance and R&D support, and they really deserve more recognition.
172. Lastly, there is the creative industries sector, where the investment has been with HBO and others. The economy has been given a psychological boost from the profiling that Northern Ireland has received, never mind the impact that there has been on growing that sector.
173. So, you can look around you and see the enduring impact of Invest NI investment around Northern Ireland. We can produce the statistics, but we can also see the tangible benefits around us.
174. **Mr McQuillan:** That is a wee failing on the part of Invest NI, in that people do not realise how much it is putting into the economy and what it is bringing here. We get asked all the time what Invest NI is doing. Maybe it is a failing on your behalf that that message is not getting out to the public.
175. **Mr Sterling:** That is a fair point, and I would not argue with it. Sometimes, it seems that bad news travels faster than good news. I would never for a second underestimate or downplay the difficulties in the economy, but an awful lot of good work has been done. An awful lot of people are working very hard to grow their businesses and to create wealth, jobs and prosperity.
176. **Mr A Hamilton:** There will be an opportunity to do just that. A workshop showcasing all our products and services will be run here within the next few weeks, and all MLAs have been invited. I can say to MLAs that we are happy to take the criticism, take it into the organisation and to deal with it and fix it. I think that we have shown that we have a track record of doing that.
177. However, we need MLAs, MPs and Ministers getting on the front foot and driving the message out to those small businesses, such as those in Tyrone, that there is an organisation here that works on their behalf to support job creation, R&D investment and all the other things that we do.
178. You will be getting a letter within the next few days on this, although you have given me an opportunity to talk about it now. We have developed an app that gives case studies of some of the matters that David talked about and the headline message that we present to inward investors for inward investment. That app is now available to download. You can put it on your phone or iPad and then present the message. So, I encourage you to do that.
179. **Mr McQuillan:** That would be very useful. Do you capture any data on how long the jobs that you create actually last?
180. **Mr A Hamilton:** There are two elements to the offers that we make on selective financial assistance. One is what we call the earning period, which is typically five years, but it could be shorter. We monitor for all that period. There is a control period after that, and, usually, for two to three years after that, that employment must be maintained for that period of time. So, we measure and monitor across that whole window for all those thousands of letters of offer. Once they get to the end of that period, we do not do any more tracking. From an economic point of view, the business plan that was put together to put that money into the company states that, at that point, it has got economic value out of the investment that it has made. It

- will become very difficult to track every company beyond that point. Therefore, we track them all for a significant period of time, which is usually five to eight years.
181. **Mr McQuillan:** Do you collect the data on that so that they are available for anybody who is looking for them?
182. **Mr A Hamilton:** Yes, but we have the same difficulty in collating those data and putting them out in the same way as with the jobs created. However, the new system will allow us to do that.
183. **Mr McQuillan:** The report also shows that, while you have attracted jobs, some have had salaries that are below the Northern Ireland average. What are you doing to correct that?
184. **Mr A Hamilton:** The report looks back to the earlier two corporate plan periods, in which there is a reference to lots of call centres. If you turn to paragraph 3.42 in the report, you will see that the Audit Office states that we made significant progress in the third corporate plan where we set job quality targets. The Audit Office also recommended benchmarking in the previous corporate plan. No other agencies set job quality targets as part of their FDI. So, we have introduced that target as a result of the issues that were in the first two corporate plan periods.
185. It is fine for me to look back today, but going back to Mr McLaughlin's question, the most challenging target in our third corporate plan period was to deliver that quality measure. The challenge that we had was that that target was introduced in a day when the Programme for Government was put out, but our work-in-progress pipeline was full of projects that were based on the previous policy. We were not going to go back to those companies and say that the policy changed so we were not going to deliver that project any more. We had to flush those through and then see them through and very quickly refresh our pipeline with higher-value projects. So, in essence, we moved.
186. In the first year of that three-year corporate plan, only 55% of the jobs were above the private sector median. In the last year of that corporate plan, almost 90% were above the private sector median. That is the key measure that we now have. At the outset of my answer to the question, I quoted some numbers. To put it into context, in foreign direct investment, our average salary has gone up from under £25,000 in 2008 to £37,000 today. I know that you will hear the Minister and others talk about high-quality jobs. Those projects are delivering high-quality and £30,000 to £40,000 salaries for our graduates. So, the track record in the previous corporate plan shows that that issue has been firmly addressed.
187. **Mr McQuillan:** You said that there could be a three-year time lapse between a letter of offer and something happening on the ground. Why is that? Is there any way that we can speed that up? Who is at fault? Is it the companies?
188. **Mr A Hamilton:** It is not three years before something is done; it is three years until it is completed. Typically, a company will take a period of time over which it will build its plan. On average, the normal profile for job creation is about 15% of the jobs in year one. There is a big spike to 30% in year two, and then it tails off to 20% or 15% again. It depends on the project. R&D projects will create jobs more quickly, and selective financial assistance projects will create jobs over a longer period of time. However, I do not think that that causes a measurement complication. We absolutely need a constant flow of projects that are all layered on top of each other.
189. **Mr McQuillan:** I picked you up wrong on that. It thought that it was three years from the letter of offer before something happened.
190. **Mr A Hamilton:** No. We have speeded up our processes, and, in a lot of cases, we are giving prior approval to firms, which allows them to get on with a project. If we receive a business plan, they can get on with a project without

- risk while we assess the programme. David mentioned Allen and Overy. The announcement was made a couple of weeks ago that it was creating another 67 jobs beyond what it announced a year and a half ago. That is because it completed the project a year earlier than it originally thought. So, as we watch it at the minute, the timeline is shortening.
191. **Mr McQuillan:** I have one final point. In a question for written answer to the Minister in October 2012, I asked how many potential investors visited the 26 council areas. There was a total of 117 visits, which I thought was fairly good in the current economic climate. Some 82 of those visits were in Belfast and four were in Coleraine. There were none in Limavady. Is there anything that we can do in that area to help Invest to attract more people to that area?
192. **Mr A Hamilton:** The answer to that question is yes. We are working with quite a few councils, and I would be happy to work with Limavady Borough Council to do that. With regard to the progression of the app that I spoke about earlier, we are funding a bit of that development to work with councils to modify that app and customise it for their council area. So, within the confines of what we have in that software, you can now work and build a picture for Limavady, Lisburn or wherever. We are sales people, and we sell a very successful product. We need the collateral that is customised to that area that allows them to go out and promote Limavady as a destination for inward investment. That is available to Limavady to do.
193. **The Chairperson:** On the back of what Mr McQuillan said, I will not mention Strabane. I will leave that to my colleague Mr Hussey. To give credit where credit is due, I commend you for job quality and retention. The result is good news, and lessons will have been learned from the way in which that was achieved. What other shifts in your strategy have been successful for Invest NI?
194. **Mr A Hamilton:** The other thing that is referenced in the report is the significant performance on the R&D side. Although we have a much more direct causal link with job creation through the projects, it is not as direct on the R&D side. Companies need to invest in R&D. We are there to pump-prime and encourage. David quoted a real example of a small investment that led to a major project, but to see an increase in R&D, as we have seen it over the past five years, is a piece that other countries would die for. As a matter of fact, I will quote you an example. I was in Chile, south America, before Christmas, and I was asked to go along and speak at an innovation conference because somebody found out that Northern Ireland companies had moved that output measure that I talked about. Five years ago, 0.6% of our GVA was being spent on R&D. Today, it is 1.2%, and the UK average is 1.3%. So we have almost closed the gap on that. I went and spoke at that event. Chile is doing exactly the same thing. Today, 0.5% of its GVA is being spent on R&D. It wanted to learn from us about the programmes that we have put in place and how we can drive that forward.
195. I will give you another example of the progress that has been made in R&D and the support around that. The Audit Office rightly comments on COMPETE and START and some earlier programmes and some of the challenges around those, but it also acknowledges that the new grant for R&D is a less bureaucratic, more easy to access, more beneficial programme. Taken together with the job creation side, those are two of the major pieces that have driven us forward.
196. **The Chairperson:** Did you bring any investment back from Chile?
197. **Mr A Hamilton:** You would need to ask me that question in six or nine months' time.
198. **The Chairperson:** Well, we hope to hear that you did.

199. We will move on to disadvantage and social mobility.
200. **Mr Hussey:** I am delighted to have the opportunity to ask a few questions. You talked about working with councils. We have 26 district councils. How many councils are you working with and, more importantly, how many are you not working with?
201. **Mr A Hamilton:** The only one that is actively working with us at the minute on the development of a council-specific app is Lisburn, but a letter will be going to all the councils in the next week or so to formally invite them to do that. Anecdotally, I have spoken to some people and told them, as I have done here today, that the app is available. That is a very specific piece around that app.
202. The second piece that I want to point out, and this takes it back up to the strategic level, is that one of the most important things that we need to do as an organisation is to continue to build the relationship with councils. We have the review of public administration (RPA) coming down the track, which will see the transfer of some of our powers to the councils in respect of economic development. We have other issues, and I know that some people are aware of the local economic development funding mechanism. We have taken that on board and started to work very collaboratively with councils. We will put in one third of the funding, councils put in one third, and we draw one third from European funding. Over the past year and a half, that has been really successful on two fronts: we have put in place very subregional specific programmes in general areas; and it has built relationships between us and councils in the advance of RPA implementation and the transfer of those powers.
203. **Mr Hussey:** RPA is not my favourite subject, so do not get me going down that line.
204. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** Go on.
205. **Mr Hussey:** Will you confirm, before I move on, that you are working with all 26 councils?
206. **Mr A Hamilton:** Absolutely.
207. **Mr Hussey:** Pages 42 and 43 of the document look at the east and the west. You clearly identify the councils that you see as the west and the east. Belfast and Derry city district council areas fared well with inward investment from 2002 to 2008. However, several other district councils in the west received considerably lower levels of inward investment than the Northern Ireland average. One thing that particularly stuck with me was that page 44 states:
- “It is important to note that Invest NI cannot direct investors to specific areas”.*
208. You then make the point that you removed the Bombardier figures to show that, if that is discounted, there is only a 5% variance between the west and the east. If you take the Londonderry figure, which is £853 as opposed to the Northern Ireland average of £355, what sort of figure does that show for investment in the other councils in the west?
209. **Mr A Hamilton:** I do not have the breakdown of all the councils with me. I am going from the same information as you, so let me try to track some of it. The suggestion of removing Bombardier was not ours; it was the Audit Office’s.
210. **Mr Hussey:** That balances the figures. We can understand that, because Bombardier in Northern Ireland is well and good. However, east and west are showing two sets of figures. Clearly, the Derry district council area at £853 does not leave very much more for the other district council areas in the west. Or am I misreading that?
211. **Mr A Hamilton:** No, you are reading it correctly. If the east is similar to the west and you go to a subset of the west, which is Derry City Council, and it is proportionately more than the average —
212. **Mr Hussey:** Substantially.

213. **Mr A Hamilton:** Yes. It is twice the average of the east, so you wonder what is happening with the rest of them. I am happy to write to the Committee to give you a breakdown of all those different areas. I turn you back to the table on page 43. Let us leave aside the Bombardier bit. The important figure is the FDI jobs promoted per 10,000 population. You need to do pro rata to the population size. It does not answer your Derry question, but there is not a big difference between east and west: 158 —
214. **Mr Hussey:** I accept that, but the focus is on Derry and not the other parts of the west. Clearly, there might be other jobs, but they are going to one specific area. The Chair and I represent West Tyrone, which is the Strabane and Omagh district council areas. Clearly, I have a concern that that area and Fermanagh — even Coleraine, if we are going to chuck it in for the balance —
215. **Mr McQuillan:** Chuck us in anywhere you can.
216. **Mr Hussey:** We would if we could, believe me.
217. Clearly, there is an emphasis on Derry. I do not have a problem with that, but what about the other areas?
218. **Mr A Hamilton:** There is not an emphasis on one particular city. That goes back to the assumption that we have the ability to direct things wherever we want to. We do not. We encourage companies to come here. The plea that I made earlier was to get more specific messages and selling advantages for each of the subregional areas, whether it is the Assembly constituencies or the council areas, so that we can encourage companies to go and see them.
219. **Mr Hussey:** You made reference earlier to Tyrone — you got 10 points, by the way, for doing that — and the engineering groupings. Clearly, there are quite a lot. Once you head towards Omagh, you pass the likes of SRS Finlay and everything else on your way there. It clearly has a strong market to follow.
220. **Mr A Hamilton:** Infrastructure is important, too. We talked earlier about air routes and all the rest of it. We made a commitment to buy and make available land in your area for inward investment and indigenous companies to grow. On, I think, Friday week, I will be with some of the councillors down there to open that. We have quite a bit of interest in that facility already. There are things that we are trying to do. We need the councils to work with us to try to create the pull from there as well.
221. **Mr Hussey:** To go back to my question, then, are you content that you have a very good working relationship with the councils?
222. **Mr A Hamilton:** That is a different question from the one that you asked me before.
223. **Mr Hussey:** Well, I asked you before about the relationship that you had with the councils.
224. **Mr A Hamilton:** You asked whether I was working with them all. I am working with them all. Different councils have different relationships with us. Some seek the opportunity to work very closely with us and maximise the power of two people working together to get it, while other councils do so to a lesser extent. I have visited almost every council and have spoken to either their economic development committee or the full council over the past two and a half years. It is not for want of going round and trying to engage with them all. Some of them are large-scale councils and others are smaller scale. I do not want any of my comments here to be taken as a criticism of councils. I am not criticising them; I am making a statement of fact about what we are doing. We have a very positive working relationship with the councils, and I would seek to draw them in as much as I possibly can.
225. Through Transform — it is referenced in the report — we have gone a long way towards developing the virtual small business unit, as was envisaged in the Barnett review. When I reorganised my

- team on 1 April 2012, we reconstituted the focus for our regional office network very specifically to do that and get them engaged with the councils and the stakeholders to try to make that work as best we can.
226. **Mr Hussey:** I keep trying to draw you into a situation where we can find out whether there are councils that are not actively trying to push this forward. It is naming and shaming, in effect, because it is clear that, if we have a good working relationship, it is positive, and we can see that it is working well in certain areas. If there was negativity anywhere, and people are not giving of their best, I would rather know that here than have to look for it. The situation is that we are going to have RPA. I would spit, but I cannot because I would be thrown out. We currently have 26 district councils, and I would like to see all 26 working. I would like to see these figures improve, especially in my part of the west rather than in one specific area.
227. **Mr A Hamilton:** I understand.
228. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** But you are writing to all 26 councils in the coming weeks.
229. **Mr A Hamilton:** With the information about the app.
230. **Mr Hussey:** With a “return to sender”, probably.
231. **The Chairperson:** Thank you for that, Mr Hamilton. I make no apologies for being parochial about Strabane. That site looks absolutely fantastic. I pass it occasionally. How well do you work with MLAs? I did not get an invite for next Friday.
232. **Mr Hussey:** Neither did I, Chair. I am sure that, after today, ours will be in the post.
233. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** It probably went to the council.
234. **The Chairperson:** It probably did. I know that people from Strabane and other parts of West Tyrone will be listening to this discussion, so I cannot let you away without asking you what is going into that site. Do you any idea about that?
235. **Mr A Hamilton:** It is too early for me to say. The plans are with us and companies are talking to us, but it is too early for me to say anything concrete about who they are, except that I am encouraged.
236. **The Chairperson:** That is good.
237. **Mr Hussey:** He got away with that, didn't he? Unfortunately, I have to leave. Do not take offence; it is not because I did not get invited to Strabane. We might turn up anyway.
238. **Mr Rogers:** You are very welcome. Earlier, Mr Sterling said that the Audit Office could not provide benchmarking data, but also that Invest NI is keen to do its own benchmarking. Is it not your responsibility to get that data to ensure that your targets are up to scratch?
239. **Mr Sterling:** I am sorry; I may not have explained it clearly enough. The Audit Office report was completed at a time when the regional development agencies in England and Wales were still in existence. The Audit Office commented on the benchmarking work it did. I was saying that there are now fewer organisations in existence against which we can benchmark ourselves.
240. I firmly believe that benchmarking is important. It is always a good way of measuring how well you are performing, judged on what others who do similar things are doing. The Audit Office report recognises that the various agencies it refers to are doing slightly different things and doing things in different ways. Benchmarking is not straightforward, but that is not to say that we should not continue to try to do it.
241. **Mr Rogers:** OK. Paragraph 3.46 is about targeting social need. In the first two corporate plans, you had a target to locate 75% of new FDI projects in new targeting social need areas. For the third plan, it was a target of 70%. However, it is 70% within 10 miles of a disadvantaged area. One could argue, for example, that north Down is 10

- miles from east Belfast, and so on. You said that the Programme for Government may have some effect on that, but is there any other evidence for moving to that type of target?
242. **Mr Sterling:** I referred earlier to the independent review of economic policy, which is acknowledged in the report. The IREP report, which the Minister accepted, concluded that you cannot really push an investor to locate in one area or another. We are still very keen on that. Alastair talked about the steps taken to encourage investors to locate in areas of disadvantage, and the record is reasonably good. We always want it to be better, but the report recognises that 92% of projects were located within 10 miles of a disadvantaged area. It leaves you questioning the validity of that.
243. We are now focused on a more balanced approach through the economic strategy. We are still seeking to encourage companies to locate where the need is greatest. Alongside that, we have DEL's focus on employability; that is, helping people who have been out of work, whether for a short or long period, to develop the skills that allow them to get jobs wherever they are. A more holistic approach is being taken now. However, I do not want to downplay the difficulty of tackling the issues, particularly with those who have been unemployed for a long period or who have been economically inactive and are now looking to get back into the job market. There are huge challenges.
244. **Mr Rogers:** Do you have any data on the number of people from disadvantaged areas who have taken up a position with the companies?
245. **Mr Sterling:** That issue was raised at the time of the PAC's inquiry into the Valence report. Our view at the time was that it is extremely difficult to ask companies to provide information on the location of their staff. There are data protection issues. Alastair or Mel might want to amplify that, but that was looked at in detail before.
246. **Mr Chittock:** It was. We looked at it as part of the Valence review. One of the challenges is that putting a restrictive covenant in a letter of offer is not acceptable to many investors. It is also about the collation of information. If we collected information on individual employees, such as their addresses and postcodes, there would potentially be breaches of the Data Protection Act, and, therefore, we cannot compel companies to provide that information.
247. **Mr Rogers:** The 2000 report from the PAC at Westminster said that attempts should be made to collect that type of data. Do you have any further comment on that? It is at point 8 in the eighteenth report on the effectiveness of IDB's inward investment programme.
248. **Mr Chittock:** Recommendation 8 in the memorandum of reply says:
"IDB will continue to encourage new inward investment projects to locate in the areas of greatest disadvantage".
249. That had published targets for 75% of inward investment projects to be in new targeting social need areas, as they were described then.
250. **Mr Rogers:** It also says that steps will be taken to measure how many jobs are taken up by people from those areas.
251. **Mr Chittock:** I do not have a reference to that. I can go back —
252. **Mr Sterling:** It goes back to an earlier PAC report.
253. **Mr Rogers:** That was from 2000.
254. **Mr Sterling:** The MOR for the Valence report records our view that, based on the work that had been done in that area before, we did not believe that it was realistic to ask companies to provide that type of information. Although there is no specific target, we still measure where jobs promoted are located. That information is available.
255. **Mr Rogers:** Paragraph 3.8 of the Audit Office's report states:
"Post 2013, Invest NI may be unable to offer Selective Financial Assistance to client

- companies due to changes in Northern Ireland's Regional Aid Status."*
256. How is Invest NI addressing that?
257. **Mr Sterling:** This is a big challenge for us, and we have been working very hard in recent times on that issue. Last year, the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, after a consultation, changed the legislation and removed Northern Ireland's guarantee to 100% assisted area status. So, that is no longer provided for in legislation. That does not mean that we will not be granted such status, but the latest draft regional aid guidance from the EU has two concerns for us. First, it does not provide any special status for Northern Ireland. Secondly, across Europe, it will remove the right for states to provide support to companies that have more than 250 employees. That is quite a concern for us, because it will limit Invest's ability to support larger companies or to encourage larger companies to locate here. The draft guidance also proposes reductions in the intensity rates to 20% for medium companies and 30% for small companies. Again, that means that Invest's ability to support would be much reduced. The biggest concern, however, is that if we do not get 100% assisted area status, choices would need to be made about which areas of Northern Ireland could have selective financial assistance.
258. We are resisting this on a variety of levels, from ministerial down. The First Minister and deputy First Minister raised the issue with Commissioner Hahn at the end of January. I accompanied my Minister to a meeting with Commissioner Almunia just before Christmas. Commissioner Almunia recognised our particular circumstances. He effectively said that the argument that Northern Ireland should continue to have 100% assisted area status was won for him but that, essentially, that would be a choice for the Westminster Government. We continue to lobby Vince Cable at ministerial level and using our MPs and MEPs. There have also been briefings. All forms of lobbying will continue to be necessary. The new guidance will be agreed some time this year and will apply from January next year. It is a big issue for us at the moment.
259. **Mr Rogers:** Will that mean that a bigger effort will be needed to address that, particularly in disadvantaged areas?
260. **Mr Sterling:** If the draft guidance is unchanged, the next challenge will be to persuade the Westminster Government to give us sufficient of the UK share to allow us to have 100% assisted area status in Northern Ireland. If we do not win that argument, the challenge after that will be to decide where best to use whatever population share we get. That could be 75%, and the worst case scenario may be only 50%. So, there would be big challenges in deciding where you would get the best effect from the coverage that you have.
261. Alongside that, if we are no longer able to provide selective financial assistance to larger firms, that will limit the offer we have. If it is the same across Europe, which is what is proposed at the moment, there should be a level playing field. However, our argument to Europe and in Westminster is that we need help to improve our competitiveness. We have a land border with a country that has low corporation tax, and that is a considerable handicap for us. In a sense, that argument has been accepted in Europe, but we still have to win it in Westminster.
262. **Mr Rogers:** And as a firm supporter of local economic development, very much so. I would not want the impression to get out that the west is the only place that is disadvantaged. Do not forget about south Down as well. Although we would like a lot more, I acknowledge that the investment of Invest NI throughout south Down has kept us afloat.
263. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** Sean touched on my point. The measure for encouraging investment in a 10-mile radius encourages a certain amount of cynicism among those who live in socially and economically deprived areas. I know that there are a number of

- issues around judgements that investors make, including, I suppose, the availability of suitable accommodation or sites that have, or are likely to receive, planning permission. Those are all germane issues.
264. Perhaps rather than placing a 10-mile circle around areas of deprivation, we should consider how difficult it is for workers or people of working age in those areas to get to the jobs. Perhaps we can look at a measurement that would permit selective assistance under European employment legislation to encourage or incentivise. If you come at it from the point of the view of investors or firms, I suspect that Europe would be very tetchy about state assistance, no matter how camouflaged it was. There might be more flexibilities and opportunities to facilitate people with a background of social and economic deprivation to access work opportunities.
265. **Mr Sterling:** I do not want to be drawn on the detail of the DEL scheme —
266. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** I am planting an idea that you might want to consider and that we might discuss further.
267. **Mr Sterling:** That may be worth looking at. I know that some of the DEL schemes that are trying to improve people's employability — they may only be pilots — have looked at issues in and around how to get people to where the jobs are. There are issues around transportation, the use of public transport and all the rest. Perhaps we can look at that with DEL again.
268. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** Yes, in an overall sense. I think that it would be helpful to map out potential sites where appropriate accommodation could be constructed. That would rule particular areas in or out, irrespective of other incentives or supports. An element of integration in how we try to plan to target an area such as Strabane, Coleraine, or wherever, is perhaps absent from our present offering.
269. **Mr Sterling:** We will take that away and look at it.
270. **Mr Mitchel McLaughlin:** Thank you.
271. **The Chairperson:** That would be very helpful. Just before I let Mr McKay in, have you or the Government — perhaps together — commissioned any research to project the likely impacts of welfare reform?
272. **Mr Sterling:** All Departments are engaged in looking at the impact of welfare reform, so, yes, there has been some work. I cannot give you anything concrete that has emerged from that from our perspective.
273. **The Chairperson:** We may well have more disadvantaged areas than we have at present.
274. **Mr Sterling:** I will look into that. If I think there is anything relevant, I will drop a line to the Committee.
275. **The Chairperson:** Mr McKay, you wanted to ask about self-assessment and benchmarking.
276. **Mr McKay:** Thanks, Chair. The benchmarking has been touched on.
277. We all represent a number of small businesses in our respective constituencies, and Invest NI's focus on small businesses has been a matter of contention in the past. In his report, Barnett recommended setting up a small business unit in Invest NI. When will that be set up?
278. **Mr A Hamilton:** It has been set up. I mentioned it a few minutes ago. It is a virtual small business unit.
279. It is not just down to Invest NI to become engaged in that way. When answering the previous question, I talked about the councils, the local enterprise agencies and Invest NI, and others should be involved, such as the chambers of commerce. The specific reason that I call it a virtual small business unit is that we need to build a connection between the two groups, notwithstanding that things will move under RPA, but it needs to —
280. **Mr McKay:** Is that the kind of small business unit that Barnett referred to?

281. **Mr A Hamilton:** I think that he specifically wanted us to go back to a point at which we ring-fenced a group of people with all the support mechanisms that are needed just to do small business. I think that there are more benefits to the organisation by not going down that path, because you will duplicate resources in your back office.
282. **Mr McKay:** Yes, but you get that focus.
283. **Mr A Hamilton:** You do. However, I firmly believe that we can get that focus through the virtual small business unit.
284. Importantly, one of Barnett's other recommendations was that we should offer our service right across the business community and not just to a select group of 2,500 businesses. That has been the most fundamental change in the organisation over the past two or three years, and that is now up and running. We have developed a multichannel strategy. Some of that is done through partners and some of it is done through the internet at www.nibusinessinfo.co.uk. We also operate a telephone-based service for the Boosting Business programme that you will have seen. That has delivered thousands of inbound enquires, over 90% of which have come from companies that we would not traditionally have dealt with in the past. We also have a face-to-face account management piece for the large, high-growth companies. We now have a range of services.
285. In an answer to a previous question, I mentioned that we have refocused our regional office network to look after small businesses. For example, in Coleraine, which was mentioned a moment ago, I co-located my staff in the council offices as part of a pilot scheme. The lease was up and we did not take up a new lease. Instead, we put staff into the council offices to build a better working relationship between us and the council. That environment works so much better. Ultimately, we may move even further down that path, and DEL and others have expressed an interest in having a completely joined-up approach to economic development, with all the stakeholders around the table.
286. Although it is a virtual small business unit, dedicated targets are given to dedicated people in our organisation to support locally based, indigenous firms. I gave you some numbers earlier, but we should recognise the success —
287. **Mr McKay:** What are the headline targets for that?
288. **Mr A Hamilton:** Let us reverse. An earlier question was about how job targets have progressed over the corporate plan period. Let me just track that. In the first two corporate plan periods, the only job targets embedded in our operating plan were FDI projects that were brand new to Northern Ireland. Indigenous firms were ignored. The third corporate plan period still had no indigenous firm targets in it, but it had a target for reinvestment of foreign direct investment. In the latest corporate plan, for 2011 to 2015, there has been a movement to cover all four areas. I personally, and the executive team and the regional office teams corporately, have targets for new jobs in indigenous firms across Northern Ireland. That goes back to the point about targeting people in the small business community. I quoted the numbers to you earlier, and the breakdown of the assistance that we offered from 2008 to 2011 was 59% to local firms and 41% to international firms. Last year —
289. **Mr McKay:** Was that for offers?
290. **Mr A Hamilton:** That is financial —
291. **Mr McKay:** Actual?
292. **Mr A Hamilton:** The breakdown in the offers is a more stark piece. In the last period, 94% of our offers and 73% of our support went to local firms. Therefore, we have moved from the position in 2006 when it was 50:50 to last year when it was 73:27.
293. **Mr McKay:** What is that specifically?
294. **Mr A Hamilton:** That is that 73% of our financial support offered to companies in 2011-12 went to local firms.

295. **Mr McKay:** OK. What about money that was given as opposed to offered?
296. **Mr A Hamilton:** That will be tracked in a future period. We were talking about offers being made.
297. We have made a significant move in our structures to support local small businesses. We are developing partnership models with local enterprise agencies and councils, we have the LED measure that I talked about earlier, and we have now have targets. Of the 25,000 new jobs that are targeted in the Programme for Government, you can track right down to how many are being targeted at local companies.
298. **Mr McKay:** We have had the debate about jobs promoted and job created. There is also the issue about the assistance that is offered and what money follows through. It is important that the Committee get figures on what has been given. I understand absolutely that there is a new corporate plan and that it will take time for that to roll out. However, it would be good to have an overview of what offers were followed through on completely in the past five years. That would be beneficial.
299. **Mr A Hamilton:** OK.
300. **Mr McKay:** Paragraph 5.2 of the Audit Office report refers to benchmarks:
“Invest NI told us that it intends to use a mix of research using published information from similar agencies ... and qualitative data possibly drawn from ... surveys to establish benchmarks.”
301. Has that been followed through on?
302. **Mr A Hamilton:** I gave a presentation to the Invest NI board last month that set a framework for how we will proceed to benchmark ourselves in two distinct areas: efficiency and effectiveness. How we take forward that work was approved by the board.
303. It is fair to acknowledge the work that the Audit Office has done. Quite apart from this report, there is a lengthy benchmarking report from PwC that fed into it. There was a lot of information in there on benchmarks, such as admin costs versus programme costs, and admin costs as a percentage of jobs created. Those are the sorts of benchmarks that we took from that report and put into the proposal that went to the board. We now move to the next step to find someone who will be able to provide the benchmarking data for us on an ongoing basis so that we can track data in the same way in which we track our job performance.
304. **Mr McKay:** Paragraph 5.10 refers to the new assistance model, which was at an advanced stage at the time of publication. It states:
“Invest NI envisage that funding will be weighted towards the customer segments which will make the greatest contribution to GVA, R&D and export growth.”
305. That comes back to the point that I made about small businesses with fewer than 50 employees or 10 employees. Are those businesses not the least likely to tick the box on research and development? Is the assistance model not weighted towards larger businesses and FDI? Perhaps that adds to the argument that small businesses should be treated separately, with a real unit as opposed to a virtual one.
306. **Mr A Hamilton:** I cannot remember the numbers, but I think that targets have been the right way in which to treat the small business piece. I am a strong believer in maximising the efficiencies of shared resources and services, and then create targets for the people at the front end. Although we do not have a separate small business unit, I have a separate team of people focused on small business.
307. Mel can keep me right here, but on your question on R&D, a target in our corporate plan states that the percentage of our support going to small businesses must be greater than 30%.
308. **Mr Chittock:** Twenty per cent.
309. **Mr A Hamilton:** Twenty per cent. Last year was the first year in which we ran that, and we are currently running at

- almost 50%. Therefore, almost 50% of our R&D support is going into small businesses.
310. **Mr McKay:** Small businesses below —
311. **Mr A Hamilton:** Businesses below 250 employees, which is the EU definition. Strategically, I believe that we can achieve the objectives that were indicated in the independent review around maintaining the focus on small business more efficiently and effectively within a combined unit but one that has separate targets at the front end.
312. **Mr McKay:** How many small businesses benefited from that 50% of your R&D support?
313. **Mr A Hamilton:** I do not have those numbers, but I am happy to provide you with that information.
314. **The Chairperson:** OK, thank you, members. This has been a very interesting hearing for members and, I am sure, for you. This is an important and complex area that involves large expenditure. We all want to see the local economy perform strongly, and businesses being assisted by Invest NI in securing sustainable jobs and investment projects that will benefit everybody. We want to get the best possible deals out of those.
315. We look forward to seeing further improvement in Invest NI's performance, which will help to deliver the outcomes that we discussed. Through more transparent and realistic target-setting, we would also like to see it delivering public confidence in its results. Public perception is, I suppose, nine tenths of reality. I am sure that members around the table will have their own stories to tell about Invest NI from their constituents. Bad news travels fast, and I think that you alluded to that earlier, Mr Sterling. However, there is a lot of good news out there, and that is what we have to get out. I know that you are continuing to work on the targets that you have set and on the outcomes that you expect to achieve.
316. Mr Hamilton, if I were to give you 30 seconds as chief executive to sell the targets and the outcomes that you have set, as well as the benchmarking, what you would say that would sum up Invest NI's performance to date?
317. **Mr A Hamilton:** I should probably have kept my comments until the end. I will not repeat the comments that I made at the start, but this report shows that in the previous corporate plan period — I can bring you right up to date with some of the information that I have given the Committee today — the organisation's performance has delivered substantially on foreign direct investment. David mentioned some of the companies that are here that other countries around the world, never mind provinces, would die for to have in their grasp.
318. Our quality has gone up, our costs have gone down, and the brand names that we have attracted in the sectors that we have targeted have been exemplary. The benchmark, for me, is that with 3% of the UK population we have attracted 7% of the UK's foreign direct investment. That is a benchmark of our performance against the rest of the UK.
319. We have set ourselves a very strong target for indigenous company support and for driving and supporting small businesses. The changes that I have outlined in our approach to dealing with those companies are already receiving feedback. We are seen as a much more proactive than reactive organisation, which was one of the strategic goals that I set myself when I joined the organisation. You can see the benchmarks of where we have moved to in the form of the financial support that we have offered.
320. I have already said my piece about where research and development has gone. The final element is trade. It is the biggest objective for this organisation and for the Executive moving forward. I should applaud the Ministers and others who go out to visit foreign countries to profile what we are trying to do collectively to drive trade in overseas markets. Our big goal is to have our

region much more outward-looking and internationally connected in order to increase exports from our companies and be able to do exactly what I was talking about at the outset, which is to close the productivity gap.

321. **The Chairperson:** You mentioned bringing costs down. What are you doing to bring down the cost of the jobs?
322. **Mr A Hamilton:** I do not want to get into the fine detail of the cost per job. However, it is something that we track very closely. The average cost per job from our projects in 2008-09 was over £11,000, and in the year just exited, it was £5,000. That gives you an indication of how significantly the project costs are reducing.
323. Some of that has been driven by the changes in the regional and state aid provisions. The additionality debate in here — we did not get into that today, and I do not want to open it now — was a strong debate that we had with previous Public Accounts Committees. It is about challenging companies to make sure that we were putting only the money on the table that was needed to close the project. That has been delivered.
324. My final point is about efficiency. On the benchmark that I gave earlier on — the administrative cost of running our organisation as a percentage of our programme cost — we are significantly better than the Scottish and the Irish organisations that were benchmarked.
325. If you look holistically at performance against targets, the efficiency of the organisation and the strategic direction that we are taking, there is undoubtedly more that we want to do, but we are in a much better place than we were.
326. **The Chairperson:** Thank you all very much. There were a number of requests for written information that the Committee Clerk will forward to you.



Northern Ireland
Assembly

Appendix 3

Correspondence

Chairperson's Letter of 15 February 2013 to Mr David Sterling

Room 371
Parliament Buildings
Ballymiscaw
BELFAST
BT4 3XX

Tel: (028) 9052 1208

Fax: (028) 9052 0366

E: pac.committee@niassembly.gov.uk

Aoibhinn.Treanor@niassembly.gov.uk

David Sterling
Accounting Officer
Department of Enterprise, Trade
and Investment
Netherleigh
Massey Avenue
Belfast BT4 2JP

15 February 2013

Cc Alastair Hamilton, CEO Invest NI

Dear David,

Evidence Session on Invest NI: A Performance Review

Thank you for your participation in the Committee's evidence session on Wednesday.

As the Committee agreed I would be grateful if you could provide the following information.

- 1) The 48 targets against which the Chief Executive's personal performance related pay is assessed, setting out for each whether it is a business target and whether it is in the public domain; the rationale for not publishing all personal targets given the new arrangements for permanent secretaries' targets; and why there are so many targets for one individual.
- 2) An overview for the last two corporate plan periods of Invest NI's clawback regime, setting out the number and percentage of overall contracts that have contained a clawback provision; the typical percentage of clawback built in to Invest NI contracts; a comparison between the total potential clawback, the amount actually requested and the amount received; and the number of cases in which Invest NI has sought to recover funds.
- 3) A summary of the analysis undertaken by SQW Consultants and Ashton Business School measuring Invest NI's effectiveness in terms of the increase in employment of the workforce of companies assisted between 2005 and 2011 (compared to those not in receipt of assistance).
- 4) An overview of the internal Invest NI midterm review process detailing the significance this is given in the Department's target-setting advice to the Executive.
- 5) Further to table 15 of the Audit Office report, a breakdown for each council area of assistance offered by Invest NI between 2002 and 2011.

- 6) An overview of the work undertaken by the Department to establish the potential impact of Welfare Reform on existing statistics relied on to categorise areas of social disadvantage.
- 7) A summary of the key performance targets of the virtual small business unit and performance against them to date.
- 8) An analysis by year detailing the number of offers issued to small businesses for the last 5 years and of those, how many businesses took up the offer of assistance.
- 9) You indicated that 50% of R&D assistance goes to small businesses. Please confirm the number of businesses that this equates to.
- 10) Updated figures for the net job movement across all of INI's client companies suggest a net loss of 5,800 jobs between 2008 and 2010. Please provide details of net job movement across all Invest NI clients between 2002 and 2011.
- 11) Has INI measured job sustainability, and if so, how?
- 12) What reliable evidence is there to demonstrate that assistance is progressively being directed towards more durable projects?
- 13) The five largest recipients of financial assistance received £144 million and committed to providing investment of over £587 million in return between 2002 and 2008. (Table 20 of INI's Performance Information Report for the period 2002 to 2008). How many jobs were created? How much investment was secured from these funded projects? How many jobs were lost in those companies during the period?
- 14) An evaluation by DETI established additionality at 50% based on the period 1998 to 2004. What is the latest estimate of additionality in Selective Financial Assistance?
- 15) How often has additionality been measured since 2008; and how often does the Department plan to assess it?
- 16) Please provide more detail on the unsuccessful project referred to in the evidence session in which 870 jobs were promised but none created. Please address when the letter of offer was issued; to what company; and why no jobs were secured.

I copy this letter to Alastair and trust that you will together respond to the Committee's queries. If you have datamarking concerns about any of the content of your reply, please state clearly your request for sensitive handling and the rationale for this. I would appreciate receipt of your co-ordinated reply by Monday 1 March 2013.

Yours sincerely,



Michaela Boyle

Chairperson
Public Accounts Committee

Correspondence of 6 March 2013 from Mr David Sterling

From the Permanent Secretary

David Sterling
Netherleigh
Massey Avenue
BELFAST BT4 2JP

Telephone: (028) 9052 9441
Facsimile: (028) 9052 9545
Email: david.sterling@detini.gov.uk
janice.hill@detini.gov.uk

Our ref: PS DETI 014 /13
Your ref Ms Michaela Boyle MLA

Chairperson
Public Accounts Committee
Room 371
Parliament Buildings
BELFAST BT4 3XX

6 March 2013

Dear Ms Boyle

Invest NI: A Performance Review

Thank you for your letter of 15 February which you copied to Alastair Hamilton. I am providing a composite response on behalf of us both. For ease of reference I have included the original request above each response.

- 1) *The 48 targets against which the Chief Executive's personal performance related pay is assessed, setting out for each whether it is a business target and whether it is in the public domain; the rationale for not publishing all personal targets given the new arrangements for permanent secretaries' targets; and why there are so many targets for one individual.*

I shall respond to the Committee in relation to this request under separate cover.

- 2) *An overview for the last two corporate plan periods of Invest NI's clawback regime, setting out the number and percentage of overall contracts that have contained a clawback provision; the typical percentage of clawback built in to Invest NI contracts; a comparison between the total potential clawback, the amount actually requested and the amount received; and the number of cases in which Invest NI has sought to recover funds.*

All of Invest NI's standard offers contain a claw-back provision that allows recovery of up to 100% of grant assistance paid. In cases where Invest NI has no option but to invoke a claw-back clause, the determined amount is always invoiced in full. Any subsequent waivers & write-offs are processed individually on a case by case basis subject to relevant approvals.

(i) Offers & Clawback Provision

Year	Offers Issued	Including Clawback Provision	% Of Offers Containing A Clawback Provision
2008-09	1,489	1,489	100.0%
2009-10	1,857	1,857	100.0%
2010-11	1,132	1,116	98.6%*
2011-12	2,371	2,355	99.3%*
2012 (Apr to Dec)	2,175	2,175	100.0%
Total	9,024	8,992	99.6%

*The small number of offers issued without claw-back provision relate to specific cases to encourage individuals through "intermediate" stages of business development i.e. from business ideas to actual business operation. Any such offer is subject to specific review and approval.

(ii) Clawback Recovered

Year	No Clawback Cases	Opening Gross Clawback Debtor Balance	Clawback Invoiced	Clawback Amount Received	Clawback Amounts Nor Recoverable / Written Off	Closing Gross Clawback Debtor Balance
2008-09	55	17,922,825	21,679,407	11,839,590	3,661,686	24,100,956
2009-10	48	24,100,956	3,218,004	8,781,648	1,850,812	16,686,500
2010-11	67	16,686,500	5,087,541	692,573	2,410,099	18,671,370
2011-12	57	18,671,370	3,631,148	1,860,111	1,582,476	18,859,931
2012 (Apr to Dec)	33	18,859,931	1,817,206	1,624,852	2,981,225	16,071,060
Total	260	96,241,582	35,433,306	24,798,774	12,486,297	94,389,817

3) *A summary of the analysis undertaken by SQW Consultants and Ashton Business School measuring Invest NI's effectiveness in terms of the increase in employment of the workforce of companies assisted between 2005 and 2011 (compared to those not in receipt of assistance).*

Extensive work was completed in 2011/12 linking Invest NI data relating to business in receipt of Selective Financial Assistance (SFA) with details of these same businesses collected through statutory-based business surveys. A report has been published which has been independently validated which indicates that:

- i) The period 2008/09 to 2010/11 was associated with a shift to the promotion of new jobs from safeguarding jobs, and a slight increase in both the number and value of offers to external businesses compared to locally owned businesses.
- ii) During 2008/09 to 2010/11, the average value of SFA to externally owned businesses remained substantially higher than the average value of SFA to locally owned businesses.

- iii) The pattern of SFA assistance over the 2008-11 corporate plan cycle (in terms of the relative proportions of capital grant, revenue grant, loans and shares) remained broadly the same as that seen previously.
 - iv) Overall, the 2008-11 period saw an increase in the value of total investment assisted by Invest NI when compared to earlier periods.
 - v) Businesses in receipt of an offer of SFA, compared to businesses not in receipt of offers of SFA were typically those having: larger numbers of employees; higher overall employment costs; higher employment per head costs; much higher GVA per head; being more likely to be companies/partnerships; more likely to be an externally owned business; and to achieve higher value of turnover, purchases, sales and exports.
 - vi) Whilst both businesses in, and not in, receipt of offers of SFA had similar age profiles, the manufacturing sector received a higher proportion of offers of SFA compared to the service sector given the sectoral mix of the entire business population. In 2008/09, 41% of all offers were to manufacturing businesses compared to 43% of offers in 2009/10.
 - vii) Longitudinal analyses of two groups of businesses in receipt of an offer of SFA during 2008/09 or 2009/10, or in receipt of an offer in the period 2005/06 to 2007/08, demonstrated greater growth in their employee numbers, mean GVA, value of exports, value of total sales, and value of expenditure on R&D compared to two comparator groups of businesses not in receipt of offers of SFA.
- 4) *An overview of the internal Invest NI midterm review process detailing the significance this is given in the Department's target-setting advice to the Executive.*

The Economic Strategy and the Programme for Government (PfG) provide the strategic context that sets the direction for the development of current Invest NI targets. The current headline targets for DETI within the Economic Strategy and PfG are also Invest NI Corporate Plan targets and have been agreed unanimously by the Executive and endorsed by the NI Assembly.

Invest NI Corporate Plan targets, not included within the PfG, are also set for a defined period (usually three or four years) and are agreed with the DETI Minister. In all cases annual targets are set for each year of a Corporate Plan period. These milestones are identified in annual Operating Plans. Progress towards delivery of Operating Plan targets is reviewed monthly by Invest NI's Executive Leadership Team and quarterly by DETI at Oversight & Liaison meetings.

Annual targets are reviewed and, if considered necessary, amended as part of the process leading to agreement of Invest NI's annual Operating Plan. Any changes to annual targets reflected within the Invest NI Operating Plan will be discussed with and agreed by DETI and the DETI Minister.

Changes to annual targets will usually reflect over/under performance with respect to previous year's operational targets. Amendment as a result of over performance ensures that Invest NI and its teams will continue to work towards meaningful, stretching annual targets. Equally, where there is under performance in a particular year, operating plan targets in subsequent years can be amended to ensure the overall Corporate Plan target can still be delivered.

With respect to those commitments included within the Programme for Government, a Delivery Plan for each commitment has been agreed between Invest NI and the DETI Minister. These have been endorsed by the PfG Delivery Oversight Group (Permanent Secretaries Group) & the PfG Programme Board (First Minister, Deputy First Minister & DFP Minister).

Progress against the delivery of DETI/Invest NI's PfG commitments is monitored on a quarterly basis by DETI through Oversight & Liaison meetings. This includes an assessment of progress against each Invest NI commitment; detail as to what has been delivered in the

previous quarter; and what activity is planned over the short/medium term. Where there is evidence that performance is beginning to slip behind agreed milestones, there is a particular focus on setting out the reasons for any slippage and setting out any additional remedial action that will be undertaken to recover any shortfall.

Following Ministerial agreement, a quarterly update on progress against all commitments is passed to the OFMDFM Central Reporting Team which reviews all PfG updates and reports on progress to the Delivery Oversight Group and the Executive's PfG Programme Board. At all stages, reports must be agreed and, further additional information with respect to the reasons for slippage or further details of remedial action can be sought. Following agreement at the PfG Programme Board, an update on performance is provided to the ETI Committee and published on the PfG website.

It should be noted that PfG Delivery Plans are treated as living documents and, as progress is reviewed on a regular basis, they are subject to amendment to reflect changing circumstances. However, any changes to commitments must be agreed by the Executive. There have been no changes to any of the DETI commitments identified within the PfG to date and, should the need arise with respect to any DETI commitments, these will be scrutinised by the Department before it is brought to the Executive for approval.

- 5) *Further to table 15 of the Audit Office report, a breakdown for each council area of assistance offered by Invest NI between 2002 and 2011.*

This information is attached at **Appendix 1**.

- 6) *An overview of the work undertaken by the Department to establish the potential impact of Welfare Reform on existing statistics relied on to categorise areas of social disadvantage.*

From 1 April 2011, the responsibility for the collection of data and production of official labour market and economic statistics transferred from the Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment to the Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA), an agency of the Department of Finance and Personnel (DFP). NISRA is primarily responsible for producing the statistics and the spatial information around the issue of multiple deprivation across NI. It would now be for NISRA to consider any impact of Welfare Reform on underlying data sources which map spatial deprivation.

- 7) *A summary of the key performance targets of the virtual small business unit and performance against them to date.*

The 'virtual small business unit' effectively represents all that Invest NI does for small business development and growth across the organisation and, in this respect, some of the key performance goals are:

- a) **Work in partnership with local councils to encourage capability development in small businesses.** Invest NI has 41 projects underway across all Councils in NI; committing almost £9m investment and leveraging £4.3m European funding. All projects are focussed on small business development at local level. A further 67 projects have also already been identified for development in 2013/14.
- b) **Introduction of a dedicated Business Support Team:** A new team has been established to provide an advice and signposting service to meet the needs of all businesses but specifically those which are locally focused and have been able to avail of Invest NI advice or support in the past. The team has taken circa 10,000 enquiries since April 2012 and research indicates that the vast majority of queries have been from small businesses that have not previously contacted Invest NI.
- c) **Development of "small business versions" of Invest NI's product suite:** Invest NI is continually reviewing its products and processes and amending / developing these to better suit the needs of small businesses. This is an ongoing process but some of the

most recent examples include the development of a specific small business version of Invest NI's training & development grant scheme and the introduction of a new loan fund aimed specifically at small and micro businesses.

d) Dedicated resources at regional office level to engage with the wider business base:

Invest NI now has a dedicated resource in each of its five regional offices to interact with the wider business base, the majority of which tend to be small businesses. This work is complimentary to that of the Business Support Team and provides telephone, web and face to face access to many small businesses that would not previously have met Invest NI criteria for support

- 8) *An analysis by year detailing the number of offers issued to small businesses for the last 5 years and of those, how many businesses took up the offer of assistance.*

This information is attached at **Appendix 2**.

- 9) *You indicated that 50% of R&D assistance goes to small businesses. Please confirm the number of businesses that this equates to.*

Between 1st April 2012 and 31 January 2013 there were 162 offers of R&D assistance to SMEs and 25 to non SMEs.

- 10) *Updated figures for the net job movement across all of INI's client companies suggest a net loss of 5,800 jobs between 2008 and 2010. Please provide details of net job movement across all Invest NI clients between 2002 and 2011.*

The updated figures in relation to employment change relate to the period 2005-2011. This work was carried out by Aston Business School and shows that businesses assisted by Invest NI during the period 2005-2011 created 34,389 jobs. This was offset by some clients reducing their employment by 20,282, resulting in an overall net increase of 14,107.

This analysis reveals a significant difference between the performance of Invest NI assisted businesses and the rest of the private sector. It showed that during the period 2005-2011, Invest NI assisted businesses increased their employment by 17.6%, compared to a 7.8% decline in private sector non-assisted businesses.

- 11) *Has INI measured job sustainability, and if so, how? (Answered along with 12 below).*

- 12) *What reliable evidence is there to demonstrate that assistance is progressively being directed towards more durable projects?*

In order to ensure the durability of projects supported under the Selective Financial Assistance scheme, Invest NI assesses the viability and sustainability of individual projects as part of the appraisal process and prior to any offer of assistance being made. In addition, for employment related projects there is a standard five year control period against which the project is monitored to ensure that it delivers the objectives that were outlined in its business plan.

- 13) *The five largest recipients of financial assistance received £144 million and committed to providing investment of over £587 million in return between 2002 and 2008. (Table 20 of INI's Performance Information Report for the period 2002 to 2008). How many jobs were created? How much investment was secured from these funded projects? How many jobs were lost in those companies during the period?*

Assistance Offered

Name	Assistance £	Investment £	New Jobs	Safe Jobs
ALMAC Group Limited	31,502,521	111,496,974	307	
Bombardier Aerospace - Short Brothers PLC	30,234,211	160,412,668	0	1,476
Citibank International PLC	20,404,485	54,642,884	999	0
Seagate Technology (Ireland)	37,602,006	197,596,037	343	2,896
Queen's University	24,808,103	64,177,958	0	
Total	144,551,326	588,326,521	1,649	4,372

It should be noted that the assistance offered as outlined in the table above spans the full range of Invest NI support and, as such, not all of this support would have had associated new job promotion targets. For example, all of the offers of support made to Queen's University during the period were specifically for Research and Development and therefore had no associated employment promotion milestones.

Invest NI does not hold information that would allow an accurate assessment of overall changes in each company's total employment levels over this period and, as such, we are unable to provide an accurate assessment of the total number of jobs that may have been lost over the period in question.

- 14) *An evaluation by DETI established additionality at 50% based on the period 1998 to 2004. What is the latest estimate of additionality in Selective Financial Assistance?*

For clarification, the previous SFA evaluation did not provide a single figure estimate of additionality. It was the Independent Review of Economic Policy (IREP) that utilised the results from the previous SFA evaluation to generate a figure of 50%, and the methodology used to calculate this is highlighted in the IREP report. An updated SFA evaluation covering the period 2004-10 is currently being carried out and will provide an updated estimate of additionality over the period. DETI has not produced any other estimates of SFA additionality since the previous evaluation.

- 15) *How often has additionality been measured since 2008; and how often does the Department plan to assess it?*

Each SFA project is subject to a post project evaluation where additionality is one of the key issues assessed. Client executives carry out PPEs on smaller projects (which includes looking at the counterfactual and revisiting the NPV analysis from the appraisal), whilst larger projects are evaluated externally. DETI undertakes test drilling which provides a view on how additionality is assessed across a sample of PPEs. However, no overarching assessment of programme additionality has taken place by DETI since the previous evaluation was published in 2008. Given that the current evaluation is currently ongoing and will cover SFA projects up to 2010/11, no future SFA evaluation has yet been scheduled.

- 16) *Please provide more detail on the unsuccessful project referred to in the evidence session in which 870 jobs were promised but none created. Please address when the letter of offer was issued; to what company; and why no jobs were secured.*

In June 1999 IDB offered NTL £3.8m to establish call centres in Belfast and Londonderry which would create 874 new jobs. Due to various factors, including a slowdown in the roll out of digital broadcasting and the company's subsequent establishment of a 250-seat call centre in Waterford, the project did not proceed. Neither IDB (nor subsequently Invest NI) paid out on any of the £3.8m offered.

I trust you find this information helpful.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'David Sterling', with a large, sweeping flourish at the end.

David Sterling

Appendix 1: Invest NI Assistance Approved By DCA 2002-03 to 2010-11

DCA	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	Total
Antrim	18,726,185	2,953,200	5,532,532	1,208,668	592,561	2,839,229	6,220,796	2,863,879	10,727,827	51,664,877
Ards	775,251	2,600,855	548,500	2,204,038	1,348,386	466,418	953,189	2,634,889	567,475	12,099,001
Armagh	4,551,436	1,234,760	1,218,295	577,350	3,940,484	1,136,429	1,738,752	1,567,083	965,620	16,930,208
Ballymena	1,783,195	4,540,078	4,673,967	645,986	3,340,913	3,402,022	1,850,790	5,546,135	5,877,149	31,660,236
Ballymoney	483,410	444,993	1,329,769	82,818	394,784	427,512	175,740	501,240	161,028	4,001,293
Banbridge	1,879,688	345,090	1,228,720	223,061	334,361	702,324	623,529	904,584	359,927	6,601,284
Belfast	27,225,068	51,829,427	44,317,638	28,738,612	33,988,166	35,531,104	52,770,879	58,142,377	50,149,922	382,693,193
Carrickfergus	2,046,440	339,105	703,398	567,481	120,603	171,493	327,281	762,585	1,803,211	6,841,597
Castlereagh	1,863,240	1,323,964	5,050,055	837,086	414,135	3,156,765	2,085,998	5,285,697	725,360	20,742,300
Coleraine	2,388,189	560,397	1,702,816	1,038,619	585,417	4,321,250	1,387,261	1,784,894	1,176,413	14,945,257
Cookstown	1,473,567	1,882,726	5,211,713	722,738	1,198,005	1,630,557	2,268,031	6,402,034	1,455,026	22,244,397
Craigavon	16,860,280	6,272,131	18,204,439	8,572,589	4,984,032	3,261,921	15,015,378	18,598,214	6,036,634	97,805,619
Derry	13,679,055	7,334,054	5,542,713	25,904,954	8,482,299	11,796,963	3,765,611	18,214,388	2,591,421	97,311,458
Down	1,311,589	542,170	2,930,852	343,397	313,553	827,602	541,830	1,152,480	555,328	8,518,801
Dungannon	2,320,873	2,773,098	3,205,827	2,871,069	2,485,898	8,298,777	5,952,782	6,083,188	2,863,305	36,854,817
Fermanagh	3,641,375	3,122,124	2,105,207	1,114,035	5,864,997	2,649,995	3,001,428	1,943,701	912,504	24,355,366
Larne	532,635	2,150,978	2,222,935	12,583,647	541,008	3,504,180	904,060	1,447,725	210,404	24,097,571
Limavady	1,914,646	4,925,678	460,099	688,546	1,028,318	447,229	875,992	10,346,080	245,363	20,931,951
Lisburn	1,425,571	2,047,817	3,729,738	9,511,907	4,019,608	3,549,803	3,305,863	9,559,334	5,276,930	42,426,571
Magherafelt	914,709	1,380,233	2,137,101	816,309	884,854	2,354,430	2,389,231	2,935,658	1,322,507	15,135,032
Moyle	204,088	158,894	2,192,405	58,630	141,678	322,789	563,866	60,949	100,454	3,803,753

DCA	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	Total
Newry & Mourne	1,560,538	1,433,372	2,490,169	7,310,601	830,402	6,168,302	16,613,443	7,105,329	6,483,849	49,996,005
Newtownabbey	6,601,753	2,950,449	4,231,958	4,471,779	10,536,447	2,275,982	2,740,881	7,261,976	1,397,490	42,468,713
North Down	1,042,684	2,844,503	3,358,054	656,846	1,286,487	1,656,698	1,970,414	2,669,168	480,423	15,965,277
Omagh	877,746	1,396,110	953,526	1,518,430	663,097	2,547,822	1,562,806	1,469,912	528,071	11,517,519
Strabane	3,670,370	5,582,631	1,760,711	266,747	926,568	657,062	635,229	1,950,969	197,190	15,647,478
Unknown	21,211,335	3,982,000	3,063,000	2,735,000	2,519,000	4,713,000	6,034,000	269,742	874	44,527,951
Total	140,964,916	116,950,836	130,106,140	116,270,943	91,766,059	108,817,657	136,275,060	177,464,209	103,171,705	1,121,787,526

Notes

1. Figures include the Bombardier C Series project - 836 jobs promoted, £21m assistance, £520m planned investment.
2. Invest NI revises performance data on a regular basis to ensure that it reflects implemented projects; therefore, the data above may differ to previously published information.

Invest NI Offers Approved 2007-08 to 2011-12 by Business Size

Year	Business Size	No of Offers Approved	No of Offers Subsequently Accepted	No of Businesses
2007-08	Large	255	249	113
	Medium	271	265	133
	Small	1,535	1,520	934
	EDO/UNI/Unknown	78	76	50
	Total	2,139	2,110	1,230
2008-09	Large	210	206	120
	Medium	314	312	158
	Small	1,831	1,818	1,136
	EDO/UNI/Unknown	137	137	38
	Total	2,492	2,473	1,452
2009-10	Large	400	398	181
	Medium	485	483	173
	Small	2,579	2,556	1,374
	EDO/UNI/Unknown	153	153	86
	Total	3,617	3,590	1,814
2010-11	Large	335	332	137
	Medium	375	372	150
	Small	2,364	2,310	1,211
	EDO/UNI/Unknown	66	66	26
	Total	3,140	3,080	1,524
2011-12	Large	362	357	166
	Medium	563	559	196
	Small	3,209	3,087	1,746
	EDO/UNI/Unknown	136	132	66
	Total	4,270	4,135	2,174
TOTAL	Large	1,562	1,542	-
	Medium	2,008	1,991	-
	Small	11,518	11,291	-
	EDO/UNI/Unknown	570	564	-
	Total	15,658	15,388	-

Notes

1. Large is defined as companies with more than 250 employees, Medium is between 50-249 and Small is less than 50 employees
2. EDO / UNI / Unknown refers to External Delivery Organisations, Universities and other, which are not classified under these categories.
3. Invest NI revises performance data on a regular basis to ensure that it reflects implemented projects; therefore, the data above may differ to previously published information.
4. The number of businesses is totalled for each year but the final total number of businesses would not be reflective of the number of businesses assisted throughout the whole 5 year period a business may have received assistance in more than one year.

Correspondence of 19 March 2013 from Mr David Sterling

From the Permanent Secretary

David Sterling
Netherleigh
Massey Avenue
BELFAST BT4 2JP

Telephone: (028) 9052 9441
Facsimile: (028) 9052 9545
Email: david.sterling@detini.gov.uk
janice.hill@detini.gov.uk

Our ref: PS DETI 017 /13
Your ref Ms Michaela Boyle MLA

Chairperson
Public Accounts Committee
Room 371
Parliament Buildings
BELFAST
BT4 3XX

19 March 2013

Dear Ms Boyle

Invest NI: A Performance Review

As a follow on to my response to your original letter of 15 February, I had promised to provide the Committee with information regarding the query below.

“The 48 targets against which the Chief Executive’s personal performance related pay is assessed, setting out for each whether it is a business target and whether it is in the public domain; the rationale for not publishing all personal targets given the new arrangements for permanent secretaries’ targets; and why there are so many targets for one individual.”

The Board of Invest NI designed the target framework to ensure that the full scope of the Chief Executive’s role could be captured and incentivised. This resulted in a significant number of targets. The list of 48 targets was also requested by the Enterprise, Trade and Investment Committee and I can confirm that the information has now been provided to that Committee. A copy of the list is now also provided at Annex A for the information of the Public Accounts Committee.

I trust you find this information helpful.

Yours sincerely,



David Sterling

Annex A

			Objectives	Comments
1	1	Strategic	Work effectively with the Chairman and the Board on key strategic issues	The Transform programme, the 4th Corporate Plan and the current economic crisis are the key strategic issues. To include development of the 2011-15 Corporate Plan and ensuring alignment with PfG.
2	2	Strategic	Implement the Transform Programme fully and within an acceptable timeframe	Important that the Transform Programme is fully implemented to allow Invest NI to be ready for future challenges
3	3	Strategic	Finalise the inputs into the IREP working groups	Complete the final stages of work in progress in this area, to ensure that all of the recommendations from the Independent Review of Economic Policy, which were agreed by the Minister, have been delivered.
4	4	Strategic	Manage the process for the development and production of the fourth Corporate Plan for Invest NI	Important to reflect the new Programme for Government, the economic strategy and the DETI Corporate Plan update in this strategy
5	5	Strategic	Manage Invest NI's response to the continuing economic crisis	Invest NI needs to be responsive and agile in assisting businesses to meet the challenges of the economic crisis
6	6	Strategic	Input into the economic advisory group to help shape economic policy and advice	This is a key forum developed from the IREP and it is important that Invest NI support the development of the economic strategy and the resulting outputs.
7	7	Strategic	Update the Risk Management Strategy to reflect the changing business conditions	Important to update the risk management strategy to reflect current risks. This will include the deployment of the new Risk Appetite/Assessment process.
8	8	Strategic	Develop a response and a media strategy for NIAO Report on Invest NI	Ensure that analysis in the report is understood, managed effectively and that learning is embedded across the organisation.
9	9	Strategic	Manage Invest NI's inputs into the development of the Enterprise Strategy	This is a key strategy for NI and it is important that Invest NI input at all levels to the development of the Strategy
10	10	Strategic	Manage Invest NI's inputs into the finalisation of its role under RPA	The implementation of the RPA plans will bring significant changes to the area of local economic development and it is important to input into the process to ensure seamless transition and clarity of roles with other partners in this area.
11	11	Strategic	Ensure Audit Programme has no limited opinions and no accepted priority one issues	Ensure compliance with due processes and procedures.
12	12	Strategic	Achieve an error rate of no more than 2% on ERDF annual control reports	A key risk management activity.
13	1	Communications	Undertake a series of meetings with the Northern Ireland political parties to present the Invest NI Strategy and to receive feedback	Need to have continual dialogue with the political parties, the MLAs, the Committees, MPs and MEPs, to ensure that this group are aware of the Invest NI Strategy and outputs.
14	2	Communications	Manage the relationships with key stakeholders including Business Alliance, politicians, councillors and LEA's	Need to manage the wider stakeholder relationships and ensure that Invest NI's role in a changing policy environment is articulated.
15	3	Communications	Manage the media relationships to ensure that the Invest NI image with the general public is improved	To enhance the perceptions and understanding of Invest NI amongst a wider audience and deal with challenges and issues in an open and transparent manner.
16	1	Customers	Fully implement the proposals in respect of the new customer base.	Alignment with the outworking of organisation re-design and client segmentation is a necessary part of this goal
17	2	Customers	Carry out a strategic assessment of FDI proposition and the international marketing and sales strategy	Foreign Direct Investment is a critical element of our overall jobs and productivity goal so it is important to ensure correct sectoral and country focus in current economic situation

			Objectives	Comments
18	3	Customers	Fully implement the "Country Model" international arrangements	An important part of the Transform agenda, Trade and investment synergies must be maximised to make the most of potential international opportunities.
19	4	Customers	Develop and implement a customer focussed culture within Invest NI	The key element of our Values- based approach is the specific focus on Customers, so the continued development of this aspect is critical.
20	5	Customers	Input into the account management of the Gold listed and strategic customers	To ensure we support our customers in maximising their contribution to the Northern Ireland Economy
21	6	Customers	Review revised product and service range within Invest NI to identify any further rationalisation opportunities	There needs to be a continuous improvement programme of activity in this area to reduce complexity
22	7	Customers	Actively participate in selling Northern Ireland overseas particularly in the North American Market	It is important that the CEO is available to support the International teams with key projects
23	8	Customers	Increase the annual customer satisfaction from 64% to 66%	There is a need for continuous improvement in customer satisfaction
24	9	Customers	Deliver minimum quarterly customer satisfaction ratings of 82%	It is important to monitor and drive this important, event-driven measure and it will give a more immediate view of progress with our Customer Focus plans.
25	10	Customers	Increase the 2010/2011 satisfaction score based on the annual stakeholder survey by 2%	There is a need for continuous improvement in the wider stakeholder satisfaction
26	11	Customers	Increase new registrations on NIBusinessinfo.co.uk by 1800	This has now been accepted as the default web portal for all business information, so it is important to drive uptake and usage.
27	12	Customers	Undertake at least 2 customer visits per month in order to obtain feedback	There is a need to maintain relationships and manage visibility with the Customer base, taking feedback and supporting the customer teams
28	13	Customers	Maintain a monthly luncheon-based forum with a range of stakeholders for dialogue and feedback	Understanding of Invest NI's changing role in a new policy environment should be embedded and gaining feedback is an important part of this.
29	1	Operational	Work effectively with the Chairman and the Board on key operational issues	Need to keep Board informed on the key issues and actions
30	2	Operational	Ensure that key strategic and operational proposals are properly developed and evaluated prior to Board presentation	The Executive Leadership Team needs to filter and test propositions prior to Board presentation
31	3	Operational	Participate effectively in casework committees and on the Board Audit Committee	Need to be engaging with Board members in this specific role, developing awareness of potential audit issues and reviewing casework effectiveness.
32	4	Operational	Manage the budget within the defined limits	A key task particularly giving the current public expenditure constraints
33	5	Operational	Manage and control the organisation and the risks that are assumed as accounting officer	Important role given the profile of the organisation and the risks that need to be managed
34	6	Operational	Achieve the performance targets set out in the Operational Plan for fy 2011/2012	See Annex B Corporate Scorecard with Key Performance Indicators
35	7	Operational	Maintain an appropriate relationship with the DETI Permanent Secretary	In light of impact of wider policy changes on Invest NI, proposed operational efficiencies resulting from Transform, inc organisation redesign etc and ensuring that these are delivered to agreed timelines.
36	8	Operational	Effectively support the DETI Minister and the other Executive Minister as required	A key role in promoting economic development and in influencing the economic strategy, ensuring support in delivery of PfG targets
37	9	Operational	Liaise effectively with the ETI Committee and keep them apprised of key issues	To ensure that there is effective liaison and development of relationships, and ensuring that they are regularly apprised of performance.

			Objectives	Comments
38	10	Operational	Represent Invest NI effectively at events, roundtables, speech giving opportunities and in the media	To enhance the profile and understanding of the organisation and receiving feedback.
39	11	Operational	Reduce median controllable casework processing from 21 to 20 days	Improved customer service
40	12	Operational	Establish a new claims processing system and achieve customer payments within 35 days	Improved customer service
41	13	Operational	Achieve 90% of supplier payment within 10 working days	Meeting Government targets
42	1	People	Fully implement the new organisation structure emanating from the Transform Programme	It is vital that organisation is "Fit for Purpose", customer focused, and efficient.
43	2	People	Develop a model to "Right size" the organisation maximising resources on customers	It is important to review the use of existing resources and assess future requirements
44	3	People	Assess the skills level within the current organisation and develop a programme to improve these and enhance with external requirements	There is a need to update the skills audit and to build a development plan that will align with the needs of the roles and responsibilities in this changing environment
45	4	People	Ensure a 95% compliance with the new performance management system	There is a need to embed the new performance management culture with Invest NI
46	5	People	Increase the employee engagement index from 61% to 63%	It is important to receive staff feedback and follow up action plans to continue to improve Invest NI as a place to work.
47	6	People	Management absenteeism levels at a maximum of 3.75%	It is important to ensure that Invest NI continues to deliver low levels of absenteeism.
48	7	People	Design and implement HR policies and procedures that meet the requirements of the new organisation structure	There is a need to update process and procedures in the light of changes in the organisation structure



Northern Ireland
Assembly

Appendix 4

List of Witnesses who Gave Oral Evidence to the Committee

List of Witnesses who Gave Oral Evidence to the Committee

- 1) Mr David Sterling, Accounting Officer, Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment;
- 2) Mr Alastair Hamilton, Chief Executive, Invest NI;
- 3) Mr Mel Chittock, Executive Director, Finance and Internal Operations, Invest NI;
- 4) Mr Kieran Donnelly, Comptroller and Auditor General; and
- 5) Ms Fiona Hamill, Treasury Officer of Accounts, Department of Finance and Personnel.



Published by Authority of the Northern Ireland Assembly,
Belfast: The Stationery Office

and available from:

Online

www.tsoshop.co.uk

Mail, Telephone, Fax & E-mail

TSO

PO Box 29, Norwich, NR3 1GN

Telephone orders/General enquiries: 0870 600 5522

Fax orders: 0870 600 5533

E-mail: customer.services@tso.co.uk

Textphone 0870 240 3701

TSO@Blackwell and other Accredited Agents

£8.50

Printed in Northern Ireland by The Stationery Office Limited
© Copyright Northern Ireland Assembly Commission 2013

ISBN 978-0-339-60478-0

